

World Journal of *Gastrointestinal Surgery*

World J Gastrointest Surg 2017 May 27; 9(5): 118-138





MINIREVIEWS

- 118 Acute calculous cholecystitis: Review of current best practices

Gomes CA, Junior CS, Di Saverio S, Sartelli M, Kelly MD, Gomes CC, Gomes FC, Corrêa LD, Alves CB, Guimarães SF

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

- 127 International scientific communications in the field of colorectal tumour markers

Ivanov K, Donev I

Contents

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Volume 9 Number 5 May 27, 2017

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, Markus Frank, MD, Assistant Professor, Doctor, Transplantat Res Center, Children's Hospital, Boston, MA 02115, United States

AIM AND SCOPE

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery (*World J Gastrointest Surg*, *WJGS*, online ISSN 1948-9366, DOI: 10.4240) is a peer-reviewed open access academic journal that aims to guide clinical practice and improve diagnostic and therapeutic skills of clinicians.

WJGS covers topics concerning micro-invasive surgery; laparoscopy; hepatic, biliary, pancreatic and splenic surgery; surgical nutrition; portal hypertension, as well as associated subjects. The current columns of *WJGS* include editorial, frontier, diagnostic advances, therapeutics advances, field of vision, mini-reviews, review, topic highlight, medical ethics, original articles, case report, clinical case conference (Clinicopathological conference), and autobiography. Priority publication will be given to articles concerning diagnosis and treatment of gastrointestinal surgery diseases. The following aspects are covered: Clinical diagnosis, laboratory diagnosis, differential diagnosis, imaging tests, pathological diagnosis, molecular biological diagnosis, immunological diagnosis, genetic diagnosis, functional diagnostics, and physical diagnosis; and comprehensive therapy, drug therapy, surgical therapy, interventional treatment, minimally invasive therapy, and robot-assisted therapy.

We encourage authors to submit their manuscripts to *WJGS*. We will give priority to manuscripts that are supported by major national and international foundations and those that are of great basic and clinical significance.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery is now indexed in Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), PubMed, and PubMed Central.

FLYLEAF

I-III Editorial Board

EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Responsible Assistant Editor: *Xiang Li*
Responsible Electronic Editor: *Huan-Liang Wu*
Proofing Editor-in-Chief: *Lian-Sheng Ma*

Responsible Science Editor: *Fang-Fang Ji*
Proofing Editorial Office Director: *Xiu-Xia Song*

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ISSN

ISSN 1948-9366 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

November 30, 2009

FREQUENCY

Monthly

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Timothy M Pawlik, MD, Director, Professor, Department of Surgery, Johns Hopkins University, School of Medical, Baltimore, MD 21287, United States

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

All editorial board members resources online at <http://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/editorialboard.htm>

EDITORIAL OFFICE

Xiu-Xia Song, Director

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Baishideng Publishing Group Inc
7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-2238242
Fax: +1-925-2238243
E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: <http://www.wjgnet.com/helpdesk>
<http://www.wjgnet.com>

PUBLISHER

Baishideng Publishing Group Inc
901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-2238242
Fax: +1-925-2238243
E-mail: bpoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: <http://www.wjgnet.com/helpdesk>
<http://www.wjgnet.com>

PUBLICATION DATE

May 27, 2017

COPYRIGHT

© 2017 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. Articles published by this Open-Access journal are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non commercial and is otherwise in compliance with the license.

SPECIAL STATEMENT

All articles published in journals owned by the Baishideng Publishing Group (BPG) represent the views and opinions of their authors, and not the views, opinions or policies of the BPG, except where otherwise explicitly indicated.

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<http://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

International scientific communications in the field of colorectal tumour markers

Krasimir Ivanov, Ivan Donev

Krasimir Ivanov, Department of General and Operative Surgery, Professor Paraskev Stoyanov Medical University of Varna, 9002 Varna, Bulgaria

Ivan Donev, Clinic of Medical Oncology, St. Marina University Hospital of Varna, 9000 Varna, Bulgaria

Author contributions: Ivanov K designed the study; Donev I performed the information retrieval on the topic; Ivanov K drafted the manuscript; Ivanov K and Donev I were involved in the final approval of the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: There are no conflicts of interest.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Correspondence to: Krasimir Ivanov, MD, PhD, DSc, Professor, Rector, Department of General and Operative Surgery, Professor Paraskev Stoyanov Medical University of Varna, 55 Marin Drinov Street, 9002 Varna, Bulgaria. kdivanov@abv.bg
Telephone: +359-52-650057
Fax: +359-52-651900

Received: August 24, 2016

Peer-review started: August 26, 2016

First decision: October 20, 2016

Revised: November 16, 2016

Accepted: March 21, 2017

Article in press: March 22, 2017

Published online: May 27, 2017

Abstract

AIM

To analyze scientometrically the dynamic science internationalization on colorectal tumour markers as reflected in five information portals and to outline the significant journals, scientists and institutions.

METHODS

A retrospective problem-oriented search was performed in Web of Science Core Collection (WoS), MEDLINE, BIOSIS Citation Index (BIOSIS) and Scopus for 1986-2015 as well as in Derwent Innovations Index (Derwent) for 1995-2015. Several specific scientometric parameters of the publication output and citation activity were comparatively analyzed. The following scientometric parameters were analyzed: (1) annual dynamics of publications; (2) scientific institutions; (3) journals; (4) authors; (5) scientific forums; (6) patents - number of patents, names and countries of inventors, and (7) citations (number of citations to publications by single authors received in WoS, BIOSIS Citation Index and Scopus).

RESULTS

There is a trend towards increasing publication output on colorectal tumour markers worldwide along with high citation rates. Authors from 70 countries have published their research results in journals and conference proceedings in 21 languages. There is considerable country stratification similar to that in most systematic investigations. The information provided to end users and scientometricians varies between these data-bases in terms of most parameters due to different journal coverage, indexing systems and editorial policy. The lists of the so-called "core" journals and most productive authors in WoS, BIOSIS, MEDLINE and Scopus along with the list of the most productive authors - inventors in Derwent present a particular interest to the beginners in the field, the institutional and national science managers

and the journal editorial board members. The role of the purposeful assessment of scientific forums and patents is emphasized.

CONCLUSION

Our results along with this problem-oriented collection containing the researchers' names, addresses and publications could contribute to a more effective international collaboration of the coloproctologists from smaller countries and thus improve their visibility on the world information market.

