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**Abstract**

***AIM***

To assess the knowledge and attitude of Lebanese physicians regarding bisphosphonates (BPs)-related complications.

***METHODS***

An observational cross-sectional study was conducted at a major tertiary teaching hospital in Beirut city, and its affiliated primary health care center. Data were collected through a new self-administered questionnaire distributed *via* a delegated secretary to physicians expected to regularly prescribe BPs (*n* = 215). It assessed participants’ knowledge, fear and experience regarding BPs-reported complications.

***RESULTS***

One hundred and fifty-seven physicians fulfilled the questionnaire (response rate: 73.0%): 77.7% and 75.2% considered that gastrointestinal intolerance and osteonecrosis of the jaw are linked to BPs, respectively. Conversely, the least recognised complications are ocular inflammation (7.6%) and severe musculoskeletal pain (37.6%). The association of BPs with oesophageal cancer, atrial fibrillation and hepatotoxicity was reported by 11.5%, 13.4% and 24.8% of respondents, respectively. The multivariate analysis showed a significant association between level of knowledge and physicians’ department affiliation (*P*-value = 0.043), their gender (*P*-value = 0.044), whether or not they prescribe a bisphosphonate (*P*-value = 0.012), and the number of bisphosphonate prescriptions delivered monthly (*P*-value = 0.012). Physicians are mainly concerned about osteonecrosis of the jaw and nephrotoxicity when prescribing a bisphosphonate. Yet, the complications commonly met in their practice are gastrointestinal intolerance (44.6%) and acute phase reactions (26.7%).

***CONCLUSION***

This study revealed the presence of a deficient knowledge regarding BPs-related adverse events among our physicians. Professional training proposals are needed to increase their knowledge and improve their practices. Pharmaceutical industries should reconsider the instructions they provide to physicians regarding the complications of medications they promote. Moreover, they must actively collaborate with education providers and institutions in educational interventions.
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**Core tip:** Bisphosphonates (BPs) have been proven to be effective, tolerated and relatively safe to a large number of patients. However, they were associated with reports of multiple adverse events. Given the widespread use of these medications, detailed knowledge on occurrence of even rare side effects is imperative. In this study, we assess the knowledge and approach of our physicians regarding BPs-related complications. Eventually, this evaluation will facilitate the elaboration of appropriate training programs to increase their awareness and improve their practice. It will incite pharmaceutical companies to reconsider the instructions they provide to physicians about the complications of treatments they promote.

El Osta L, El Osta N, Tannous R, Aoun A, Ghosn M, El Osta H. Physicians’ knowledge and attitude regarding bisphosphonates-related adverse events: An observational study. *World J Rheumatol* 2017; In press

**INTRODUCTION**

Bisphosphonates (BPs) are powerful inhibitors of osteoclast-mediated bone resorption and thus, are mainly used in the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. They are also recommended in the treatment of bone lesions in multiple myeloma, bone metastases, hypercalcaemia of malignancy, treatment-related bone loss in breast and prostate cancer, and less common conditions that feature bone fragility such as Paget’s disease[1,2]. Currently their therapeutic use has been increased with several millions of prescriptions written every year, because of their safety profile, good tolerability and efficacy. However, these benefits were linked to many undesirable events, which could be serious. Given the limitations of clinical trials to detect rare adverse effects, these complications continue to emerge with post-marketing surveillance and increasing clinical experience[1,3,4].

Given the widespread use of BPs, detailed knowledge on occurrence of even rare side effects is imperative. We believe that practitioners’ awareness of BPs-reported adverse events can potentially avoid the onset of more serious complications due to a fast detection and management. We conducted this survey to assess our physicians’ knowledge and attitude with regard to BPs-related complications. Eventually, this appraisal will allow the development of appropriate educational programs to raise their awareness and improve their practice.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

***Participants and data collection***

An observational cross-sectional study was conducted at a major tertiary teaching hospital in Beirut city, and its affiliated primary health care center between May and July 2014. The study population included clinical physicians (professors, attending physicians and fellowship residents) practicing in the departments expected to regularly prescribe a BP: Endocrinology, family medicine, gynecology, internal medicine, nephrology, oncology, orthopedics, and rheumatology departments. The fellowship residents who participated in the study are the residents who graduated from medical school, and thereafter successfully completed their first year of core curriculum in medicine or surgery residency. The total number of eligible physicians was 215. The surveys were anonymously distributed to the selected departments *via* a delegated secretary to be filled by the physicians themselves: 157 valid questionnaires were retrieved, generating an effective response rate of 73.0%.

