

Dear Editor,

Thank you for your comments and the reviewers' recommendations which we have addressed as follows:

1. Telephone and fax numbers of the corresponding author have been provided
2. IRB statement has been addressed as detailed in the manuscript
3. Informed consent statement has been addressed as detailed in the manuscript
4. Conflict of Interest statement has been addressed as detailed in the manuscript
5. All other forms have been attached as requested
6. Audio core tip provided
7. Reference numbers have been adjusted as required
8. COMMENTS section has been added as required
9. The language comments by the first reviewer have been taken into account and the manuscript has been adjusted accordingly. Thank you.
10. The most relevant aetiology for the case report has been detailed in the manuscript as per the 2nd reviewer's kind comments and Results heading has been removed.
11. PRISMA chart has been provided: It is worth mentioning that we have indeed performed an extensive literature review as the 4th reviewer kindly noted. However, as it is not the tradition to do a systematic review in association with a case report, we did not provide the strategy used for the search. However, having detailed this (as per the third reviewer's request) and reviewed the literature again, we have actually not missed out cases according to the search strategy detailed in the manuscript.

Thank you again for your comments and consideration of publishing this case report in your journal.

Answer to chief editor:

Dear Fang-Fang Ji,

Please find attached the paper revised as per you chief editor's request. I have not attached the previous paperwork/figures and table as none of them have changed. Please confirm you have received this email.

Best regards,

Dr Sukeik