Key words: Colorectal tumour markers; Scientometrics; International scientific communications; Web of Science; MEDLINE; BIOSIS; Scopus; Derwent

© The Author(s) 2017. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Colorectal tumour markers represent a promising option for the early diagnosis and prognostic evaluation of colorectal cancer patients. Dynamically changing environment of the communication infrastructure in this significant interdisciplinary field deserves comprehensive scientometric assessment. By means of this specific approach, valuable and relatively objective information about the trends and perspectives of research and publication output worldwide has been provided. The results obtained and the comprehensive collection of abstracts and full texts of relevant publications on colorectal tumour markers could contribute to the further improvement of the international visibility on the world information market of coloproctologists from smaller countries.

Ivanov K, Donev I. International scientific communications in the field of colorectal tumour markers. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2017; 9(5): 127-138 Available from: URL: <http://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v9/i5/127.htm> DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v9.i5.127>

INTRODUCTION

At present, primary colorectal cancer is diagnosed in > 1.4 million subjects annually and incidence is increasing^[1]. Recently, much effort focuses on screening and earlier detection of colorectal cancer, which reduces the cancer-related mortality rate^[2]. Several screening markers are currently applied to help diagnosing the early-stage colorectal cancer or even the premalignant lesions. They are divided into two different categories: stool markers, such as FOBT/FIT and blood-based markers as DNA/RNA and proteins^[3]. DNA methylation-based biomarkers should be widely used to improve the current diagnosis, screening, prognosis and treatment prediction in colorectal cancer^[4]. Detection of epigenetic and genetic alterations of circulating cell-free DNA as DNA methylation or DNA mutations and related

ribonucleic acids improves cancer detection based on unique, colorectal cancer-specific patterns which serve as biomarkers in screening and diagnosis^[5].

The analysis of a panel of 92 candidate cancer protein markers measured in 35 clinically identified colorectal cancer patients and 35 ones identified at screening colonoscopy proves the importance of the validation of the early detection markers in a true screening setting for limiting the number of false-positive findings^[6]. Serum expression levels of miR-17, miR-21, and miR-92 represent valuable markers for recurrence after adjuvant chemotherapy in colon cancer patients^[7].

A plasma-based protein marker panel for colorectal cancer detection was identified by multiplex targeted mass spectrometry using multiple reaction monitoring technology^[8]. The usefulness of diagnostic marker panels was already suggested by us, too^[9]. The measurement of metabolite porphyrin concentrations in urine could serve as a new screening and recurrence marker for colorectal cancer^[10]. Better understanding and elucidation of the various influences provides a more accurate picture of the segmental distribution of some common molecular markers in colorectal cancer such as KRAS, EGFR, Ki-67, Bcl-2, and COX-2, potentially allowing the application of a novel patient's stratification for treatment based on particular molecular profiles in combination with tumour location^[11].

The main objectives of this article were to comparatively analyze by means of scientometric methods the dynamic science internationalization in the actual topic of colorectal tumour markers as reflected in five information portals (data-bases), to outline the most significant primary information sources, scientists and institutions in this interdisciplinary field and thus attempt at contributing to the further improvement of the international scientific communications in smaller countries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In July 2016, a retrospective problem-oriented search on this topic using the term of "colorectal marker(s)" in publication titles only was performed. Information retrieval covered the following information portals (data-bases): Web of Science Core Collection (WoS), MEDLINE and BIOSIS Citation Index (BIOSIS) (Thomson Reuters, Philadelphia, PA, United States) as well as Scopus (Elsevier, the Netherlands) for the period from January 1st, 1986 till December 31st, 2015. Information about patents indexed in Derwent Innovations Index (Derwent) (Thomson Reuters, Philadelphia, PA, United States) between 1995 and 2015 was analyzed, too.

The following scientometric parameters were analyzed: (1) annual dynamics of publications - total number and thematic belonging of abstracted publications as well as languages and types of primary publications; (2) scientific institutions - number of abstracted publications and country belonging; (3) journals - total number and number of abstracted articles




Figure 1 Annual dynamics of the number of publications on the topic abstracted in four data-bases.

Table 1 General bibliometric characteristics of four data-bases concerning the topic

Parameter	WoS	BIOSIS	MEDLINE	Scopus
Total number of publications	1587	1172	1108	1221
Total number of journals	334	265	364	N/A
Total number of journals with one article only	163	140	201	N/A
Total number of languages (<i>n</i> = 21)	5	11	17	19
Total number of countries of authors (<i>n</i> = 70)	63	55	N/A	63
Total number of research areas (WoS categories)	48	42	49	21

N/A: Not available.

from single journals as well as narrow-profile specialized journals containing the term of “(bio)marker(s)” in their titles; (4) authors - number of unique names and number of publications; (5) scientific forums - titles and publications in them; and (6) patents - number of patents, names and countries of inventors and assignees as well number of claims in single patents, and (7) citations - number of citations to publications by single authors received in WoS, BIOSIS Citation Index and Scopus. Purposeful combinations of such quantitative parameters enabled a comprehensive assessment of the unity of the institutionalization, interdisciplinarity and internationalization of modern science in this narrow field of rising socio-medical importance^[12].

RESULTS

Our results revealed several essential peculiarities of the dynamic structure of the publication and citation output on this topic during these three decades.

The amounts of relevant papers, journals containing them, and countries of authors varies between the data-bases (Table 1). There are 106 patents indexed in Derwent during the period of the observation

The annual dynamics of the number of publications on this topic which have been abstracted in WoS, BIOSIS, MEDLINE and Scopus and that of the patents abstracted in Derwent are illustrated on Figures 1 and 2. There is a considerable recent increase of the publication output, especially in WoS.

The distribution of some leading countries according to the number of publications in WoS, BIOSIS, and Scopus indicates a considerable stratification typical of most scientometric investigations (Figure 3). The corresponding figures for the United States are 314, 228, and 223 publications; for Canada - 36, 17, and 21; for Switzerland - 34, 21, and 20; for Poland - 17, 13, and 24; for Bulgaria - only five, three, and three, respectively, etc. Meanwhile, the aforementioned paper of ours^[8] has received six citations in WoS.

The distributions of document types (Table 2) and languages (Table 3) display an obvious variability between these four data-bases. This is mainly due to the strict restrictions of journal coverages permanently applied by the editors of WoS.

The lists of the so-called “core” journals containing the greatest number of relevant papers on the topic (Table 4) and the most productive authors in WoS, BIOSIS, MEDLINE and Scopus (Table 5) along with the list of the most productive authors - inventors in Derwent (Table 6) represent a particular interest not only to the beginners in the field but also to the institutional and national science managers and the journal editorial board members as well. It should be added that among the top 20 journals, there are two titles equally represented in four data-bases, three titles are omitted in one data-base but one title, Lab Invest is omitted in both MEDLINE and Scopus. On the other hand, most journals in the scientometric “tail”, *i.e.*, presenting with one article abstracted only, are




Figure 2 Annual dynamics of patents on the topic.