***Survey questionnaire***

A questionnaire was developed from an exhaustive and up-to-date literature review on the BPs-reported side effects[1-20]. A pre-test was conducted in the same study context. This enabled us to develop the final version of the questionnaire and to guarantee its reliability.

The final version consisted of three parts: (1) Demographic and professional characteristics; (2) Practices associated to the use of BPs; and (3) Knowledge and attitude regarding the BP-related undesirable events, which contained three sections of 11 items each one. The items represented the BPs-related undesirable events largely reported in the literature (Table 1). The first section tested the level of knowledge regarding these complications. The answers to the items were categorized into Yes, No, and I don’t know. Thereafter, they were judged correct (1 or 2) or incorrect (0 or -1), according to the complication’s causality link to the BPs. Thus, the undesirable events with an established causality link to the BPs were counted “0” or “2” if considered unrelated or related, respectively. Those whose causality link is probable were rated “0” or “1” if considered unrelated or related, respectively. Those whose correlation is not proven yet were scored “1” if considered unrelated to BPs and were penalized “-1” otherwise (Table 1). The non-response and “I don’t know” were scored “0”.Summing the answers provided the total knowledge score of each participant. The maximum score that might be achieved was 15. Moreover, the percentage of correct answers was assigned to each item. The second section assessed the physicians’ fear regarding the BPs-related complications, and the third section exposed the complications encountered in their medical practice. The replies were dichotomized as Yes or No. The participants were also requested to exhibit their interest to learn more about these undesirable events, and to keep their knowledge updated.

***Ethical considerations***

The Institutional Review Board of the Hôtel-Dieu de France university hospital of Beirut approved the study protocol (CEHDF 721). Written informed consent was obtained from the participants.

***Statistical analysis***

Statistical analyses were performed using the software program SPSS for Windows version 18.0 (SPSS, Chicago). The alpha error was set at 0.05. A descriptive study was done for each variable.

In the initial stages, univariate analyses of categorical variables were carried out using the Fisher exact tests and the *χ*2 independence tests. The student’s *t*-test and ANOVA were also used to compare scores between groups.

A multiple regression analysis was successively conducted with the level of knowledge as the dependent variable. The variables related to the demographic and professional characteristics, and to the BPs prescriptions, which showed associations with *P*-value **<** 0.2 in the univariate analyses, were subsequently entered in the multivariate model. Collinearity among independent variables was also verified. Independent variables highly correlated were excluded. It has already been suggested not to include two independent variables where there is a correlation of 0.7 or more[21,22]. The variable “number of patients on BP attended per month” was not included in the model, since it was highly correlated to the “number of BP prescriptions delivered per month”, indicated by the Pearson correlation coefficient.

**RESULTS**

***Survey participants***

One hundred fifty seven physicians participated in the survey: 86 (54.8%) respondents were fellowship residents, 54 (34.4%) were attending physicians, and 17 (10.8%) were professors or department chiefs; 99 (63.1%) attended more than 10 patients per day, and 48 (30.6%) received more than 10 medical sales representatives per week. The participants represented 8 clinical departments, and 114 (72.6%) belonged to medical departments.

***Practices associated to the use of BPs***

Almost two-third of the physicians (68.8%) were treating patients with BPs: high professional positions more than fellowship residents (*P*-value < 0.0001), and medical specialists more than surgeons (*P*-value = 0.031). Weekly oral BPs (Alendronate and Risedronate) were prescribed by 69 (63.9%) participants and zoledronate several times yearly by 19 (17.6%), followed by monthly oral formulations (Risedronate and Ibandronate) by 18 (16.7%) participants. The indications for BPs are osteoporosis for 90 (83.3%) prescriber physicians, and malignant bone lesions for 29 (26.9%).

***Level of knowledge regarding BPs-reported complications and associated factors***

One hundred twenty two (77.7%) and 118 (75.2%) physicians considered that gastrointestinal intolerance and osteonecrosis of the jaw are linked to BPs, respectively. Conversely, the least recognised complications are ocular inflammation (7.6%) and severe musculoskeletal pain (37.6%). The association of BPs with esophageal cancer, atrial fibrillation and hepatotoxicity was reported by 18 (11.5%), 21 (13.4%) and 39 (24.8%) participants, respectively (Table 2). For the whole sample the mean knowledge score was 8.27 ± 2.79, while 33.8% had a global score more than 10 over 15.

