**Name of Journal: *World Journal of Radiology***

**ESPS Manuscript NO: 27616**

**Manuscript Type: Original Article**

***Retrospective Study***

**Radiological imaging findings of scheuermann disease**

Gokce E *et al*. Radiological imaging findings of scheuermann disease

**Erkan Gokce, Murat Beyhan**

**Erkan Gokce**, Department of Radiology, School of Medicine, Gaziosmanpaşa University, 60100 Tokat, Turkey

**Murat Beyhan**, Department of Radiology, Tokat State Hospital, 60100 Tokat, Turkey

**Author contributions:** Gokce E and Beyhan M collected and analyzed the data equally; Gokce E designed, supervised and statistical analysis the study; Gokce E and Beyhan M had taken part in literature research and manuscript preparation and had read and approved the final version.

**Institutional review board statement:** This study was reviewed and approved by the

Ethics Committee of the Gaziosmanpaşa University School of Medicine (No: 15-KAEK-156).

**Informed consent statement:** Patients were not required to give informed consent to

the study because the analysis of figures used picture archiving and communication system.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** We have no financial relationships to disclose.

**Data sharing statement:** No additional data are available.

**Open-Access:** This is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Manuscript source:** Invited manuscript

**Correspondence to:** **Erkan Gokce, MD, Associate Professor,** Department of Radiology, School of Medicine, Gaziosmanpaşa University, Kaleardi Quarter, Muhittin Fisunoglu Street, 60100 Tokat, Turkey. [erkangokce@mynet.com](mailto:erkangokce@mynet.com)

**Telephone:** +90-542-3798986

**Fax:** +90-362-2309105

**Received:** June 8, 2016

**Peer-review started:** June 13, 2016

**First decision:** July 11, 2016

**Revised:** July 23, 2016

**Accepted:** September 6, 2016

**Article in press:**

**Published online:**

**Abstract**

***AIM***

To find accompanying anomalies of typical and atypical Scheuermann’s disease (SD) is reported in the present study.

***METHODS***

Study included 20 patients (16 men and 4 women) who had radiological imaging radiography, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography, if available, due to back pain, curved back and low back pain in November 2011-February 2016 period. Patients were categorized into typical and atypical patterns based on the region involved. Thoracic kyphosis values were measured using real Cobb angle. Accompanying disc degeneration, herniations and spinal cord pathologies were studied using MRI.

***RESULTS***

Age of the patients ranged from 11.0 to 23.0 (mean 17.2 ± 3.0). Typical pattern of SD were detected in 15 patients while atypical pattern were detected in 5 patients. Cobb angle range was 40.2-67.2 (mean 55.5 ± 8.7) in typical Scheuermann’s patients and 24.7-49.9 (mean 36.7 ± 10.8) in atypical ones. Intervertebral level was affected and had the measures of 3-8 (mean 5.3 ± 1.6) and 7-9 (mean 8.2 ± 0.8) in typical and atypical Scheuermann’s patients, respectively. Level of degenerative disc disease in MRI was 1-7 discs (mean 4.1 ± 1.7) in typical patients and 5-10 discs (mean 7.6 ± 1.9) in atypical patients.

***CONCLUSION***

SD can be seen in typical and atypical patterns, typical being more frequent. Because degenerative disc diseases, herniations and cord pathologies such as syringomyelia can accompany SD (albeit more common in atypical pattern), it is necessary to evaluate these patients with plain radiography and MRI together.

**Key words:** Cobb angle; Juvenile kyphosis; Scheuermann’s disease; Magnetic resonance imaging; Thoracic kyphosis

**© The Author(s) 2016.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core tip:** Scheuermann’s disease (SD)is the most common cause of degenerative structural thoracic or thoracolumbar hyperkyphosis associated with back pain in adolescents and could be observed in typical and atypical patterns. It manifests itself with successive endplate irregularities and anterior vertebral wedging in radiography, and additionally as disc degenerations, herniations and syringomyelia in spinal cord in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Impairment in intervertebral distance and disc degeneration are more evident in SD with atypical pattern. When multiple endplate irregularities and anterior vertebral wedging are observed in MRI of patients thought to have thoracolumbar disc pathology, SD should be considered.