Table 2 Document type distribution in four data-bases

Document type	WoS	BIOSIS	MEDLINE	Scopus
Journal article	870	700	1057	970
Review	63	38	118	114
Congress proceedings	57	6	1	39
Meeting abstract	543	313	0	0
Editorial	34	6	17	18
Letter-to-the-editor	37	9	28	32
Book chapter	6	9	0	8
Evaluation study	0	0	28	0
Multicenter study	0	0	19	0
Randomized controlled trial	0	0	15	0
Meta-analysis	0	0	13	0
Validation study	0	0	11	0
Patent	0	19	0	0

Table 4 "Core" journals on the topic in four data-bases

Rank	Journal title	WoS	BIOSIS	MEDLINE	Scopus
1	<i>Gastroenterology</i>	115	100	15	15
2	<i>J Clin Oncol</i>	96	4	12	13
3	<i>Br J Cancer</i>	52	47	45	47
4	<i>Anticancer Res</i>	46	54	39	39
5	<i>Cancer Res</i>	43	45	14	14
6	<i>Eur J Cancer</i>	38	36	20	20
7	<i>Clin Cancer Res</i>	36	9	34	34
8	<i>Dis Colon Rectum</i>	33	4	24	19
9	<i>Oncol Rep</i>	28	28	28	28
10	<i>Int J Cancer</i>	27	25	26	26
Total "core" journals - n (%)		10 (2.99)	10 (3.76)	10 (2.75)	10 (N/A)
Total publications - n (%)		514 (32.39)	352 (30.03)	255 (23.01)	257 (21.05)

N/A: Not available.

Table 3 Language distribution of publications on the topic abstracted in four data-bases

Language	WoS	BIOSIS	MEDLINE	Scopus
English	1545	1136	1017	1095
German	17	5	10	17
French	14	9	12	14
Spanish	9	2	9	12
Japanese	0	7	17	21
Chinese	0	6	11	27
Italian	2	1	6	7
Polish	0	0	5	7
Czech	0	1	4	5
Danish	0	0	4	4
Other (11)	0	3 (5)	7 (15)	9 (15)

Table 5 Most productive authors on the topic in four data-bases

Rank	Author's name	WoS	BIOSIS	MEDLINE	Scopus
1	Ahlquist DA	25	31	10	8
2	Mori M	22	14	16	20
3	Doki Y	17	11	13	16
4	Nielsen HJ	17	12	2	11
5	Lugli A	16	14	5	6
6	Mimori K	16	10	11	14
7	Zlobec I	16	14	5	6
8	Inoue Y	14	4	10	10
9	Ishi H	14	8	11	14
10	Mahoney DW	14	11	1	2

almost equally indexed in these four data-bases thus confirming Bradford's law of journal scattering in any research field. In this case, these journals amount to 48.80% in WoS, to 52.83% in BIOSIS, and to 55.22% in MEDLINE (their absolute counts are shown in Table 1).

Only a small number of most productive scientific institutions in WoS and Scopus (Table 7) and institutions - assignees in Derwent (Table 8) is provided in order to indicate their undoubtedly high relative share on the world information market.

The computerized analysis published online by Thomson Reuters of the main research areas (in BIOSIS and MEDLINE) and of the Web of Science categories (in WoS itself) has identified significant differences concerning several indexing results between these three data-bases, Table 9). We would like only to mention the figures for "gastroenterology and hepatology", "biochemistry and molecular biology", and "immunology" and to emphasize the achievements in these interdisciplinary fields in clinical medicine and




Figure 3 Country distribution according to the number of publications on the topic abstracted in three data-bases.

Table 6 Most productive authors - inventors on the topic in Derwent

Name	Country	City	Institution	Patents
Karl J	Germany	Penzberg	Roche Diagnostic GmbH	9
Choquet-Kastyevsky G	France	Nancy Letoile	Biomerieux SA	9
Charrier JP	France	Nancy Letoile	Biomerieux SA	9
Ataman-Oenal Y	France	Nancy Letoile	Biomerieux SA	6
Beaulieu C	France	Nancy Letoile	Biomerieux SA	6
Ahlquist DA	United States	Rochester	Mayo Clinic	4

Table 7 Most productive institutions on the topic in WoS and in Scopus

Rank	Institution	WoS	Scopus
1	German Cancer Research Center	29	26
2	Mayo Clinic	29	17
3	Harvard University	28	14
4	Osaka University	25	25
5	Kyushu University	22	22
6	Universität Heidelberg	25	19
7	Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München	21	23
8	Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center	20	12
9	Kaohsiung Medical University	15	22
10	University of Copenhagen	23	9

Table 8 Most productive institutions - assignees on this topic in Derwent

Nomination	Country	Patents
Biomerieux SA	France	9
Hoffmann La Roche	Switzerland	9
Mayo Medical Education and Research	United States	4
Ruiqu Biotechnology Shanghai Co. Ltd	China	3
Signature Diagnostics GmbH	Germany	3
Shimadzu Corporation	Japan	3
Ver Christelijk Wetenschappelijk Onderw	The Netherlands	3
Fudan University	China	3

Table 9 Dominant research areas (WoS categories) on the topic in three data-bases

Rank	Research area (WoS category)	WoS	BIOSIS	MEDLINE
1	Oncology	834	1153	1034
2	Gastroenterology and hepatology	297	1084	166
3	Surgery	301	55	132
4	Pathology	169	55	74
5	Cell biology	47	42	231
6	Biochemistry and molecular biology	42	266	703
7	Medical laboratory technology	33	393	48
8	Pharmacology and pharmacy	27	144	190
9	Radiology, nuclear medicine and medical imaging	25	15	30
10	Genetics and heredity	24	402	490
11	Public, environmental and occupational health	23	22	29
12	Immunology	10	77	454
13	Hematology	7	22	43
14	Nutrition and dietetics	5	16	17
15	Endocrinology and metabolism	3	98	22

biomedicine.

The distributions of the number of authors according to the number of their patents (Figure 4) and that of the declared claims in their patents (Figure 5) demonstrate a significant research activity on the topic of colorectal tumour markers. This specific scientometric evaluation contributes to the identification of the players at the fore-front of clinical medicine-related technological progress.

Several common citation patterns on this topic as reflected in WoS and BIOSIS are listed in Table 10. The percentages of the times cited without self-citations and of the citing articles without self-citations are extraordinarily high, indeed. The so-called "h-index" introduced by Hirsch^[13] is very high - 75 and 57 in WoS and in BIOSIS, respectively.

The comparative assessment of ten articles which have been most cited in WoS, in BIOSIS, and in Scopus (Table 11)^[14-23] identifies two weird discrepancies. The




Figure 4 Distribution of the number of authors according to the number of their patents on the topic.