**Univariate analyses:** As shown in Table 3, there were statistically significant associations between the level of knowledge and physicians’ department affiliation (*P*-value < 0.0001), their gender (*P*-value = 0.016), whether or not they prescribe a BP (*P*-value = 0.001), the number of BP prescriptions delivered monthly (*P*-value = 0.006), the most frequently form prescribed (*P*-value = 0.033), and the number of patients already on BP attended per month (*P*-value < 0.0001).

**Multivariate analysis:** Table 4 summarizes the predictive factors affecting the level of knowledge of Lebanese physicians regarding the BPs-reported undesirable events. The multiple regression analysis showed that the physician’s department affiliation was significantly associated with the level of knowledge. Physicians affiliated to medical departments are more knowledgeable in BPs’ complications than surgeons (*P*-value = 0.043). Gender was also associated with the level of knowledge; males were less informed than females. Moreover, physicians who prescribed a BP were more familiar with BPs’ side effects than non-prescribers (*P*-value = 0.012). Finally, increasing number of BP prescriptions delivered per month was associated with higher level of awareness (*P*-value = 0.012).

Most of participants (86.6%) were interested to learn more about these drug-related undesirable events, and to keep their knowledge updated.

***Physicians’ fear and experience regarding BPs-reported complications***

Our physicians are mainly concerned about osteonecrosis of the jaw (59.2%) and nephrotoxicity (42.7%) when prescribing a BPs, especially oncologists and nephrologists (*P*-value < 0.0001). Moreover, 48 (30.6%) respondents are worried about atypical femoral fracture, oncologists less than more than other specialties (*P*-value < 0.0001).

The BPs-related toxicities commonly met in their practice are gastrointestinal intolerance (44.6%), oncologists fewer than others (*P*-value = 0.003). Flu-like symptoms and osteonecrosis of the jaw were also encountered by 42 (26.7%) and 38 (24.2%) physicians, respectively. Most of them were oncologists (*P*-value < 0.0001) (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

With the aging of the population, the incidence of osteoporosis and malignant diseases is rising more and more. Accordingly, the treatments of these diseases, particularly the BPs, are expected to increase in the coming years. Thus, physicians must be vigilant to BP-related side effects and recognize the level of evidence supporting them to better communicate the balance between benefits and potential risks to patients[3,4]. The purpose of our survey was to evaluate the knowledge and attitude of Lebanese physicians regarding BPs-reported undesirable events.

Despite the fact that various safety issues related to BPs have triggered lately widespread debate, the mean knowledge of our physicians on BPs-reported side effects was deficient. These misunderstandings among our doctors can jeopardize the safety of their patients and expose them to serious problems. However, the level of awareness among our doctors was discordant since 33.8% had a global score more than 10 over 15. The scores were superior among BPs prescribers, and increased with the increasing number of BP prescriptions delivered monthly. This reflects the effect of practice and interest as a stimulus to seek information. The higher scores among medical specialties could be explained by their concern to prescribe medications more than surgeons who are interested in technical surgeries. However, the higher scores among female need some explanations. We were surprised to find that higher professional qualifications were not associated with better knowledge. Also the number of medical sales representatives received per week was not correlated with superior knowledge. This alarming result emphasized the lack of pharmaceutical companies in appropriately disseminating the complications of medications they promote.

Our questionnaire assessed participants’ knowledge and attitudes regarding the BPs-related undesirable events largely reported in the literature. The most prevalent adverse events are upper gastrointestinal discomfort for oral amino-BPs and acute phase reactions for intravenous forms[5,6], which are recognized by more than half of the physicians. However, rare are physicians who feared these complications, mainly because of their nonthreatening feature. Hypocalcaemia, renal toxicity and osteonecrosis of the jaw are rare, but could be potentially dangerous[7-10]. This explains why our physicians feared these complications. Moreover, they occur more frequently in cancer patients who receive high doses of intravenous BPs with a frequent dosing schedule, than those with osteoporosis[9-11]. This justifies why oncologists are mainly concerned about these complications when prescribing BPs. By using these drugs with care and according to the prescription information, physicians can prevent the occurrence of these undesirable events. Atypical femoral fractures remain a probable complication of chronic oral BP treatment in osteoporotic women[12]. However, their risk among cancer patients receiving intravenous BPs at higher cumulative doses remains unclear. This is why oncologists are the least to worry about atypical femoral fractures. Few cases have been reported in patients with malignant skeletal lesions treated with intravenous zoledronate[13,14]. Oncologists should consider the possibility of atypical fractures in patients with malignant bone disease who are treated with high doses of intravenous BPs. However, the major therapeutic benefits resulting from the appropriate targeted use of BPs should not be lost as a result of the anxiety concerning rare adverse events such as atypical femoral fracture and osteonecrosis of the jaw. Severe musculoskeletal pain and ocular inflammatory reactions are rare complications, and thereafter may be under recognized by physicians[15,16], which was exposed in our study. Based on current data, the association of BPs with esophageal cancer, hepatotoxicity and atrial fibrillation remains doubtful[1,3,17-20]. Fortunately, this was identified by the majority of our participants.