Gokce E, Beyhan M. Radiological imaging findings of scheuermann disease. *World J Radiol* 2016; In press

**INTRODUCTION**

Scheuermann’s disease (SD) or juvenile kyphosis is the most common cause of progressive, structural thoracic or thoracolumbar hyperkyphosis associated with back pain in adolescents. It has a prevalence of 0.4%-8.0%[1-3]. Typical patients are 13-16 years old. Progression is slow in most cases[1-5]. Reports about their morbidity frequencies in different genders are varied[1,5,6]. In terms of radiological examinations, some authors consider the presence of wedging in at least three successive vertebra at an angle of over 5º as diagnosis criterion for SD[1], while others[7] consider wedging in a vertebra along with irregular endplates enough as diagnosis criterion[8]. Radiological findings of SD are reported in the present study.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present retrospective study included 20 patients (16 male and 4 female) who had radiological imaging taken at Radiology Department of Gaziosmanpaşa University Medical School due to back pain, curved back and low back pain complaints in November 2011-February 2016 period. After taking the approval of local ethic committee (No. 15-KAEK-156), radiological images of the patients were obtained from Picture Archiving and Communication System (PACS, GE). All patients had direct radiographies and eight of them had cervical, thoracic and lumbar magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), seven had thoracic MRI, two of which were contrasted, three had thoracic and lumbar MRI, one had cervical and lumbar MRI and one had thoracic MRI and lumbar CT. Based on Sørenson’s definition in radiological examinations , wedging over an angle of 5º in three or more vertebrae, kyphosis over 40º in sagittal plane and irregularities in vertebra endplates were considered typical SD[1]. Level of thoracic curve was determined based on measurement of Cobb angle (real Cobb angle is the angle between upper and plate of the most curved vertebra at the top and lower endplates of the most curved vertebra at the bottom) (Figure 1). The cases with thoracic involvement only (including T12-L1 level) were considered typical, whereas ones with thoracolumbar or lumbar involvement were considered atypical. Level where the apex of kyphosis was exactly located was examined. MRI was used to study accompanying degenerative disc disease, herniations and spinal cord pathologies (syringomyelia, etc.). Signal reduction of more than 50% in T2 series of intervertebral discs in MRI was considered in favor of degenerative disc disease.

***Statistical analysis***

The statistics were reviewed and analyzed by an author of this article. Descriptive analyses were made to gain information about general features of working groups. Data regarding continuous variable were given as mean (±) standard deviation but data regarding categorical variables were given as n (%). Statistical software was used in statistical analyses (IBM PASW Statistics 18, SPSS Inc., IBM Co., Somers, NY).

**RESULTS**

Age of the patients ranged from 11.0 to 23.0 (mean 17.2 ± 3.0). Typical pattern of SD were detected in 15 patients while atypical pattern were detected in 5 patients. Cobb angle varied from 24.7 to 67.2 (mean 50.8 ± 12.7) in all patient population, while typical cases had Cobb angles from 40.2 to 67.2 (mean 55.5 ± 8.7) and atypical ones from 24.7 to 49.9 (mean 36.7 ± 10.8). Number of affected intervertebral levels in whole patient group varied from three to nine. In typical SD cases, range of affected levels was 3-8 (mean 5.3 ± 1.6) and in atypical ones 7-9 (mean 8.2 ± 0.8). Degenerative disc disease was at 1-7 level in typical SD cases (mean 4.1 ± 1.7) and 5-10 (mean 7.6 ± 1.9) in atypical ones. Demographic features of the patients, involvement levels and numbers, Cobb angles, degenerative disc disease and accompanying MRI findings were given in Table 1. Radiological images of SD patients with typical pattern were given in Figures 2-5, and those of SD patients with atypical pattern were given in Figures 6-10.