Figure 5 Distribution of the number of declared claims in the patents on the topic.

Table 10 Cumulative citation patterns on the topic in WoS and BIOSIS

Citation parameter	WoS	BIOSIS
Total number of publications	1587	1172
Sum of the times cited	25116	13297
Sum of the times cited without self-citations	24092	12777
Percentage of these times cited	95.92	96.09
Citing articles	19607	11061
Citing articles without self-citations	19120	10779
Percentage of these citing articles	97.52	97.45
Average citations per item	15.83	11.35
Average citations per year	810.19	443.23
Articles cited at least once	961	643
Percentage of these articles	60.55	54.86
H-index	75	57

article published in the “core” journal *J Clin Oncol*^[17] has not been indexed in Scopus at all (as opposed to the other 13 articles in this journal) as well as the article co-authored by Sturgeon *et al.*^[22] and published in the journal *Clin Chem* has not been indexed in BIOSIS at all (as opposed to the other nine articles in this journal ranked 15th among a total of 265 journals).

The comprehensive scientometric analysis of the bibliographic information about the congresses, symposia, meetings, and conferences held in many countries which proceedings have been abstracted in WoS and in BIOSIS clearly outlines the rising role of these forums for

the intensive development of the international scientific communications and science advancement as well (Tables 12 and 13).

In WoS and in BIOSIS, we have identified six scientific forums containing the terms of “tumour or cancer (bio) markers” in their titles (Table 14) and, in four data-bases, we have found out eight specialized journals meeting this criterion (Table 15). The annual dynamics of these 51 articles is characterized by two peak values (in 2010 and in 2014) (Figure 6). The considerable relative share (78.43%) of the papers published in foreign specialized journals stresses, indeed (Figure 7) and testifies to the substantial role of this particular aspect of science internationalization.

DISCUSSION



Our results convincingly outline the rising publication output on colorectal tumour markers worldwide and the significant citation activity as substantial features of quality and international prestige under the conditions of science globalization.

Modern colorectal tumour markers are used either for diagnostic, or for prognostic purposes. In addition, they could be applied for therapeutic evaluations.

The combined detection of two tumour markers, serum p53 antibody and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), improves the diagnostic sensitivity and prognosis

Table 11 Ten most cited articles on the topic in three data-bases

Ref.	Journal title, volume, year and pages	WoS	BIOSIS	Scopus
Ng <i>et al</i> ^[14]	<i>Gut</i> 2009; 58: 1375-1381	593	447	656
Bast <i>et al</i> ^[15]	<i>J Clin Oncol</i> 2001; 19: 1865-1878	552	314	670
Cui <i>et al</i> ^[16]	<i>Science</i> 2003; 299: 1753-1755	472	400	530
No author list ^[17]	<i>J Clin Oncol</i> 1996; 14: 2843-2877	388	234	Absent
Walther <i>et al</i> ^[18]	<i>Nat Rev Cancer</i> 2009; 9: 489-499	315	243	348
Duffy ^[19]	<i>Clin Chem</i> 2001; 47: 624-630	253	141	289
Duffy <i>et al</i> ^[20]	<i>Eur J Cancer</i> 2007; 43: 1348-1360	245	160	276
Nakamori <i>et al</i> ^[21]	<i>Gastroenterology</i> 1994; 106: 353-361	234	179	219
Sturgeon <i>et al</i> ^[22]	<i>Clin Chem</i> 2008; 54: E11-E79	211	Absent	255
Duffy <i>et al</i> ^[23]	<i>Eur J Cancer</i> 2003; 39: 718-727	202	120	235

**Figure 6** Annual dynamics of papers on the topic in specialized journals.**Figure 7** Papers on the topic published in domestic and foreign specialized journals. I: Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev; II: Int J Biol Markers; III: Cancer Biomarkers; IV: Disease Markers; V: J Tumor Marker Oncol; VI: Biomarkers; VII: Biomarkers Med; VIII: Genet Testing Mol Biomarkers.

of early-stage colorectal cancer patients^[24].

A diagnosis strategy of serum tumour markers, an artificial intelligent algorithm, provides decision support for physicians on the usage of different tumour markers and diagnosis of colorectal cancer^[25].

CEA containing macrophages combined with C-reactive protein possesses diagnostic potential in early colorectal cancer^[26]. The diagnostic models based on the logistic regression analysis, support vector machine and back-propagation neural network demonstrate

Table 12 Bibliometric characteristics of scientific forums on the topic in WoS and BIOSIS

Parameter	WoS	BIOSIS
Number of forum titles	95	73
Number of unique forums	170	203
Number of publications	377	432
Number of forums with a single event only	71	52
Number of forums with two events	9	5
Number of forums with three events	5	2
Number of forums with one publication only	57	117
Number of forums with two publications	10	34
Number of forums with three publications	5	16
Maximal number of events of a unique forum	12	27
Maximal number of publications in a unique forum	58	102

Table 13 Scientific forums with most events and papers in them on the topic in WoS and BIOSIS

Scientific forum title	WoS		BIOSIS	
	Events	Papers	Events	Papers
Digestive Disease Week	12	58	25	90
Annual Meeting of the American Association for Cancer Research	4	17	27	102
Annual Meeting of the United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology	10	34	11	29
Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology	8	49	0	0
European Society for Medical Oncology Congress	7	17	1	5
World Congress of Gastrointestinal Cancer	7	24	0	0
Meeting of the International Society for Oncodevelopmental Biology and Medicine	3	6	9	16
Meeting of the Pathological Society of Great Britain and Ireland	5	5	11	11
European Congress of Pathology	0	0	11	22
Annual Meeting of the American College of Gastroenterology	4	5	5	6

a higher early diagnostic value of the combination of serum tumour markers, *e.g.*, CEA, cancer antigen (CA) such as CA 19-9, CA 242, CA 125, and CA 15-3 for colorectal cancer^[27]. SATB2 protein is a diagnostic marker for tumours of colorectal origin and provides a new and advantageous supplement for clinical differential diagnostics^[28]. In combination with CK7 and CK20, its specificity increases from 77% up to 100%. The most common markers for such tumours include the expression of CK20, often along with lack of CK7, *i.e.*, the CK20⁺/CK7⁻ phenotype^[28].

