

## **Response to reviewers**

**Name of Journal: *World Journal of Orthopedics***

**ESPS Manuscript NO: 28208**

**Manuscript Type: Original Article**

***Basic Study***

**Spinal alignment evolution with age: A prospective gait analysis study**

**Sébastien Pesenti, Benjamin Blondel, Emilie Peltier, Elke Viehweger, Vincent Pomeroy, Guillaume Authier, Stéphane Fuentes, Jean-Luc Jouve**

All changes appear highlighted in yellow in the revised manuscript.

### **Reviewer 1**

**“Although the sample size is relatively small, this is an interesting study. However, I have some concerns why authors chose these parameters to perform gait analyses such as SVA, TA, LA, etc. If there are references, please list; If not, please give detailed reasons in discussion section.”**

Thanks a lot for your comment. According to your recommendation, references have been added in the manuscript. For parameters that never have been described, a paragraph in the discussion section has been added in order to explain the authors' choice.

### **Reviewer 2**

**“Thank you for submitting your work to WJO. I am attaching a file with a few language editing issues underlined in yellow. Congratulations on your achievements.”**

Thank you very much for your laudatory comment. Unfortunately, I did not get the language correction you made. If the editor provides it to me, I will deal with it.