

25779-ANSWERING REVIEWERS

- Reviewer's code: 02841708

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author of this retrospective analysis aimed to evaluate effect of perioperative chemotherapy for Gastric Cancer. And authors found the conclusion that 'Perioperative chemotherapeutic scheme can be reproduced on our setting with good results and without increasing morbidity or mortality.' The authors raised up a valuable issue in this study. Also there were enough results to support opinion of authors. I agree this paper to be published in your journal.

AUTHORS: Thanks for the comments and the proposal for publication.

- Reviewer's code: 02536349

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is adequate to publish with some revisions. The title is exaggerated and asserted and should be changed to less asserted one.

AUTHORS: Thanks for the comments. They have done all corrections in the text and we have changed the title of the manuscript: The "Magic" of our gastric cancer results on perioperative chemotherapy.

- Editor:

1. Any article describing a study (basic research, clinical research, and case report) involving human and/or animal subjects is required to have the institutional review board (IRB) name, whether institutional (part of the author(s)' academic/medical institution, such as the Oak Grove Children's Hospital Institutional Review Board) or commercial/independent/private (contracted for-profit organizations, such as the ClinicCare Coalition for Human Rights Institutional Review Board),

- stated explicitly in the title page. In addition, a copy of any ethics approval document(s)/letter(s) or waiver should be provided to the BPG in PDF format.
2. Any research article describing a study (clinical research and case report) involving humans should contain a statement in the title page clearly stating that all involved persons (subjects or legally authorized representative) gave their informed consent (written or verbal, as appropriate) prior to study inclusion. In general, the BPG requires that any and all details that might disclose the identity of the subjects under study should be omitted or anonymized. In the rare situation that a study participant's identifiable information is crucial to the case presentation, the statement of informed consent is absolutely necessary, unless the participant is deceased. In addition, a copy of any approval document(s)/letter(s) or waiver should be provided to the BPG in PDF format.
 3. Any manuscript describing a study (basic research and clinical research) that used biostatistics must include a statement in the Materials and Methods section affirming that the statistical review of the study was performed by a biomedical statistician. Statistical review is performed before the submission or after peer-review. The author invites an expert in Biomedical Statistics to evaluate the statistical method(s) used in the study, including but not limited to the *t*-test (group or paired comparisons), chi-square test, ridit, probit, logit and regression (linear, curvilinear, or stepwise) modeling, correlation, analysis of variance, and analysis of covariance. The review by the biomedical statistician is conducted with respect to the following points: (1) Statistical methods are adequately and appropriately described when they are used to verify the results; (2) Whether the statistical techniques are suitable or correct; (3) Only homogeneous data can be averaged. Standard deviations are preferred to standard errors. The number of observations and subjects (*n*) is given. Losses in observations, such as drop-outs from the study, are reported; (4) Values, such as ED50, LD50 and IC50, have the 95% confidence limits calculated and have been compared by weighted probit modeling (using the functions described by Bliss and Finney); and (5) The word "significantly" is replaced by its synonyms (if it indicates extent) or the *P* value (if it indicates statistical significance). In addition, a copy of any approval document(s)/letter(s) or waiver should be provided to the BPG in PDF format.
 4. A conflict-of-interest statement is required for all article and study types. In the interests of transparency and helping reviewers to assess any potential bias in a study's design, interpretation of it results or presentation of its scientific/medical content, the BPG requires all authors of each paper to declare any conflicting interests (including but not limited to commercial, personal, political, intellectual, or religious interests) in the title page that are related to the work submitted for consideration of publication. In addition, reviewers are required to indicate any potential conflicting interests they might have related to any particular paper they are asked to review, and a copy of signed statement should be provided to the BPG in PDF format.
 5. Basic research and clinical research studies require a data sharing statement. The data sharing statement will be provided in the title page, and will be presented in the following form: Technical appendix, statistical code, and dataset available from the corresponding author at Dryad repository, who will provide a permanent, citable and open-access home for the dataset. In addition, a copy of the signed statement should be provided to the BPG in PDF format.
 6. **Abstract.** An informative, structured abstract of no less than 246 words should accompany each manuscript. The Abstract will be structured into the following sections and adhering to the word count thresholds indicated in parentheses: **AIM** (no more than 20 words): The purpose of the study should be stated clearly and with no or minimal background information, following the format

of: "To investigate/study/determine..." **METHODS** (no less than 80 words): You should present the materials and methods used for all of the data presented in the proceeding Results section of the abstract. **RESULTS** (no less than 120 words): You should present *P* values where appropriate. You must provide relevant data to illustrate how the statistical values were obtained, e.g. 6.92 ± 3.86 vs 3.61 ± 1.67 , $P < 0.001$. **CONCLUSION** (no more than 26 words): You should present your findings and implications that are within the scope of the data you have presented in the preceding Results section. The conclusion should be written in the present tense.

7. Please offer the audio core tip, the requirement are as follows: In order to attract readers to read your full-text article, we request that the first author make an audio file describing your final core tip. This audio file will be published online, along with your article. Please submit audio files according to the following specifications:

Acceptable file formats: .mp3, .wav, or .aiff

Maximum file size: 10 MB

To achieve the best quality, when saving audio files as an mp3, use a setting of 256 kbps or higher for stereo or 128 kbps or higher for mono. Sampling rate should be either 44.1 kHz or 48 kHz. Bit rate should be either 16 or 24 bit. To avoid audible clipping noise, please make sure that audio levels do not exceed 0 dBFS.

8. Please put the reference numbers in square brackets in **superscript**. Please check across the text.
9. Please write the comments. **Writing requirements for each subsection**

(1) Background To summarize concisely and accurately the relevant background information so that readers may gain some basic knowledge about your study's relevance and understand its significance for the field as a whole.

(2) Research frontiers To introduce briefly the current hotspots or important areas in the research field as related to your study.

(3) Innovations and breakthroughs To summarize and emphasize the differences, particularly the advances, achievements, innovations and breakthroughs, as compared to other related or similar studies in the literature, which will allow the readers to assimilate the major points of your article.

(4) Applications To summarize the practical applications of your research findings, so that readers may understand the perspectives by which this study will affect the field and future research.

(5) Terminology To describe concisely and accurately any terms that may not be familiar to the majority of the readers, but which are essential for understanding your article.

10. Please do not use footnote for the references. Please add PubMed citation numbers and DOI citation to the reference list and list all authors. Please provide PubMed citation numbers for the reference list, e.g. PMID and DOI, which can be found at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?db=pubmed> and <http://www.crossref.org/SimpleTextQuery/>, respectively. The numbers will be used in the E-version of this journal. Thanks very much for your co-operation.

Such as: 1 **Nayak S**, Rath S, Kar BR. Mucous membrane graft for cicatricial ectropion in lamellar ichthyosis: an approach revisited. *Ophthalmol Plast Reconstr Surg* 2011; e155-e156 [PMID: 21346670 DOI: 10.1097/IOP.0b013e3182082f4e]

AUTHORS: Thanks for the corrections.

1, 2, 3, 4 and 5: All requested documents are attached.

6: The abstract has been corrected.

7: The audio is attached.

8: This has been corrected.

9: Add at the end of the text.

10: This has been corrected.