MYBL2 gene is an independent prognostic marker with tumour-promoting functions in colorectal cancer and its overexpression may play an important role in tumourigenesis^[29]. HLA class II antigen expression in colorectal cancer is a reliable prognostic marker as it is related with a favourable clinical course of the disease^[30]. The combined high levels of some inflammatory cytokines such as CXCL8, vascular endothelial growth factor and Pentraxin3 are potential prognostic markers as they are associated with increased risk of colorectal cancer

Table 14 Scientific forums with “tumour or cancer (bio)markers” in their titles in WoS and BIOSIS

Scientific forum title	WoS		BIOSIS	
	Events	Papers	Events	Papers
Hamburg Symposium on Tumor Markers	2	3	5	8
Congress (Meeting) of the International Society of Oncology and Biomarkers	3	4	2	2
Annual Meeting of the EORTC/NCI/ASCO on Molecular Markers in Cancer	1	2	1	2
Annual Conference on Diet and Cancer: Markers, Prevention, and Treatment	1	1	0	0
International Symposium on Tumor Markers - From Biology to Therapy	1	1	0	0
Joint Meeting on Markers in Cancer of ASCO, EORTC and NCI	0	0	1	1

recurrence independently of TNM staging and with worse survival^[31]. The circulating microRNAs markers miR-122 and miR-200 family members could be used in the development of a multi-marker blood test for colorectal cancer prognosis and survival^[32]. The decreased erythropoietin expression, high vascular endothelial growth factor levels and elevated cyclin B1 expression, predominant moderate tumour differentiation, absence of metastasis, and negative lymph node status are reliable proliferation and differentiation markers indicating the low level of aggressiveness, better prognosis, and longer colorectal adenocarcinoma patient's survival^[33]. By means of solid-phase proximity ligation assay, 35 protein markers were simultaneously analyzed in a small amount of blood of stage I to IV colorectal cancer patients, however, these markers did not give better prognostic information than CEA^[34].

An outlined correlation exists between the differentiation degree and expression of aldehyde dehydrogenase 1, a stem cell marker, in colorectal carcinoma cells^[35]. Low-stage tumours exhibit a higher expression of aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 or CD133 compared with high-stage tumours while CD133 expression is associated with lymph node metastasis-positive cases thus predicting the disease prognosis. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 and Nodal are important prognostic markers in colorectal cancer as there is a significant correlation between their expression and the differentiation degree, metastasis, number of tumour-positive lymph nodes and disease stage^[36].

Science internationalization includes not only direct research interaction between single scientists from different countries and their teams organized through official contracts or within informal collectives but also several essential components^[12]: (1) continuous creation of new international scientific societies and international associations of national societies, of new international scientific journals and international publishers or publish-

Table 15 Specialized journals with the term of “(bio)markers” in their titles in four data-bases

Rank	Journal title	WoS	Scopus	MEDLINE	BIOSIS	Total
1	<i>Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev</i>	0	0	0	15	15
2	<i>Int J Biol Markers</i>	5	0	11	9	13 ¹
3	<i>Cancer Biomarkers</i>	7	8	7	8	8 ¹
4	<i>Disease Markers</i>	5	5	5	5	5 ¹
5	<i>J Tumor Marker Oncol</i>	0	3	0	6	6 ¹
6	<i>Biomarkers</i>	2	0	2	2	2 ¹
7	<i>Biomarkers Med</i>	0	0	1	0	1
8	<i>Genet Testing Mol Biomarkers</i>	1	0	1	0	1 ¹
Total number of publications		20	16	27	45	51 ¹
Total number of journals		5	3	6	6	8 ¹
Countries of authors		19	13	20	20	25 ¹
Countries of journals		5	2	4	5	5 ¹
Articles in domestic journals		2	1	2	14	11 ¹
Articles in journals published abroad		18	15	25	31	40 ¹

¹The sum of unique items is smaller than the total amount of single items due their duplication in several data-bases.

ing houses; (2) publishing of scientific papers, reviews and book reviews in foreign journals and periodicals; (3) translation and publishing of monographs by foreign authors; (4) organization of international scientific forums and participation in them of authors from numerous foreign countries; (5) enrichment of the forms of immediate exchange of scientists from other countries; (6) unlimited dissemination of new scientific information through modern information-communication technologies; (7) modernization and automatization of scientific libraries; and (8) introduction of electronic journals and monographs; and (9) overcoming of the traditional barriers for interpersonal communication between scientists from different countries.

Similarly to other authors^[37], we face not only advantages but also disadvantages in the comprehensive activity of both editors and staff in these two widely recognized information centres in the United States and in the Netherlands. There is user-friendly uninterrupted online access to the information portals providing a rising amount of full-text articles. The computerized data processing facilitates automated problem-oriented information retrievals and large-scale scientometric analyses as well. However, several unfavorable features deserve a special attention. Some author's affiliations are incomplete, even within one and the same scientific institution. Single significant publications are missing in at least one of these four data-bases although the corresponding journals are covered. The incorporation of proceedings from congresses, conferences and symposia is insufficient. The indexing of primary document types and research areas should be further improved, too.

There is a stable research interests in the issues of a variety of peculiarities of the modern international scientific communications and collaboration worldwide.

Publication coverage in Scopus or WoS, English as a specific international language, and journal articles as a specific type of publication, are indicators of research quality and internationalization in the social sciences and humanities^[38]. There is a different extent

of internationalization of peer reviewed and non-peer reviewed book publications in the social sciences and humanities in Belgium^[39].

The analysis of the dynamics of journal internationality using using 1398 journals and 2557229 papers during 1991-2014 demonstrates that journals' papers and references have become more globalized over time^[40]. For both national and multinational publishers, most of the changes in journal internationalization occur between the fourth and sixth year of indexing in WoS. Natural sciences as well as engineering and technology have the most international papers but the journals in medical and health sciences, natural sciences, and agricultural sciences contain the most international references.

The emergence of a new transnational demand in health research dealing with global regenerative medicine and parallel markets is analyzed according to relevant theoretical dilemmas in medical anthropology and the sociology of science and health^[41].

The investigation of the international and domestic coauthorship relations of all citable items in the Social Sciences Citation Index 2011 demonstrates that the international networks in the social sciences have grown during the last decades in addition to the national ones but not by replacing them^[42]. The comparison of the internationalization of more than one thousand academic journals in six fields of science indicates that social sciences literature is still nationally and linguistically fragmented more than natural sciences one^[43].

A standardization method that transforms all fractions of internationally coauthored papers from a dataset of the National Science Foundation into a comparable framework is applied to examine the evolution and convergence of the patterns of international scientific collaboration between 1973 and 2012^[44]. The convergence of these long-run collaboration patterns between the applied and basic sciences might be a contributing factor that supports the evolution of modern

scientific fields.

The promises and challenges of international collaboration in achieving success towards poverty, environment, education, science, and medicine are reviewed comprehensively^[45]. A model for sustainable university-based international plastic surgery collaboration between plastic surgery consultants from abroad and a hospital in a developing country is implemented^[46]. The analysis of China's international publications on healthcare science and services research identifies a rapid recent increase^[47]. Collaboration among countries, institutions and authors increase, too. The academic impact of publications with partners from European and American countries is relatively higher than of those with partners from Asia. The most prominent actors are Peking University, Fudan University, Chinese University of Hong Kong, and University of Hong Kong. The significance of the international scientific collaboration in the field of minimally invasive general surgery is highlighted^[48].