**DISCUSSION**

SD has been defined by Holger Werfel Scheuermann, a radiologist, in 1920 and has also been known as osteochondritis juvenile dorsi or kyphosis dorsalis juvenilis[4,9]. In SD, which leads to rigid kyphosis of vertebra, lower thoracic and upper lumbar regions are affected. It could involve a few vertebra segments or the whole vertebra[4]. Two patterns have been defined in SD based on affected area of vertebra[10]. In more typical pattern, thoracic region is frequently affected and is characterized by thoracic kyphosis increase and wedging in vertebra corpus. This pattern is accompanied by nonstructural hyperlordosis of cervical and lumbar spine[4,6,10]. SD of atypical pattern (thoracolumbar or lumbar) has been defined later and is distinguished from typical one by lack of thoracic kyphosis and evident wedging in corpus of vertebrae[11] which is considered to be more progressive in adulthood[6,10,12,13]. Apex of the kyphosis is lowered at the thoracolumbar junction (T11–T12) in atypical patern of disease[8,12]. Such pathologies account for 25% to 80% of SD[8]. In the present study, 75% of the cases were of typical pattern and 25 were atypical. No cervical form of SD has been described so far, which could be due to immobile nature of uncus during puberty and thus could prevent vertebral endplate from mechanical stress[8]. SD of cervical form was not observed in the present study.

Thoracic kyphosis normally varies between 20 and 45º based on measurement by Cobb method on a standing lateral radiograph in which arms are kept in a position 60º below the horizontal[6,14]. Kyphosis normally progresses by age, and women have slightly higher kyphosis than men[6,14]. Thoracic kyphosis increase is one of the diagnostic criteria of typical SD[1]. In the present study, average value of thoracic kyphosis was 55.5º (range 40.2-67.2º) in SD patients of typical pattern and it was elevated. Average thoracic kyphosis value in SD patients of atypical pattern, on the other hand, was 36.7º and were within normal limits except for one patient who had elevated level (49.9º). Tyrakowski *et al*[10] reported that apex of kyphosis was between T6 and T9 in SD patients of typical pattern while between T10 and L2 levels in patients of atypical pattern. Similar to the findings of Tyrakowski *et al*[10], apices of kyphosis in SD patients with typical pattern were between T6 and T9 in the present study. However, unlike their results, apices of kyphosis in SD patients of atypical pattern were between T9 and L1 levels. In typical pattern SD cases in the present study, it was found that three to eight involvement were detected between T5 (inferior endplate) and L1 vertebra (superior endplate). SD cases of atypical pattern, on the other hand, had endplate involvements varying from seven to nine levels between T5 (inferior endplate) and S1 (superior endplate) of vertebra. Therefore, although our population was relatively small, it could be stated that a higher number of levels in a larger interval are affected in SD patients with atypical pattern compared to the ones with typical pattern.

Most SD patients get diagnosis towards the end of juvenile stage, around 8-12 years of age, when the disease has already caused more rigid and severe deformities depending upon age[15]. In our patient population, the youngest age of presentation was 11 and the oldest was 23 years. Despite the presence of some studies reporting that prevalence of SD is about the same in both genders, there are also studies reporting higher incidence in males[1,5,6,16]. In the present study, male patients constituted the majority of the cases (80%).

