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**Abstract**

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is an autoimmune disease that results from the destruction of insulin-producing β cells by autoreactive T cells, leading to lifelong dependency on insulin therapy and increased risk of long-term cardiovascular complications. Here we take the opportunity of the 20th anniversary of the generation of the BDC2.5 TCR transgenic non-obese diabetic (NOD) mouse model, to provide a brief overview of the significant progress that has been made during the last 20 years in our understanding of the role of T cells in the disease pathogenesis that included development of hundreds of reagents that block or even reverse new-onset disease by directly or indirectly controlling T cells. In the last part, we reflect on the sobering fact that none of these strategies has shown significant efficacy in clinical trials and discuss potential reasons hindering translation of the preclinical findings into successful therapeutic strategies and potential ways forward.
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**Core tip:** Our understanding of type 1 diabetes pathogenesis has significantly improved over the last three decades. We went from not knowing very little to acquisition of significant details about the role of the immune system and different T cell subsets in the disease process. The non-obese diabetic mouse model contributed and continues to contribute to our understanding of the disease process. This article pays tributes to the major role T-cells bearing -cell – speci fic T-cell receptors transgenic mouse played in shaping of our understanding of the disease process. We also divulge to briefly discuss current challenges facing development of a safe immunotherapy for the disease.
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**COMMENTARY ON HOT TOPICS**

Diabetes is a heterogeneous metabolic disease caused by glucose intolerance and manifested clinically as hyperglycemia. Based on the underlying cause of the hyperglycemia, diabetes is divided into type 1 (T1D) and 2 (T2D). Type 1 diabetes is autoimmune in nature and results from the destruction of insulin-producing β cells by autoreactive T cells, leading to insulin deficiency and dependency on exogenous insulin to maintain glucose homeostasis. In contrast, T2D is a complex metabolic disorder associated with insulin resistance in peripheral tissues. Currently, there is no cure for either type of diabetes. In the interim, T1D is managed by multiple daily injections of insulin, whereas T2D is controlled by medications that improve insulin sensitivity and/or reduce glucose production by the liver. Maintenance of glucose homeostasis, however, is challenging and most patients eventually develop fatal cardiovascular complications. Intensive efforts are therefore being directed toward development of cure or prevention strategies. Small animal models play profoundly important roles in these efforts, particularly in T1D research.

Small animal research in T1D began in earnest with the development and use of spontaneous and induced disease models in 1970s and 80s. Among several T1D models, the non-obese diabetic (NOD) mouse became the most commonly used and favorite model soon after its development about 33 years ago[1]. The value of the NOD mouse in understanding the disease mechanism increased exponentially in the late 80s and early 90s following development of technologies that allowed engineering of the genome to generate mice bearing particular transgenes or lacking specific molecules to interrogate their roles in the disease process[2]. Consequently, more than 250 different genetically modified NOD mice were produced and characterized (http://jaxmice.jax.org/findmice/index.html). Results of these efforts uncovered a wealth of information about the roles of various cell types and molecules in modulating T cells and established key cellular and molecular events in the disease process.

Of interest is that uncovering the role of T cells in autoimmune diabetes traversed several key steps that culminated in the generation of the NOD mouse bearing TCR transgenic T cells [reviewed in detail in by Haskins *et al*[3]. Considerable evidence accumulated in the early 1990s indicating a central role for T cells in mediating T1D in mice. These included demonstration that the disease development can be prevented by immunosuppressive agents that target T cells[4], and by anti-CD4 and anti-CD8 antibody treatments[5,6]. Furthermore, the disease was shown to be transferrable to neonatal NOD mice and immunodeficient NOD-severe combined immunodeficiency mice (NOD-SCID) by adoptive transfer of T cells from spontaneously diabetic NOD donors[7]. A clearer picture of the role of T cells began to emerge with the generation of islet antigen-specific T cell clones. Several groups independently generated islet antigen-specific T cell clones capable of transferring the disease to susceptible recipients[4]. It was found that different T cell clones expressed different T-cell receptors (TCRs), suggesting for the first time that islet-specific T cells recognize several different islet antigens and pointing to the complexity of the disease. Among the well-characterized clones is the BDC2.5 clone, the TCR that was later used to generate the T-cells bearing -cell – speci fic T-cell receptors (BDC 2.5 TCR) transgenic (tg) mouse in 1993[8]. Thus, generation of T cell clones was crucial in cementing the role of T cell in the disease pathogenesis and the existence of diabetogenic T cells in autoimmune-prone hosts. Yet clones have limited value in providing details regarding the nature and in vivo action mechanisms of diabetogenic T cells. Among the pressing questions (some of which are still incompletely understood) are how autoreactive T cells escape negative selection, where they reside in the periphery, what triggers them to become diabetogenic, and how they cause the disease. Diabetogenic T cells among the peripheral T cell repertoire are rare and the lack of appropriate reagents that permit their identification in vivo precluded addressing these questions directly *in vivo* in unmanipulated NOD mice. To overcome this problem, researchers generated TCR tg mice by using TCRs derived from generated clones. Among the widely used TCR transgenic mice in autoimmune diabetes is the BDC2.5 TCR tg mouse generated in 1993 by Katz *et al*[8], in which all T cells express the TCRα (Vα1) and β (Vβ4) chain genes from the BDC2.5 TCR CD4 T cell clone[9]. Unlike in wild type NOD mice, which harbor a diverse repertoire where autoreactive T cells are very rare and are difficult to track in vivo, all T cells in BDC2.5 tg mice recognize and respond uniformly to an elusive islet autoantigen [It was recently reported by two groups[10,11] that BDC2.5 T cells recognize peptides from chromogranin A (ChgA)]. Therefore, by studying T cells in BDC2.5 tg mice, the authors were able to track the behavior and fate of diabetogenic T cells in vivo and test hypotheses pertaining to roles of thymic selection, site of priming and peripheral activation of diabetogenic T cells, trafficking, and timing of response to islet autoantigens. Results showed that diabetogenic TCR can be produced in a large proportion of thymocytes in the TCR αβ tg mice, are positively selected without undergoing massive clonal deletion, and migrate to the periphery where they constitute the majority of the T cell repertoire. The model is still providing an important platform for *in vivo* dissecting of diabetogenic T cells, including roles of various molecules and cell types in modulating their pathogenicity. It has not only resulted in a wealth of information regarding pathogenesis of autoimmune diabetes, but also shed light on the immune system and autoimmunity.