The bibliometric analysis of Cuban scientific publications listed in PubMed during the period between 1990 and 2010 proves that Cuban science policy and practice ensure the application of science for social needs by harnessing human resources through national and international collaboration, building stronger scientific capacity^[49]. The research output and impact of 479 Mexican researchers working abroad and included in the Mexican National System of Researchers are investigated in terms of production, mobility and scientific collaboration^[50]. Mobility exerts a strong effect on scientists' international collaboration.

The dynamic internationalization of modern science is analyzed by Bulgarian authors in different interdisciplinary fields such as haemorrhagic stroke prevention^[51], paediatric sleep apnea^[52], applications of the geographical information systems in health planning^[37], etc.

In conclusion, contemporary colorectal tumour markers are more and more widely studied and routinely applied in clinical coloproctology worldwide thus promoting the further improvement of individualized patient's management. We have revealed a series of discrepancies in the coverage and computerized processing of the recent scientific literature on colorectal tumour markers by these powerful information centres that necessitates refinements in their editorial policy. The creation of this comprehensive problem-oriented collection with purposefully systematized files containing the researchers' names, addresses and publications is designed mainly for specialists in coloproctology from smaller countries who strive for a more effective collaboration with colleagues from eminent centres abroad and, in this way, to achieve an improved international visibility on the world information market.

COMMENTS

Background

A summary of the increasing role of screening and early detection of colorectal

cancer with a variety of specific colorectal serum markers that is reflected in five modern information portals covering world literature on this hot topic during the recent decades.

Research frontiers

Nowadays, science stratification in terms of individual researchers, teams, institutions, journals, and countries deserves a special attention to be paid by the comprehensive scientometric approach to the structure and dynamics of international scientific communications in the field of colorectal tumour markers. Such a particular analysis is capable of identifying the most productive authors representing a true interest to the beginners in oncological coloproctology and related fields, the institutional and national science managers and the journal editorial board members. By providing systematized factual information to end users, the scientometric results outline the emerging opportunities for fruitful interdisciplinary and international collaboration.

Innovations and breakthroughs

Under the conditions of enormous globalization and competition in contemporary science, timely orientation in and awareness of the promising advances in colorectal tumour markers can substantially contribute to new scientific achievements not only by leaders working in powerful countries but also by the scientists from the rest of the world. Thus the collaboration trends can be further empowered and expanded.

Applications

In the era of telecommunication technologies, the new scientific information on colorectal tumour markers published in the ocean of journals, conference proceedings, monographs, patents and other primary literature sources is very easy to access in case one could be trained in information science and applied scientometrics. Besides science policy managers at different levels and journal editors could successfully apply these scientometric results, too.

Terminology

At the first glance, the particular terminology used in this article looks nearly strange to gastrointestinal surgeons, coloproctologists, and oncologists. On the other hand, there is a rising amount of meta-analyses, systematic reviews and scientometric papers on different topics recently published in various journals. All these publications make specific contributions to the uninterrupted world science advancement of benefit to patients.

Peer-review

The authors explored five information portals for the topic of colorectal tumour markers and outlined the significant journals, scientists and institutions. The authors made tremendous efforts on searching and comparing the five information portals, and showed the detailed results. This paper is interesting.

REFERENCES

- 1 **GLOBOCAN 2012 v 1.0.** Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide. IARC CancerBase No 11. International Agency for Research on Cancer 2012. Available from: URL: <http://globocan.iarc.fr>
- 2 **Pande R,** Froggatt P, Baragwanath P, Harmston C. Survival outcome of patients with screening versus symptomatically detected colorectal cancers. *Colorectal Dis* 2013; **15**: 74-79 [PMID: 22672571 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2012.03120.x]
- 3 **Heichman KA.** Blood-based testing for colorectal cancer screening. *Mol Diagn Ther* 2014; **18**: 127-135 [PMID: 24307563 DOI: 10.1007/s40291-013-0074-z]
- 4 **Lam K,** Pan K, Linnekamp JF, Medema JP, Kandimalla R. DNA methylation based biomarkers in colorectal cancer: A systematic review. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2016; **1866**: 106-120 [PMID: 27385266 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2016.07.001]
- 5 **Tóth K,** Barták BK, Tulassay Z, Molnár B. Circulating cell-free nucleic acids as biomarkers in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 2016; **16**: 239-252 [PMID: 26652067 DOI: 10.1586/14737159.2016.1132164]

