



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Cardiology

**Manuscript NO:** 30930

**Title:** Percutaneous closure of congenital Gerbode defect using Nit-Occlud® Lê VSD coil

**Reviewer's code:** 02446706

**Reviewer's country:** Netherlands

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-12-08

**Date reviewed:** 2016-12-10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The reported case is well described and needs minor set-up polishing throughout the paper.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Cardiology

**Manuscript NO:** 30930

**Title:** Percutaneous closure of congenital Gerbode defect using Nit-Occlud® Lê VSD coil

**Reviewer's code:** 02446694

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-12-08

**Date reviewed:** 2016-12-23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reported a case of congenital Gerbode defect, in which was successful closed using Nit-Occlud? Lê VSD coil. This case report seems to be interesting. As a reviewer, I raised two requests. #1 In the first half of "Discussion" section, the authors strengthened an accurate diagnosis of Gerbode defect, especially the differential diagnosis of tricuspid regurgitation on echocardiography. I think that the readers will have interest in it. In Figure 7, the author provided an image before the procedure, but this image was too small to understand the Gerbode defect. Therefore, the authors had better show the representative and enlarged images of Gerbode defect on echocardiography. Furthermore, the echocardiographic image after the procedure should be changed to an enlarged one. #2 There were many mistakes of no space between the words. The whole of manuscript should be checked more carefully. In addition, the style of reference should be displayed according to that of "WJC".