Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS

Oct 27, 2016

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 29862-Hiroki Teragawa.doc).

Title: Importance of a second spasm provocation test: four cases with an initial negative spasm provocation test

Author: Hiroki Teragawa, Yuichi Fujii, Yuko Uchimura and Tomohiro Ueda

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Cardiology*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 29862

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

1 Format has been updated

2 Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer as bellow.

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the *World Journal of Gardiology*.

Sincerely yours,

Hiroki Teragawa, MD, PhD

Dept. of Cardiovascular Medicine,
JR Hiroshima Hospital
3-1-36 Futabanosato, Higashi-ku,
Hiroshima 732-0057 Japan
Tel No. +81-82-262-1171
Fax No. +81-82-262-1499

To reviewer 1 (No. 214291)

Thank you very much for your review.

To reviewer 2 (No. 227531)

Thank you very much for your review.

To reviewer 3 (No. 1593993)

Thank you very much for your comments. According to your comments, we have added the following sentence in the "Discussion" section: In our cases shown here, there were the gaps of 3 to 4 years between the first and second SPT. During these periods, vascular dysfunction and/or atherosclerotic changes were newly developed. Thus, we cannot deny the possibility that coronary spasticity emerges during such periods, leading to a positive result for second SPT despite a negative result of the first SPT.

As you pointed out, the image of left coronary artery (LCA) at baseline in Figure 1A was not clear. However, unfortunately, we took the only one shot of LCA at baseline and we could only provide such an unclear image.