

Dear reviewers,

Thank you for your kind comments. Your concerns have been addressed in the revised manuscript in **RED**. Changes can be found in the table below.

Comment	Response	Change	Location
Reviewer 00646703			
Authors reported a rare case of posterolateral dislocations of the knee. It is a well written case report. The rational of the treatment taken was clearly provided. Advantages and disadvantages of other treatment options were also discussed. Authors also discussed the injury mechanisms and reasons why MRI is not crucial in the preoperative period, why it was crucial to have an emergent open reduction over delayed reduction and arthroscopically closed reduction. Although it is a rare case, it provided a new insight for treatment of posterolateral dislocation of the knee	Thank you	-	-
Reviewer 00503864			
Dear Authors, in my opinion this is an excellent case report and I will recommend it for publication. I agree with you open reduction - and no need for preoperative MRI. In my opinion, arthroscopic surgery is not indicated for reduction	Thank you	-	-
Reviewer 01221818			
Dear Editor, the authors presented a nice case report about posterolateral dislocation of the knee. Although well written, some minor revisions may improve the paper. Introduction: - Line 42: Please provide a reference or clarify this topic.	Agree	A reference has been added to clarify this	Introduction, page 4, line 49
Discussion: - Please discuss the short follow-up of the patient, which cannot provide information on post-traumatic arthritis.	Agree	Added longer term followup is necessary to determine post-traumatic arthritis.	Discussion, page 11, lines 202-203
Results: - Photograph 3: please insert an Arrow to improve the comprehensibility of the sign.	Agree	An arrow has been inserted. The figure legend has been updated.	Figure 3