Etiology of SD still remains largely unknown. Among the several theories proposed are elevated levels of growth hormone release, impaired collagen fibril formation and, as a consequence, weakening in vertebral endplates, juvenile osteoporosis, vitamin A deficiency, trauma, epiphysis and poliomyelitis[5,6,16,17]. Recent studies report major effects of genetic background for the disease[3,8,18,19]. Disorganized endochondral ossification, collagen decrease and mucopolisaccaride increase in vertebral endplates have been reported in histopathology of SD[6]. As secondary to these, intervertebral discs can be influenced due to low quality endplate development, which could in turn pave the way for the degenerative disease[4,8]. SD frequently has a benign prognosis and can lead to small deformities and symptoms. Back pain and fatigue are the most common complaints during the development, which generally clears after skeletal maturity[6]. Disc impairment or inflammatory lesions of SD can cause pain especially at the apices of kyphosis. Muscular tension after long-term sitting or movement often induces lumbar pain. Lumbar pain may also be result of spine damage due to disc herniation or spondylolysis[4,8,20]. Deformities are usually noticed during school age. An increase can be seen in lumbar lordosis and cervical lordosis to offset the kyphosis occurred[4]. Treatment of patients with Scheuermann’s kyphosis is decided based on the degree of kyphosis and maturity of the patient[4]. For patients with kyphosis between 55 and 80º, brace treatment is almost fully successful with diagnoses made before skeletal maturity. Symptomatic SD patients with kyphosis greater than 80° in the thoracic spine or 65° in the thoracolumbar spine cannot be treated successfully without surgery. Surgical treatment is needed in adolescents and young adults when there is deterioration, refractory pain, and loss of sagittal balance or neurologic involvement[6]. In adults, progressive kyphosis with pain has been reported to generally occur as a result of SD with thoracolumbar pattern rather than with thoracic pattern[16]. There are studies in the literature reporting increase in the prevalence of back pain in SD with classic thoracic pattern[5,21]. Ristolainen *et al*[21] found that low back pain increased by 2.5-fold in SD patients compared to healthy controls. Similarly, Murray *et al*[5] reported much higher frequency of thoracic back pain in SD patient population (28%) compared to healthy controls (3%). Both groups found no association between back pain and the degree or level of apex of kyphosis[5,21].

Sørensen[1] described radiographic criteria for typical SD including anterior wedging greater than 5° in at least three adjacent vertebral bodies. Schmorl’s nodes, irregularity and flattened vertebral endplates, narrowed intervertebral disc spaces and anteroposterior elongation of the apical vertebral bodies are other associated radiological features of SD. Blumenthal *et al*[13], on the other hand, described the criteria for atypical SD, including wedging in one or two vertebral bodies, changes in vertebral endplate, narrowed disc space and anterior Schmorl nodes. MRI features of atypical SD have been described by Heithoff *et al*[11], and they include narrowed disc space, disc dehydration, endplate irregularity, wedging in edges of anterior vertebral body and appearance of Schmorl nodes. The authors concluded that at least three of these criteria are needed to make SD diagnosis. Some studies reported associations between variations in SD types in vertebra and degenerative lumbar disease in younger patients[11,22,23]. In the study by Paajanen *et al*[22], 55% of the SD patients had thoracolumbar disc disease based on MRI findings. The finding in the present study that 93.3% of SD patients with typical pattern (Figures 2, 3 and 5) and 100% of patients with atypical pattern (Figures 7, 9 and 10) had degenerative disc disease suggests that SD paves the way for degenerative changes.

Disc degeneration was observed at all levels affected by the disease with both typical and atypical patterns in the present study. In addition, other levels also had disc degeneration to some extent. It seems that compared to SD with typical pattern, SD with atypical pattern affects more levels and facilitates degenerative disc disease to a higher degree. This fact implies that in younger patients for whom thoracolumbar MRI is required for degenerative disc disease especially when endplate irregularities are detected in a number of successive levels, SD should be strongly considered in differential diagnosis

About one third of SD patients have scoliosis at varying degrees. It is known that spondylolysis or spondylolisthesis are more frequent in SD patients, a fact which might account for their low back pain. Some degree of degenerative spondylosis is observed mainly in apex of kyphosis in adults and is the cause of most back pain in these patients[6,22]. In untreated Scheuermann’s kyphosis, secondary complications such as neurological problems, dural cysts or thoracic disc herniation have been described though in limited number of patients[6,16,24,25]. In the present study, on the other hand, only a quarter of the patients had scoliosis (Figures 4 and 8) and none had spondylolysis or spondylolisthesis. MRI had been requested in 80% of our cases due to upper or lower back pain. Disc herniation (mostly bulging) was detected at levels ranging from 1 to 5 in 80% of cases. Average number of herniations was roughly the same in SD patients of typical and atypical pattern (2.4 ± 1.3 and 2.5 ± 1.9, respectively). No neurological complications secondary to herniations were detected in any patients.

The major limitations of the present study were limited number of cases studied retrospectively, unequal number of typical and atypical cases, relatively limited length of study, and use of only radiological evaluations.

