

## **Manuscript 25980**

I am grateful to the reviewers for their comments on my article. I have made several changes to the article which I hope has both addressed the reviewers' comments, and also improved the quality of the article.

### **Reviewer 1**

1. This article is well written, but it is difficult to understand what the key essence is, since this article is too long. Author should shorten the article and empathize the key essence.

I would like to thank the reviewer for their comment with which I agree. I have added an abstract as per the instructions to authors, which provides a broad overview of the manuscript. I have also made several deletions throughout the manuscript. This has included the removal of the section on small bowel inflammation in ulcerative colitis which I believe added little to the article as a whole. Elsewhere I have re-ordered and reworded sections to improve both their clarity and to emphasise take-home messages. The sections which have been most notably reworked include "VCE features of Crohn's disease: making the diagnosis", "VCE in suspected Crohn's disease" and "Assessment of post-operative recurrence".

2. What is the disadvantage of VCE? Is capsule retention the only disadvantage? Is there any way to conquer the capsule retention?

I have reworded the section on capsule retention in Crohn's disease to highlight the role of small bowel imaging and patency capsule assessment to assess for stricturing disease that may contra-indicate video capsule endoscopy. I have indicated risks of capsule retention following a satisfactory test (up to 6% for radiological assessment and up to 2.1% after patency capsule assessment). I have also included a short paragraph indicating other potential complications of VCE (perforation and aspiration).

### **Reviewer 2**

1. I would only like to raise one point for consideration: the disposition of paper should be changed, and the section of complication with all related topics should be moved to the end of the paper.

I would like to thank the reviewer for their comments on my article. I have revised the manuscript to the order suggested.