- 6 **Chen H**, Knebel P, Brenner H. Empirical evaluation demonstrated importance of validating biomarkers for early detection of cancer in screening settings to limit the number of false-positive findings. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2016; **75**: 108-114 [PMID: 26836253 DOI: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.01.022]
- 7 **Conev NV**, Donev IS, Konsoulova-Kirova AA, Chervenkov TG, Kashlov JK, Ivanov KD. Serum expression levels of miR-17, miR-21, and miR-92 as potential biomarkers for recurrence after adjuvant chemotherapy in colon cancer patients. *Biosci Trends* 2015; **9**: 393-401 [PMID: 26781797 DOI: 10.5582/bst.2015.01170]
- 8 **Jones JJ**, Wilcox BE, Benz RW, Babbar N, Boragine G, Burrell T, Christie EB, Croner LJ, Cun P, Dillon R, Kairs SN, Kao A, Preston R, Schreckengast SR, Skor H, Smith WF, You J, Hillis WD, Agus DB, Blume JE. A Plasma-Based Protein Marker Panel for Colorectal Cancer Detection Identified by Multiplex Targeted Mass Spectrometry. *Clin Colorectal Cancer* 2016; **15**: 186-194.e13 [PMID: 27237338 DOI: 10.1016/j.clcc.2016.02.004]
- 9 **Ivanov K**, Kolev N, Tonev A, Nikolova G, Krasnaliev I, Softova E, Tonchev A. Comparative analysis of prognostic significance of molecular markers of apoptosis with clinical stage and tumor differentiation in patients with colorectal cancer: a single institute experience. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2009; **56**: 94-98 [PMID: 19453036]
- 10 **Kamada Y**, Murayama Y, Ota U, Takahashi K, Arita T, Kosuga T, Konishi H, Morimura R, Komatsu S, Shiozaki A, Kuriu Y, Ikoma H, Nakanishi M, Ichikawa D, Fujiwara H, Okamoto K, Tanaka T, Otsuji E. Urinary 5-Aminolevulinic Acid Concentrations as a Potential Tumor Marker for Colorectal Cancer Screening and Recurrence. *Anticancer Res* 2016; **36**: 2445-2450 [PMID: 27127156]
- 11 **Papagiorgis PC**. Segmental distribution of some common molecular markers for colorectal cancer (CRC): influencing factors and potential implications. *Tumour Biol* 2016; **37**: 5727-5734 [PMID: 26842924 DOI: 10.1007/s13277-016-4913-5]
- 12 **Tomov DT**. The unity of interdisciplinarity, institutionalization and internationalization of science: Reflections from/on cell biology. *Biomedical Reviews* (Varna) 2001; **12**: 41-55
- 13 **Hirsch JE**. An index to quantify an individual's scientific research output. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005; **102**: 16569-16572 [PMID: 16275915 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0507655102]
- 14 **Ng EK**, Chong WW, Jin H, Lam EK, Shin VY, Yu J, Poon TC, Ng SS, Sung JJ. Differential expression of microRNAs in plasma of patients with colorectal cancer: a potential marker for colorectal cancer screening. *Gut* 2009; **58**: 1375-1381 [PMID: 19201770 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2008.167817]
- 15 **Bast RC**, Ravdin P, Hayes DF, Bates S, Fritsche H, Jessup JM, Kemeny N, Locker GY, Mennel RG, Somerfield MR. 2000 update of recommendations for the use of tumor markers in breast and colorectal cancer: clinical practice guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. *J Clin Oncol* 2001; **19**: 1865-1878 [PMID: 11251019 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2001.19.6.1865]
- 16 **Cui H**, Cruz-Correa M, Giardiello FM, Hutcheon DF, Kafonek DR, Brandenburg S, Wu Y, He X, Powe NR, Feinberg AP. Loss of IGF2 imprinting: a potential marker of colorectal cancer risk. *Science* 2003; **299**: 1753-1755 [PMID: 12637750 DOI: 10.1126/science.1080902]
- 17 Clinical practice guidelines for the use of tumor markers in breast and colorectal cancer. Adopted on May 17, 1996 by the American Society of Clinical Oncology. *J Clin Oncol* 1996; **14**: 2843-2877 [PMID: 8874347 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.1996.14.10.2843]
- 18 **Walther A**, Johnstone E, Swanton C, Midgley R, Tomlinson I, Kerr D. Genetic prognostic and predictive markers in colorectal cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2009; **9**: 489-499 [PMID: 19536109 DOI: 10.1038/nrc2645]
- 19 **Duffy MJ**. Carcinoembryonic antigen as a marker for colorectal cancer: is it clinically useful? *Clin Chem* 2001; **47**: 624-630 [PMID: 11274010]
- 20 **Duffy MJ**, van Dalen A, Haglund C, Hansson L, Holinski-Feder E, Klapdor R, Lamerz R, Peltomaki P, Sturgeon C, Topolcan O. Tumour markers in colorectal cancer: European Group on Tumour Markers (EGTM) guidelines for clinical use. *Eur J Cancer* 2007; **43**: 1348-1360 [PMID: 17512720 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejca.2007.03.021]
- 21 **Nakamori S**, Ota DM, Cleary KR, Shirohani K, Irimura T. MUC1 mucin expression as a marker of progression and metastasis of human colorectal carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 1994; **106**: 353-361 [PMID: 7905449 DOI: 10.1016/0016-5085(94)90592-4]
- 22 **Sturgeon CM**, Duffy MJ, Stenman UH, Lilja H, Br  nner N, Chan DW, Babaian R, Bast RC, Dowell B, Esteva FJ, Haglund C, Harbeck N, Hayes DF, Holt  n-Andersen M, Klee GG, Lamerz R, Looijenga LH, Molina R, Nielsen HJ, Rittenhouse H, Semjonow A, Shih IeM, Sibley P, S  l  tormos G, Stephan C, Sokoll L, Hoffman BR, Diamandis EP. National Academy of Clinical Biochemistry laboratory medicine practice guidelines for use of tumor markers in testicular, prostate, colorectal, breast, and ovarian cancers. *Clin Chem* 2008; **54**: e11-e79 [PMID: 19042984 DOI: 10.1373/clinchem.2008.105601]
- 23 **Duffy MJ**, van Dalen A, Haglund C, Hansson L, Klapdor R, Lamerz R, Nilsson O, Sturgeon C, Topolcan O. Clinical utility of biochemical markers in colorectal cancer: European Group on Tumour Markers (EGTM) guidelines. *Eur J Cancer* 2003; **39**: 718-727 [PMID: 12651195 DOI: 10.1016/S0959-8049(02)00811-0]
- 24 **Kunizaki M**, Sawai T, Takeshita H, Tominaga T, Hidaka S, To K, Miyazaki T, Hamamoto R, Nanashima A, Nagayasu T. Clinical Value of Serum p53 Antibody in the Diagnosis and Prognosis of Colorectal Cancer. *Anticancer Res* 2016; **36**: 4171-4175 [PMID: 27466527]
- 25 **Shi J**, Su Q, Zhang C, Huang G, Zhu Y. An intelligent decision support algorithm for diagnosis of colorectal cancer through serum tumor markers. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 2010; **100**: 97-107 [PMID: 20346535 DOI: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2010.03.001]
- 26 **Japink D**, Leers MP, Sosef MN, Nap M. CEA in activated macrophages. New diagnostic possibilities for tumor markers in early colorectal cancer. *Anticancer Res* 2009; **29**: 3245-3251 [PMID: 19661342]
- 27 **Zhang B**, Liang XL, Gao HY, Ye LS, Wang YG. Models of logistic regression analysis, support vector machine, and back-propagation neural network based on serum tumor markers in colorectal cancer diagnosis. *Genet Mol Res* 2016; **15** [PMID: 27323037 DOI: 10.4238/gmr.15028643]
- 28 **Dragomir A**, de Wit M, Johansson C, Uhlen M, Pont  n F. The role of SATB2 as a diagnostic marker for tumors of colorectal origin: Results of a pathology-based clinical prospective study. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2014; **141**: 630-638 [PMID: 24713733 DOI: 10.1309/AJCPWW2URZ9JKQJU]
- 29 **Ren F**, Wang L, Shen X, Xiao X, Liu Z, Wei P, Wang Y, Qi P, Shen C, Sheng W, Du X. MYBL2 is an independent prognostic marker that has tumor-promoting functions in colorectal cancer. *Am J Cancer Res* 2015; **5**: 1542-1552 [PMID: 26101717]
- 30 **Sconocchia G**, Eppenberger-Castori S, Zlobec I, Karamitopoulou E, Arriga R, Coppola A, Caratelli S, Spagnoli GC, Lauro D, Lugli A, Han J, Iezzi G, Ferrone C, Ferlosio A, Tornillo L, Drosner R, Rossi P, Attanasio A, Ferrone S, Terracciano L. HLA class II antigen expression in colorectal carcinoma tumors as a favorable prognostic marker. *Neoplasia* 2014; **16**: 31-42 [PMID: 24563618]
- 31 **Di Caro G**, Carvello M, Pesce S, Erreni M, Marchesi F, Todoric J, Sacchi M, Montorsi M, Allavena P, Spinelli A. Circulating Inflammatory Mediators as Potential Prognostic Markers of Human Colorectal Cancer. *PLoS One* 2016; **11**: e0148186 [PMID: 26859579 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148186]
- 32 **Maierthaler M**, Benner A, Hoffmeister M, Surowy H, Jansen L, Knebel P, Chang-Claude J, Brenner H, Burwinkel B. Plasma miR-122 and miR-200 family are prognostic markers in colorectal cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2017; **140**: 176-187 [PMID: 27632639 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.30433]
- 33 **Mitrovi   Ajti   O**, Todorovi   S, Dikli   M, Suboticki T, Beleslin-  ki   B, Jov  i   G,   ki   V. Proliferation and differentiation markers of colorectal adenocarcinoma and their correlation with clinicopathological factors. *Turk J Med Sci* 2016; **46**: 1168-1176 [PMID: 27513421 DOI: 10.3906/sag-1412-85]
- 34 **Ghanipour L**, Darmanis S, Landegren U, Glimelius B, P  hlman L, Birgisson H. Detection of Biomarkers with Solid-Phase

