

To:

**Professor Dr. Ya-Juan Ma,**

Scientific Editor

*World Journal of Gastroenterology*

May 23, 2016

**Dear Professors**

Thank you very much for your letter regarding our manuscript entitled “**Management of a large mucosal defect after duodenal endoscopic resection**”. We are grateful for the feedback from you and reviews. I fundamentally agree with all these comments and incorporated them to the R1 version. In accordance with reviewer’s comments, we revised and corrected our manuscript and provide necessary additional information.

Our responses to the reviewers comment are provided on the following pages.

Moreover, we asked Native American colleagues for correcting our manuscript. Finally, we have checked the entire manuscript for possible errors and improved the presentation. We hope that the revised manuscript is now suitable for publication in

*World Journal of Gastroenterology*.

Your kind and timely consideration of our article would be greatly appreciated.

We are looking forward to hear from you soon.

Sincerely Yours,

Shintaro Fujihara, MD, PhD

Department of Gastroenterology and Neurology

Faculty of Medicine, Kagawa University, Japan

Title: Management of a large mucosal defect after duodenal endoscopic resection

Author: Shintaro Fujihara, Hirohito Mori, Hideki Kobara, Noriko Nishiyama, Tae Matsunaga, Maki Ayaki, Tatsuo Yachida, and Tsutomu Masaki

**Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology**

**ESPS Manuscript NO: 25916**

**Manuscript Type: TOPIC HIGHLIGHTS**

Invited ID: 02570358

Reviewer #1

Endoscopic resection including EMR and ESD has been widely used in treating early cancer, LST, and SMT. Modalities derived from ESD, such as POEM, STER, and EFR, have expanded the indications for endoscopic resection. However, with the increase of ESD-related procedures performed, the high complication rate of treatment has concerned many endoscopists. Immediate or delayed perforation and bleeding are the most common and dangerous complication of ESD-related techniques. And in some locations of GI the perforation rate is higher and the outcome might be disastrous, for example, in duodenum. In this manuscript the authors systemically reviewed the complications of endoscopic resection. Then, various methods used to prevent complication during or after ESD have been listed in the manuscript. The authors analyzed the advantage and disadvantage of different techniques and described these techniques in detail. In general, this review is comprehensive and the authors presented their own experiences in treating perforation during ESD. The content of this manuscript tries to address an important issue during ESD in duodenum and is helpful for endoscopists performing ESD.

(Response)

Thank you very much for reviewing and your comments.

According to your comments we revised our entire manuscript and tables for

possible errors, and we made possible correction as well.

Reviewer #2

Congratulations this is really a beautiful study.

(Response)

Thank you very much for reviewing and your comments.

We are pleased that we can be able to share with you our opinion.