Tracking disease development in BDC2.5 TCR tg mice showed that initiation of the disease is highly regulated with two important checkpoints controlling the diabetogenic process. These two checkpoints are especially evident and synchronous in BDC2.5 tg mice. The autoreactive T cells appear to ignore the β cells for the first 2 wk of life. Soon after, BDC2.5 T cells abruptly invade the pancreatic islets resulting in insulitis that progresses rapidly, with almost all islets heavily infiltrated by the age of 3 to 4 weeks. Surprising at the time, however, was the observation that insulitis in most BDC2.5 tg mice never progresses to full-blown diabetes. But when the BDC2.5 transgene is introduced into NOD-Rag-1 knockout mice, they do develop aggressive disease at a very early age. Failure of BDC2.5 TCR tg mice to develop full-blown disease in Rag-1-sufficient background was due to incomplete allelic exclusion of endogenous TCRβ chains, resulting in developing thymocytes that differentiate into regulatory T cells that oppose the pathogenic effect of diabetogenic T cells leading to standstill insulitis. On the other hand, in the absence of the *Rag-1* gene all developing T cells bear the BDC2.5 TCR transgene, resulting in a pathogenic repertoire devoid of regulatory cells, inducing a rapid onset of aggressive disease. The results provide critical hints of a major role for regulatory T cells in opposing the disease development. The synchronous development of the disease in BDC2.5 mice combined with other studies, including adoptive transfer of BDC2.5 T cells, led to the concept that immunoregulatory mechanisms exist at two check points, at the pancreatic draining lymph nodes and the islet itself, respectively. Breach of these checkpoints by diabetogenic T cells is clearly visualized in NOD mice by using adoptive transfer of BDC2.5 in appropriate hosts[12,13]. This paradigm is depicted in Figure 1. Subsequent studies revealed critical roles for regulatory T and B cells and various molecules involved in controlling the major checkpoints, and prevention and cure of the disease in the NOD mouse. Over the last two decades, vast numbers of molecules necessary for maintaining immunoregulatory mechanisms and others that facilitate their subversion have been identified. Targeting these molecules identified more than 250 interventions capable of preventing the disease in the NOD mouse. Some, like treatment with anti-CD3[14] and anti-CD20[15] reversed the disease in as many as 30%-50% of new-onset cases, raising hope of developing strategies to reverse disease in newly diabetic patients. Consequently, in the last few years, clinical trials have been conducted to test efficacy of several molecules including anti-CD3 and anti-CD20.

***Sobering reality facing translation of preclinical data into effective immunotherapeutics and ways forward***

Translating immunotherapies found effective in preclinical studies into human therapies is proving challenging[16], at least for now. Several high profile clinical trials including phase III have failed to demonstrate significant efficacy for all those tested[17,18]. The disappointing results in the clinic are forcing a retreat to drawing boards and generating second thoughts about whether the NOD mouse has surpassed its life expectancy as a research model and even the value of NOD mice in predicting and evaluating immunotherapy for T1D. It is easy to lay the blame on biologic differences between humans and mice, accentuated by more than 60 million years since their divergence into two species that differ in size, lifespan**,** and lifestyle (habitat/environment). The immune system in humans and mice, however, are generally quite similar, and with few notable exceptions, most paradigms translate well between them. Thus, the intangible efficacy of modalities such as anti-CD3 in humans is not entirelyjustified by biologic differences between the two species.

We argue that environmental factors play a dominant, if not the dominant role, in subverting therapeutic efficacy of modulators acting alone or in synergy with genetic factors[19,20]. This is acutely evident in the NOD mouse itself. For instance, the variability of anti-CD3 efficacy in reversing new-onset hyperglycemia ranges from about 30%-80% in newly diabetic NOD mice housed in the same facility[14,21] and mostly likely mice in the same cage responded differently. The low efficacy in NOD mice given the extremely small variations in their genetic makeup and exogenous influence of the environment suggests that treating the same mice under virtually identical conditions, the treatment would be successful only once out of at least two attempts. Applying the comparison to patients with markedly different genetic backgrounds, types of food, environment, and microbiota, the odds of success would be extremely low. Therefore, there is still much to be learned in the NOD mouse to uncover causes of variability on rate of disease onset, timing and response to treatment. In addition, understanding why females are more susceptible to disease than males[22-24] and why NOD mice housed in conventional facilities do not develop disease remains unclear[25]. It will also be important to understand why inactivation of molecules such as Fas death receptor or its ligand prevents disease in NOD mice[13,16,26-29]. Understanding mechanisms underlying these observations would provide important clues that could potentially facilitate the development of therapeutic strategies with high efficacy rates that are effective in both mice and men.
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**Figure 1 Briefly, islet autoantigens are picked up by antigen present cells from the pancreas, which then migrate to draining lymph nodes and present the autoantigens to autoreactive T cells, leading to their priming.** Activated autoreactive T cells undergo proliferation, differentiation, and acquire homing molecules that allow them to direct to the pancreas, and infiltrate the islets resulting in insulitis and β cell destruction.