

We would like to thank the Reviewers for their constructive and insightful comments. Attached please find our point-by-point responses to the reviewers' concerns, and a revised manuscript.

Reviewer 1) In general, it is an interesting but a descriptive paper showing quite a rare case of male breast cancer. It may be interesting for a specialized audience of clinicians but for a broader audience I would suggest making some comments on the history of the described individual - has any genetic screening or biochemical analyses been performed? Has this patient been tested for any BRCA mutations? Any elevated level of estrogens? Has PET been performed? If not, 2-year follow up is not supposed to be called a disease-free.

We extended this part of the case report accordingly.

SEER should be de-abbreviated.

Done.

Reviewer 2) This is a case report of rare men breast cancer and then the author gave a short literature review. The review provides some useful information, but I suggest that the author should give a table summarising the current treatments of men breast cancer and their indications which will make readers easily get what they want.

We added a detailed table discussing treatment options and issues relevant to clinicians.

Reviewer 3) The incidence of large breast tumors in males is low, so the paper describes a rare case. However, the significance of this report is rather low.

Reviewer 4) Dear Authors, This paper is good research but, You need complete analyses for every stage, even in review paper and Case report, Because analysis proved the result work
Best regards

Reviewer 5) See file attached.

Thank you for the thorough review. We implemented all changed as requested. Reviewer 5 suggested limiting the number of figures. If the Editor wishes, we will limit the number of figures.