This study was subject to several limitations. First, it was limited to the population of Lebanese physicians affiliated with a major tertiary hospital in Beirut city, with a relatively high level of medical expertise. Any generalization to other populations especially to physicians participating in non-university centers, may be inappropriate. Second, the study was based on a self-reported questionnaire, inducing an inconsistency between physicians’ self-reported and actual attitude and knowledge. Since the use of data sources was not permitted, and since the physicians were assured that their response would be kept confidential, our data represent a picture of ‘real world’ knowledge in this setting.

Despite these limitations, the results of the present study are sufficient to affirm the presence of a deficient knowledge regarding BPs-reported side effects among our physicians. However, the majority of respondents demonstrated interest in learning more about these drug-related undesirable events, and in keeping their knowledge updated. Professional training proposals are needed to increase the knowledge of our physicians and improve their practices. Throughout a range of educational strategies, practitioners who are experienced and very confident in this area may be ready to play a greater role. Moreover, pharmaceutical industries should reconsider the instructions they provide to physicians regarding the complications of medications they promote. They must actively collaborate with education providers and institutions in educational interventions. Subsequently, an evaluation of these interventions should be performed to guarantee a better approach and management of patients treated by a BP.
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**COMMENTS**

***Background***

Physicians’ awareness of bisphosphonates (BPs)-related side effects can potentially lead to prevent the occurrence of more serious complications due to an earlier detection and management. It can also increase the safety of a therapy proven to be effective to numerous patients. At the same time, the major therapeutic benefits resulting from the appropriate targeted use of BPs are not lost as a result of the anxiety concerning rare adverse events such as atypical fracture and osteonecrosis of the jaw.

***Research frontiers***

BPs-related complications have generated lately a great interest in the medical and research societies. They have triggered widespread debate and received extensive media coverage. The research hotspot is to evaluate the awareness and attitude of the authors’ physicians regarding these adverse events.

***Innovations and breakthroughs***

Studies evaluating physicians’ awareness concerning BPs-related undesirable events are limited. The study revealed the presence of a deficient knowledge regarding these complications among the authors’ physicians, which can compromise the safety of their patients. Moreover, the number of medical sales representatives received by the authors’ physicians did not correlate with higher knowledge, which highlighted the lack of pharmaceutical companies in appropriately disseminating the complications of treatments they support.

***Applications***

The data in this study underlined the need for professional training initiatives to enhance the authors’ physicians’ knowledge and improve their practice. Moreover, pharmaceutical industries should reconsider the instructions they provide to physicians regarding the complications of medications they promote. They must actively collaborate with education providers and institutions in educational interventions. Subsequently, an evaluation of these interventions should be performed to guarantee a better approach and management of patients treated by a BP.

***Terminology***

BPs are the best known of the antiresorptive therapies, which inhibit the bone resorption part of the continual cycle of bone turnover. Hence, they are a useful group of drugs for the treatment of metabolic and oncologic bone disorders including osteoporosis, malignancy-associated bone disease, and Paget’s disease. BPs have a relatively good safety profile and are generally well tolerated. However, the benefits related to the use of these medications were associated with reports of multiple undesirable events, some of which may be serious.

***Peer-review***

The data is interesting and the study is clear and well written.

**REFERENCES**

1 **Eriksen EF**, Díez-Pérez A, Boonen S. Update on long-term treatment with bisphosphonates for postmenopausal osteoporosis: a systematic review. *Bone* 2014; **58**: 126-135 [PMID: 24120384 DOI: 10.1016/j.bone.2013.09.023]

2 **Bouganim N**, Dranitsaris G, Amir E, Clemons M. Optimising the use of bone-targeted agents in patients with metastatic cancers: a practical guide for medical oncologists. *Support Care Cancer* 2011; **19**: 1687-1696 [PMID: 21785900 DOI: 10.1007/s00520-011-1230-9]

