

Dear professor Lian-Sheng Ma,
President and Company Editor-in-Chief
Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

Thank you for your consideration of my manuscript for the editorial of WJG.
I revised my manuscript according to the reviewers' comments and suggestion listed below. The changes in the manuscript are indicated in highlight.
Thank you again for your efforts and help of processing our manuscript.

Best regards,

Zenichi Morise M.D., Ph.D., FACS
Professor, Department of Surgery
Chief, Preparatory Committee for Okazaki Hospital
Fujita Health University School of Medicine
1-98 Dengakugakubo Kutsukakecho
Toyoake, AICHI 470-1192 JAPAN
Phone & FAX:+81-562-93-9041

Reviewer A

In this manuscript, the authors propose a new technical approach with caudal approach and postural changes for laparoscopic liver resection for posterosuperior liver tumors. This issue is a challenge for laparoscopic surgery's liver tumors and the manuscript represents a contribution to overcome this challenge. I believe it would be appropriate that the title of this manuscript reflect the real nature of the matter contained therein. This manuscript is a technical description and not a clinical evaluation of this approach, because the authors are restricted to addressing only the technical aspects of laparoscopic resection posterosuperior liver tumors. If the authors have the conviction that this is a new technique, it should also be in the title, for example: "a new technical approach."

In the title, the words "- A new technical approach -" were added.

The key words are not related in MESH and are not official.

The key words were changed the words related in MESH and official.

In the 21th line of the Introduction section, there is no need to mention the acronym ICCLLR. If the authors consider important, they should explain what it means.

The word of "ICCLLR" was deleted.

On page 4, please put the references in "There are several reports (?) which describe different approaches to conquer the problem in LLR for posterosuperior tumors."

Reference were put in the sentence. Accordingly, the numbers of the references were changed.

Please put the reference after the name of the authors. For example: "Patriti et al. (?) reported that robotic liver resection ... " There are other similar situations throughout the text.

The placement of the reference number in the sentences was changed allthrough, according to the reviewers comment.

Reviewer B

The editorial discussed a new approach method to finish Laparoscopic liver resection (LLR) for tumors in posterosuperior liver (segment(S) 7 and deep S6). It is awesome to result the problem like the author described. But I still have some question as below.

1. As my opinion, just a method is not enough to meet the content of a editorial. you could add other surgical method about LLR for Posterosuperior Tumors to integrate it.

In the first part of the column of "OUR APPROACH-CAUDAL APPROACH WITH POSTURAL CHANGES" and table 1, the summary and explanations of the other approaches were added.

2. If you have some your own clinical data, you could add it to transform the editorial to article.

I did not put our data and went to Editorial format, not article. We have 20-30 cases who were applied described approach and they had significantly better peri-operative outcomes (operation time, amount of bleeding, hospital stay etc)

compared to those from the other patients with posterior tumor but without using the approach. However, these data are historical and the number is small. Therefore, I just put the comment of "After the introduction of this new approach, the peri-operative outcomes of posterosuperior LLR improved and are now similar to those of the other area LLR in our institute (data not shown)." in the the column of "OUR APPROACH-CAUDAL APPROACH WITH POSTURAL CHANGES" after the sentences describing the summary and explanations of the other approaches.

3. 3.The langrage is not read smoothly,it need to polish again.

The language polishing was performed and the certification form was attached.