

## ANSWERING REVIEWERS

**Reviewer's code:** 03501077

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is well written, the theme is clinically important. Only minor language corrections are necessary. I suggest to spend more words describing VisiGlide features and role. Discussion is quite long, useless sentences should be removed. Please increase the number of ERCP images.

Answer

Thank you for the review of the article. I corrected it. Thank you in advance for your help. Thank you very much for estimating this study. I'm very thankful. I'd like to increase and consider number of cases from now. This article has been carefully reviewed by an experienced medical editor whose first language is English and who is specialized in the editing of papers written by physicians and scientists whose native language is not English.

**Reviewer's code:** 03529651

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a unique multicenter prospective study with a significant number of patients investigating an important topic, 0.025-inch guidewire VisiGlide2™ used for ERCP-related procedures as the first choice. The study results showed high accomplishment rate of procedure and low incidence of accidental symptoms. The results have a clinical impact on selecting the ideal guidewire that can accomplish the procedure by itself. This is a well-written article; the manuscript is concise, clear, comprehensive, and convincing.

Answer

Thank you very much for estimating this study. I'm very thankful.

**Reviewer's code:** 01356099

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study the authors have compared two different types 0.025-inch guidewire (OLYMPUS - VisiGlide2™ and VisiGlide™). They found that success rate is high (97.5(197/202)% vs 92.3(180/195)%) in VisiGlide2™.

Answer

Thank you very much for estimating this study. I'm very thankful.

**Reviewer's code:** 01438502

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors The thing which is a revised version of a product of the same company and becomes good for a dater of course is expected. Partiality is also seen by the angle type and the straight type about use comparison, and I can think that also influences in a result. But there is no detailed description about that. If possible, it may be difficult, but when there is comparison of use results with something of other companies, isn't it useful by a reader? If small description isn't about a conjurer, either, and an assistant occupies an important element for guide wire operation, and this description is not, and comparative number of cases is a colorful hand skill in about 300, to influence the success rate, I think the small explanation to need. I think when it's easier to understand when there is description about this. The result which you can be convinced seems when the result which you can be convinced is obtained when the difference to which I'm out is slight, and a significant difference collects number of cases further.

Answer

The same diameter of the same company was considered in guidewire by this study. Consideration with something of a thing other company by nose shape and the thickness is considered as future's problem. There was no that in the evaluation item by this prospective study. I'm sorry.