3 **Crandall CJ**, Newberry SJ, Diamant A, Lim YW, Gellad WF, Booth MJ, Motala A, Shekelle PG. Comparative effectiveness of pharmacologic treatments to prevent fractures: an updated systematic review. *Ann Intern Med* 2014; **161**: 711-723 [PMID: 25199883 DOI: 10.7326/M14-0317]

4 **El Osta L**, El Osta N, El Osta H. Benefits and potential risks of bisphosphonate therapy: a narrative review. *J Med Liban* 2016; **64**: 228-237

5 **Tadrous M**, Wong L, Mamdani MM, Juurlink DN, Krahn MD, Lévesque LE, Cadarette SM. Comparative gastrointestinal safety of bisphosphonates in primary osteoporosis: a network meta-analysis. *Osteoporos Int* 2014; **25**: 1225-1235 [PMID: 24287510 DOI: 10.1007/s00198-013-2576-2]

6 **Reid IR**, Gamble GD, Mesenbrink P, Lakatos P, Black DM. Characterization of and risk factors for the acute-phase response after zoledronic acid. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2010; **95**: 4380-4387 [PMID: 20554708 DOI: 10.1210/jc.2010-0597]

7 **Miller PD**, Jamal SA, Evenepoel P, Eastell R, Boonen S. Renal safety in patients treated with bisphosphonates for osteoporosis: a review. *J Bone Miner Res* 2013; **28**: 2049-2059 [PMID: 23907861 DOI: 10.1002/jbmr.2058]

8 **Chennuru S**, Koduri J, Baumann MA. Risk factors for symptomatic hypocalcaemia complicating treatment with zoledronic acid. *Intern Med J* 2008; **38**: 635-637 [PMID: 18284458 DOI: 10.1111/j.1445-5994.2007.01580.x]

9 **Khosla S**, Burr D, Cauley J, Dempster DW, Ebeling PR, Felsenberg D, Gagel RF, Gilsanz V, Guise T, Koka S, McCauley LK, McGowan J, McKee MD, Mohla S, Pendrys DG, Raisz LG, Ruggiero SL, Shafer DM, Shum L, Silverman SL, Van Poznak CH, Watts N, Woo SB, Shane E. Bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaw: report of a task force of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. *J Bone Miner Res* 2007; **22**: 1479-1491 [PMID: 17663640 DOI: 10.1359/jbmr.0707onj]

10 **Kühl S**, Walter C, Acham S, Pfeffer R, Lambrecht JT. Bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws--a review. *Oral Oncol* 2012; **48**: 938-947 [PMID: 22525606 DOI: 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2012.03.028]

11 **El Osta L**, El Osta B, Lakiss S, Hennequin M, El Osta N. Bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: awareness and level of knowledge of Lebanese physicians. *Support Care Cancer* 2015; **23**: 2825-2831 [PMID: 25672288 DOI: 10.1007/s00520-015-2649-1]

12 **Shane E**, Burr D, Abrahamsen B, Adler RA, Brown TD, Cheung AM, Cosman F, Curtis JR, Dell R, Dempster DW, Ebeling PR, Einhorn TA, Genant HK, Geusens P, Klaushofer K, Lane JM, McKiernan F, McKinney R, Ng A, Nieves J, O'Keefe R, Papapoulos S, Howe TS, van der Meulen MC, Weinstein RS, Whyte MP. Atypical subtrochanteric and diaphyseal femoral fractures: second report of a task force of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. *J Bone Miner Res* 2014; **29**: 1-23 [PMID: 23712442 DOI: 10.1002/jbmr.1998]

13 **Hayashi K**, Aono M, Shintani K, Kazuki K. Bisphosphonate-related atypical femoral fracture with bone metastasis of breast cancer: case report and review. *Anticancer Res* 2014; **34**: 1245-1249 [PMID: 24596367]

14 **Chang ST**, Tenforde AS, Grimsrud CD, O'Ryan FS, Gonzalez JR, Baer DM, Chandra M, Lo JC. Atypical femur fractures among breast cancer and multiple myeloma patients receiving intravenous bisphosphonate therapy. *Bone* 2012; **51**: 524-527 [PMID: 22634175 DOI: 10.1016/j.bone.2012.05.010]

15 **Demonaco HJ**. Patient- and physician-oriented web sites and drug surveillance: bisphosphonates and severe bone, joint, and muscle pain. *Arch Intern Med* 2009; **169**: 1164-1166 [PMID: 19546419 DOI: 10.1001/archinternmed.2009.133]