In conclusion, SD could be seen in typical and atypical patterns. Since degenerative diseases accompany SD, especially atypical pattern, when irregularities are detected in successive endplates in patients for whom spinal MRI is requested for disc pathology pre-diagnoses, radiologists should consider SD.

**COMMENTS**

***Background***

Scheuermann’s Disease (SD) is a progressive disease associated with back pain or low back pain in adolescents. It is the most common cause of structural thoracic or thoracolumbar hyperkyphosis, and could be seen in typical or atypical patterns. Diagnosis of SD is made by radiological methods along with clinical findings. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), on the other hand, is useful to indicate accompanying disc and spinal cord pathologies. In the present study, radiological findings of typical and atypical pattern Scheuermann’s patients were reported.

***Research frontiers***

Since SD is frequently accompanied by degenerative disc diseases especially in atypical pattern, SD should be considered when successive endplate irregularities are observed in patients for whom spinal MRI is requested with disc pathology pre-diagnosis.

***Innovations and breakthroughs***

The present study revealed that more intervertebral levels were affected in SD with atypical pattern compared to SD with typical pattern [7-9 (mean 8.2 ± 0.8) and 3-8 (mean 5.3 ± 1.6), respectively]. Similarly, degenerative disc disease also affected more levels in SD with atypical pattern [5-10 (mean 7.6 ± 1.9)] compared to SD with typical one [1-7 (mean 4.1 ± 1.7)].

***Applications***

In addition to radiography findings, spinal pathologies such as discal degenerations, herniations and syringomyelia could be evaluated using MRI.

***Terminology***

Typical SD: It is a progressive disease of unknown cause accompanied by juvenile dorsal kyphosis, and is characterized by successive endplate irregularities in thoracic vertebrae and anterior vertebral wedging; atypical SD: It is the pattern of SD lacking evident thoracic kyphosis and vertebral wedging, characterized by successive endplate irregularities in lower thoracic and lumbar vertebrae, Schmorl nodules and disc degeneration.

***Peer-review***

This manuscript reported the imaging findings of patients having the Scheuermann's diseases. There are 20 patients in the study and both MRI and CT images were investigated. This is a serious work with detailed description. I believe the reader of WJR will find useful for this manuscript.

**REFERENCES**

1 **Sørensen KH**. Scheuermann’s juvenile kyphosis: clinical appearances, radiography, etiology and prognosis. Copenhagen: Munksgaard, 1964

2 **Gavin TM**. The Etiology and Natural History of Scheuermann's Kyphosis. *Prost Orth* 2003; **15**: 11-14 [DOI: 10.1097/00008526-200310001-00003]

3 **Damborg F**, Engell V, Andersen M, Kyvik KO, Thomsen K. Prevalence, concordance, and heritability of Scheuermann kyphosis based on a study of twins. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2006; **88**: 2133-2136 [PMID: 17015588 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.E.01302]

4 **Yaman O**, Dalbayrak S. Kyphosis and review of the literature. *Turk Neurosurg* 2014; **24**: 455-465 [PMID: 25050667 DOI: 10.5137/1019-5149.JTN.8940-13.0]

5 **Murray PM**, Weinstein SL, Spratt KF. The natural history and long-term follow-up of Scheuermann kyphosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1993; **75**: 236-248 [PMID: 8423184]

6 **Lowe TG**. Scheuermann's kyphosis. *Neurosurg Clin N Am* 2007; **18**: 305-315 [PMID: 17556132 DOI: 10.1016/j.nec.2007.02.011]

7 **Bradford DS**. Vertebral osteochondrosis (Scheuermann's kyphosis). *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1981; **158**: 83-90 [PMID: 7273530]

8 **Palazzo C**, Sailhan F, Revel M. Scheuermann's disease: an update. *Joint Bone Spine* 2014; **81**: 209-214 [PMID: 24468666 DOI: 10.1016/j.jbspin.2013.11.012]

9 **Scheuermann HW**. Kyphosis dorsalis juvenilis. *Ugeskr Laeger* 1920; **82**: 385-393

10 **Tyrakowski M**, Mardjetko S, Siemionow K. Radiographic spinopelvic parameters in skeletally mature patients with Scheuermann disease. *Spine* (Phila Pa 1976) 2014; **39**: E1080-E1085 [PMID: 24921842 DOI: 10.1097/BRS.0000000000000460]