- Proximity Ligation Assay in Patients with Colorectal Cancer. *Transl Oncol* 2016; **9**: 251-255 [PMID: 27267845 DOI: 10.1016/j.tranon.2016.04.001]
- 35 **Zhou F**, Mu YD, Liang J, Liu ZX, Chen HS, Zhang JF. Expression and prognostic value of tumor stem cell markers ALDH1 and CD133 in colorectal carcinoma. *Oncol Lett* 2014; **7**: 507-512 [PMID: 24396478 DOI: 10.3892/ol.2013.1723]
 - 36 **Li H**, Jiang Y, Pei F, Li L, Yan B, Geng X, Liu B. Aldehyde Dehydrogenase 1 and Nodal as Significant Prognostic Markers in Colorectal Cancer. *Pathol Oncol Res* 2016; **22**: 121-127 [PMID: 26358078 DOI: 10.1007/s12253-015-9984-x]
 - 37 **Murad AA**, Tomov DT. Institutionalization and internationalization of research on the applications of the geographical information systems in health planning. *Scientometrics* 2012; **91**: 143-158 [DOI: 10.1007/s11192-011-0567-7]
 - 38 **Sivertsen G**. Patterns of internationalization and criteria for research assessment in the social sciences and humanities. *Scientometrics* 2016; **107**: 357-368 [PMID: 27122643 DOI: 10.1007/s11192-016-1845-1]
 - 39 **Verleysen FT**, Engels TCE. Internationalization of peer reviewed and non-peer reviewed book publications in the social sciences and humanities. *Scientometrics* 2014; **101**: 1431-1444 [DOI: 10.1007/s11192-014-1267-x]
 - 40 **Gazni A**, Ghaseminik Z. Internationalization of scientific publishing over time: Analysing publishers and fields differences. *Learned Publishing* 2016; **29**: 103-111 [DOI: 10.1002/leap.1018]
 - 41 **Acero L**. Internationalization, science and health: global regenerative medicine and the parallel markets. *Cien Saude Colet* 2015; **20**: 433-440 [PMID: 25715137 DOI: 10.1590/1413-81232015202.22272013]
 - 42 **Leydesdorff L**, Park HW, Wagner C. International coauthorship relations in the Social Sciences Citation Index: Is internationalization leading the network? *J Assoc Inform Sci Technol* 2014; **65**: 2111-2126 [DOI: 10.1002/asi.23102]
 - 43 **Dyachenko EL**. Internationalization of academic journals: Is there still a gap between social and natural sciences? *Scientometrics* 2014; **101**: 241-255 [DOI: 10.1007/s11192-014-1357-9]
 - 44 **Coccia M**, Wang L. Evolution and convergence of the patterns of international scientific collaboration. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2016; **113**: 2057-2061 [PMID: 26831098 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1510820113]
 - 45 **Widmer RJ**, Widmer JM, Lerman A. International collaboration: promises and challenges. *Rambam Maimonides Med J* 2015; **6**: e0012 [PMID: 25973264 DOI: 10.5041/RMMJ.10196]
 - 46 **Rockwell WT**, Agbenorku P, Olson J, Hoyte-Williams PE, Agarwal JP, Rockwell WB. A model for university-based international plastic surgery collaboration builds local sustainability. *Ann Plast Surg* 2015; **74**: 388-391 [PMID: 25003421 DOI: 10.1097/SAP.0000000000000222]
 - 47 **Chen K**, Yao Q, Sun J, He ZF, Yao L, Liu ZY. International publication trends and collaboration performance of China in healthcare science and services research. *Isr J Health Policy Res* 2016; **5**: 1 [PMID: 26834970 DOI: 10.1186/s13584-016-0061-z]
 - 48 **Antoniou SA**, Lasithiotakis K, Koch OO, Antoniou GA, Pointner R, Granderath FA. Bibliometric analysis of scientific contributions in minimally invasive general surgery. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2014; **24**: 26-30 [PMID: 24487154 DOI: 10.1097/SLE.0b013e3182a4c00d]
 - 49 **Palacios-Callender M**, Roberts SA, Roth-Berghofer T. Evaluating patterns of national and international collaboration in Cuban science using bibliometric tools. *J Doc* 2016; **72**: 362-390 [DOI: 10.1108/JD-11-2014-0164]
 - 50 **Marmolejo-Leyva R**, Perez-Angon MA, Russell JM. Mobility and International Collaboration: Case of the Mexican Scientific Diaspora. *PLoS One* 2015; **10**: e0126720 [PMID: 26047501 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0126720]
 - 51 **Arabadzhieva D**, Kaprelyan A, Dimitrov I, Georgieva-Hristova D, Negreva M. Internationalization of scientific communications in the field of hemorrhagic stroke prevention. *Merit Res J Med Med Sci* 2015; **3**: 575-580
 - 52 **Milkov M**. Internationalization of pediatric sleep apnea research. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 2012; **76**: 219-226 [PMID: 22169435 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2011.11.007]

P- Reviewer: Konishi T, Mutoh M, Sieg A **S- Editor:** Kong JX
L- Editor: A **E- Editor:** Wu HL





Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: <http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>
<http://www.wjgnet.com>

