

## Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS



Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (manu27765, response to reviewer).

**Title:** “Can language acquisition be facilitated in cochlear implanted children? The comparison of cognitive and behavioral psychologists’ viewpoints: A systematic review”

**Author:** Leila Monshizadeh, Roshanak Vameghi, Fariba Yadegari, Firoozeh Sajedi, Seyed Basir Hashemi

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of clinical pediatrics

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 27765

The manuscript has been improved according to the valuable suggestions of reviewers:

### Reviewer 1

#### Comments:

Unfortunately, the number of studies that are available apparently is quite low, and among the 11 papers the authors identified, there is none with the evidence level I, and several are just expert opinions

#### Reply:

In spite of the fact that you have correctly mentioned, the number of studies were very low, especially those with higher levels of evidence. The possible reason for this may be that the topic of language acquisition in young children on the whole, and specifically the cognitive and behavioral factors that are related to language acquisition have been mostly ignored over the years by most researchers.

In addition, the shortage of systematic reviews and randomized control trials (RCTs) and even other types of analytic or interventional designs in this field of knowledge, forced us to focus on literature mainly based on expert opinion.

However, a short description is added at the last part of the introduction to clarify that this review was mainly based on expert opinion type literature.

#### Comment:

This sounds in fact, rather like an “expert opinion” than like a Cochrane review

#### Reply:

As explained before, the shortage of systematic reviews and randomized control trials (RCTs) and even other types of analytic or interventional designs in this field of knowledge forced us to focus on literature mainly based on expert opinion.

However, we tried hard to stay loyal to the steps required in a good narrative review; so even the literature that was mainly based on expert opinion was searched, detected and selected through a similar course, than that of a Cochrane review. However, a short description is added at the last part of the introduction to clarify that this review was mainly based on expert opinion type literature.

#### Comment:

Examples of grammar and spelling errors

#### Reply:

Your comment which referred to some specific punctuation errors is not applicable and thus was not performed because of the omission of overlapping texts according to editor’s suggestions. However, we took care that punctuation or spelling mistakes are minimized throughout the article.

Thank you again for your valuable comments

Sincerely yours,

.....  
  
R. Vameghi