11 **Heithoff KB**, Gundry CR, Burton CV, Winter RB. Juvenile discogenic disease. *Spine* (Phila Pa 1976) 1994; **19**: 335-340 [PMID: 8171367 DOI: 10.1097/00007632-199402000-00014]

12 **Edgren W**, Vainio S. Osteochondrosis juvenilis lumbalis. *Acta Chir Scand Suppl* 1957; **227**: 1-47 [PMID: 13497500]

13 **Blumenthal SL**, Roach J, Herring JA. Lumbar Scheuermann's. A clinical series and classification. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 1987; **12**: 929-932 [PMID: 3441839 DOI: 10.1097/00007632-198711000-00015]

14 **Fon GT**, Pitt MJ, Thies AC. Thoracic kyphosis: range in normal subjects. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1980; **134**: 979-983 [PMID: 6768276 DOI: 10.2214/ajr.134.5.979]

15 **Tomé-Bermejo F**, Tsirikos AI. [Current concepts on Scheuermann kyphosis: clinical presentation, diagnosis and controversies around treatment]. *Rev Esp Cir Ortop Traumatol* 2012; **56**: 491-505 [PMID: 23594948 DOI: 10.1016/j.recot.2012.07.002]

16 **Lowe TG**, Line BG. Evidence based medicine: analysis of Scheuermann kyphosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2007; **32**: S115-S119 [PMID: 17728677 DOI: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181354501]

17 **Fotiadis E**, Kenanidis E, Samoladas E, Christodoulou A, Akritopoulos P, Akritopoulou K. Scheuermann's disease: focus on weight and height role. *Eur Spine J* 2008; **17**: 673-678 [PMID: 18301929 DOI: 10.1007/s00586-008-0641-x]

18 **Damborg F**, Engell V, Nielsen J, Kyvik KO, Andersen MØ, Thomsen K. Genetic epidemiology of Scheuermann's disease. *Acta Orthop* 2011; **82**: 602-605 [PMID: 21895506 DOI: 10.3109/17453674.2011.618919]

19 **McKenzie L**, Sillence D. Familial Scheuermann disease: a genetic and linkage study. *J Med Genet* 1992; **29**: 41-45 [PMID: 1552543 DOI: 10.1136/jmg.29.1.41]

20 **Ogilvie JW**, Sherman J. Spondylolysis in Scheuermann's disease. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 1987; **12**: 251-253 [PMID: 3589821 DOI: 10.1097/00007632-198704000-00010]

21 **Ristolainen L**, Kettunen JA, Heliövaara M, Kujala UM, Heinonen A, Schlenzka D. Untreated Scheuermann's disease: a 37-year follow-up study. *Eur Spine J* 2012; **21**: 819-824 [PMID: 22101868 DOI: 10.1007/s00586-011-2075-0]

22 **Paajanen H**, Alanen A, Erkintalo M, Salminen JJ, Katevuo K. Disc degeneration in Scheuermann disease. *Skeletal Radiol* 1989; **18**: 523-526 [PMID: 2588031 DOI: 10.1007/BF00351753]

23 **Tertti MO**, Salminen JJ, Paajanen HE, Terho PH, Kormano MJ. Low-back pain and disk degeneration in children: a case-control MR imaging study. *Radiology* 1991; **180**: 503-507 [PMID: 1829844 DOI: 10.1148/radiology.180.2.1829844]

24 **Chiu KY**, Luk KD. Cord compression caused by multiple disc herniations and intraspinal cyst in Scheuermann's disease. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 1995; **20**: 1075-1079 [PMID: 7631238 DOI: 10.1097/00007632-199505000-00016]

25 **Kapetanos GA**, Hantzidis PT, Anagnostidis KS, Kirkos JM. Thoracic cord compression caused by disk herniation in Scheuermann's disease: a case report and review of the literature. *Eur Spine J* 2006; **15** Suppl 5: 553-558 [PMID: 16421745 DOI: 10.1007/s00586-005-0053-0]


**P-Reviewer:** Chen F, Chow J, Shen J **S-Editor:** Qiu S **L-Editor: E-Editor:**

**Table 1 Demographic features, Scheuermann’s disease patterns, involved intervertebral levels and their numbers, Cobb angle values, level of degenerative disc disease and accompanying magnetic resonance imaging findings of patients**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Patient** | **Type** | **Gender** | **Age** | **Symptoms** | **Number of affected levels** | **Involved levels** | **Cobb Angle** | **Disc degeneration levels** | **Accompanying findings** |
| 1 | T | Male | 16 | Back pain | 3 | T9-T12 | 44.1 | T9-T12 | T11-T12 diffuse bulging |
| 2 | T | Male | 16 | Low back pain | 5 | T7-T12 | 40.2 | T7-T12 | thoracic scoliosis |
| 3 | A | Male | 18 | Low back pain | 9 | T9-S1 | 49.9 | T7-8,T9-S1 | Bulging at all levels of lumbar spine |
| 4 | T | Male | 17 | Low back pain | 5 | T7-T12 | 63.0 | T7-T11 | Diffused bulging in T6-7, 8-9, 9-10, 11-12 |
| 5 | T | Male | 20 | Curved back, low back pain | 7 | T5-T12 | 66.2 | T5-T12 | Bulging in T7-12, increase in lumbar lordosis |
| 6 | A | Male | 14 | Curved back | 9 | T5-L2 | 42.3 | T5-L2 | Thoracic syringomyelia, thoracic levoscoliosis, diffuse bulging in T11-T12 |
| 7 | T | Male | 23 | Back pain | 8 | T5-L1 | 60.1 | T5-T10 and T12-L1 | Bulging in T5-6, T7-T10; syringomyelia from T2 to L1, lumbar levoscoliosis |
| 8 | T | Male | 19 | Low back pain | 6 | T7-L1 | 52.0 | T7-T11 and T12-L1 | Bulging in T8-9 and T10-11 |
| 9 | T | Male | 17 | Back pain | 6 | T6-T12 | 61.7 | T6-T12 | Bulging in T10-11, L4-S1, syringomyelia at thoracic T9-10 |
| 10 | T | Male | 17 | Curved back, kyphosis | 7 | T6-L1 | 62.1 | T7-8 single level | Paramedian bulging in T7-8 |
| 11 | T | Male | 17 | Scoliosis | 7 | T6-L1 | 55.0 | None | None |
| 12 | T | Female | 11 | Kyphosis | 4 | T5-T9 | 67.2 | T5-6, 7-8, 8-9, 11-12 | Posterocentral protrusion in T11-12 |
| 13 | A | Male | 16 | Low back pain | 7 | T7-L2 | 40.6 | T4-5, T7-L1 | Posterocentral protrusion in T4-5, syringomyelia at T3-T5 levels |
| 14 | T | Female | 23 | Back pain | 3 | T7-T10 | 50.5 | T7-T10 | Multiple bulging |
| 15 | A | Male | 20 | Low back pain | 8 | T7-L3 | 26.3 | T3-4, 6-7-8-9, 11-12 | Bulging in T7-8; Posterocentral protrusion in T8-9, 11-12 |
| 16 | A | Male | 14 | Low back pain | 8 | T10-S1 | 24.7 | T10-L5 | None |
| 17 | T | Female | 14 | Low back pain, scoliosis | 5 | T6-T11 | 55.3 | T6-9, L1-2 | Diffused bulging in T12-L1, L1-L2 |
| 18 | T | Male | 16 | Low back pain | 4 | T5-T9 | 43.3 | T5-9 | Posterocentral bulging in T9-10 |
| 19 | T | Female | 20 | Back pain, scoliosis | 3 | T7-10 | 63.3 | T7-10 | Lumbar levoscoliosis |
| 20 | T | Female | 16 | Low back pain, scoliosis | 7 | T5-T12 | 48.5 | T7-9 | Posterior bulging in T7-8, T8-9 |

****


**Figure 1 Illustration of Cobb angle in lateral radiography (Patient no: 17).** Cobb angle: 55.3°.

****

**Figure 2 Seventeen years old patient with typical Scheuermann’s disease (Patient no: 9).** Sagittal plane (A) T2 weighted (B) T1 weighted (C and D) axial plane T2 weighted magnetic resonance imaging A and B: Kyphosis with apex facing to T9 vertebra (Cobb angle 61.7°), irregularities more evident in central part of T6-T12 endplates (white arrows) and disc degeneration are shown at these levels. C and D: Syrinx in medulla spinalis at thoracic 9-10 levels (black arrows).

****

**Figure 3 Nineteen years old male patient with typical Scheuermann’s disease** **(Patient no: 8).** Sagittal plane (A) T2 weighted (B) T1 weighted (C and D) axial plane T2 weighted magnetic resonance imaging A and B: Kyphosis with apex facing to T9, irregularities in T7-L1 endplates and disc degeneration at these levels (except for T11-12) (white arrows) are shown. C and D: Bulging is demonstrated at thoracic 8-9 and 10-11 levels (white arrows).

****

**Figure 4 Twenty three years old male patient with typical Scheuermann’s disease (Patient no: 7).** A:A scoliosis radiography demonstrating scoliosis with opening facing to right (white arrow) in lumbar axis; B. Lateral radiography of kyphosis with apex facing to T7 vertebra in thoracic spinal axis (Cobb angle 60.1°) and irregularities in thoracic endplates are shown.

****


**Figure 5 Twenty three years old male patient with typical Scheuermann’s disease (Patient no: 7).** (A and B) Thoracic sagittal plane (C and D) axial plane T2 weighted magnetic resonance imaging. A and B: Irregularities in endplates (white arrows), degenerations in discs slight syringomyelia in spinal cords are shown; C and D: Hyperintensity due to syrinx (black arrows) in spinal cord and diffused bulging are shown.

****

**Figure 6 Eighteen years old male patient with atypical Scheuermann’s disease (Patient no: 3).** (A) Sagittal reformatted (B and C) axial plane computerized tomography. A: Evident irregularities and Schmorl nodules in thoracic, lumbar and sacral endplate surfaces (black arrows), anterior wedging in vertebra corpuses (white arrows) are shown; B and C: Endplate irregularities in axial plane CT images (white arrows) and Schmorl nodules (black arrows) are shown.

****


**Figure 7 Eighteen years old male patient with atypical Scheuermann’s disease (Patient no: 3).** Sagittal plane (A) T2 weighted (B) T1 weighted (C and D) axial plane T2 weighted magnetic resonance imaging A and B: Thoracic 7-8, T9-L2 endplate irregularities, Schmorl nodules (white arrows) and degeneration signals in discs are shown. C and D: Posterior central protrusion (white arrow) and diffused bulging are shown.

****

**Figure 8 Fourteen years old male patient with atypical Scheuermann’s disease (Patient no: 6).** A: Slight scoliosis with opening facing towards right in lumbar axis is shown in a scoliosis radiography (white arrow); B: Kyphosis with apex facing towards T11 level, and successive thoracic and lumbar endplate irregularities are demonstrated in a lateral radiography.

****

**Figure 9 Fourteen years old male patient with atypical Scheuermann’s disease (Patient no: 6).** (A) Thoracic (B) lumbar sagittal plane T2 weighted magnetic resonance imaging A: Elevated kyphosis at lower thoracic level, endplate irregularities and disc degenerations starting at T5 level (white arrows) and syringomyelia on thoracic spinal cord (black arrow) are demonstrated; B: Evident degenerative irregularities and disc degenerations (white arrows) in lower thoracic and upper lumbar endplates are shown.

****

**Figure 10 Fourteen years old male patient with atypical Scheuermann’s disease (Patient no: 16).** (A) Lateral radiography (B) Thoracic and (C) Lumbar sagittal plane T2 weighted magnetic resonance imaging. A: Anterior wedging in corpuses of lower thoracic and upper lumbar vertebrae (white arrows), and lower thoracic and lumbar endplate irregularities (black arrows) are shown; B and C: Lower thoracic and lumbar endplate irregularities (white arrows) and disc degenerations are demonstrated.