16 **Osta LE**, Osta BE. Acute blepharitis: an unusual complication of zoledronic acid. *Int J Rheum Dis* 2015; Epub ahead of print [PMID: 26412501 DOI: 10.1111/1756-185X.12743]

17 **Rizzoli R**, Reginster JY, Boonen S, Bréart G, Diez-Perez A, Felsenberg D, Kaufman JM, Kanis JA, Cooper C. Adverse reactions and drug-drug interactions in the management of women with postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2011; **89**: 91-104 [PMID: 21637997 DOI: 10.1007/s00223-011-9499-8]

18 **Sun K**, Liu JM, Sun HX, Lu N, Ning G. Bisphosphonate treatment and risk of esophageal cancer: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Osteoporos Int* 2013; **24**: 279-286 [PMID: 23052941 DOI: 10.1007/s00198-012-2158-8]

19 **Vinogradova Y**, Coupland C, Hippisley-Cox J. Exposure to bisphosphonates and risk of gastrointestinal cancers: series of nested case-control studies with QResearch and CPRD data. *BMJ* 2013; **346**: f114 [PMID: 23325866 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.f114]

20 **Goossens N**, Spahr L, Rubbia-Brandt L. Severe immune-mediated drug-induced liver injury linked to ibandronate: a case report. *J Hepatol* 2013; **59**: 1139-1142 [PMID: 23770145 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2013.06.003]

21 **Tabachnick BG**, Fidell LS. Using multivariate statistics. New York: HarperCollins, 2001

22 **Page R**, Cole G, Timmreck T. Basic epidemiological methods and biostatistics. A practical guidebook. Massachusetts: Jones & Bartlett Publishers, 1995

**P-Reviewer:** Ohishi T, Saviola G **S-Editor:** Ji FF **L-Editor: E-Editor:**

**Table 1 Bisphosphonates-reported side effects, characteristics, and rating**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Side effects** | **BPs incriminated** | **Causality link** | **Rating of correct answers** | **Rating of false answers** |
| Upper GI intolerance | Oral amino-BPs | Established | 2 | 0 |
| Acute phase reaction | *IV* amino-BPs | Established | 2 | 0 |
| Hypocalcaemia | *IV* > oral BPs | Established | 2 | 0 |
| Renal toxicity | Zoledronate and pamidronate | Established | 2 | 0 |
| Severe musculoskeletal pain | Oral BPs | Probable | 1 | 0 |
| Ocular inflammation | All BPs | Probable | 1 | 0 |
| Atypical femoral fractures | BPs treatment for osteoporosis | Probable | 1 | 0 |
| Osteonecrosis of the jaw | *IV* BPs treatment in oncology | Probable | 1 | 0 |
| Atrial fibrillation | --- | Unproven | 1 | -1 |
| Esophageal cancer | --- | Unproven | 1 | -1 |
| Hepatotoxicity | --- | Unproven | 1 | -1 |

BPs: Bisphosphonates; GI: Gastrointestinal; *IV*: Intravenous.

**Table 2 Our 157 physicians’ knowledge, fear and experience regarding bisphosphonates-reported side effects**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Side effects** | **Reported by physicians*****n* (%)** | **Feared by physicians*****n* (%)** | **Encountered by physicians*****n* (%)** |
| Acute phase reaction | 86 (54.8) | 12 (7.6) | **42 (26.8)** |
| Atrial fibrillation | 21 (13.4) | 12 (7.6) | 0 (0.0) |
| Atypical femoral fractures | 67 (42.7) | 48 (30.6) | 13 (8.3) |
| Esophageal cancer | 18 (11.5) | 11 (7.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Hepatotoxicity | 39 (24.8) | 18 (11.5) | 2 (1.3) |
| Hypocalcaemia | 72 (45.9) | 33 (21.0) | 27 (17.2) |
| Ocular inflammation | 12 (7.6) | 3 (1.9) | 2 (1.3) |
| Osteonecrosis of the jaw | **118 (75.2)** | **93 (59.2)** | 38 (24.2) |
| Renal toxicity | 84 (53.5) | **67 (42.7)** | 33 (21.0) |
| Severe musculoskeletal pain | 59 (37.6) | 10 (6.4) | 24 (15.3) |
| Upper GI intolerance | **122 (77.7)** | 45 (28.7) | **70 (44.6)** |
| No side effect | 2 (1.3) | 7 (4.5) | 32 (20.4) |

GI: Gastrointestinal.

**Table 3 Univariate analyses of factors associated with the level of knowledge**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Independent variables** | **Knowledge score****mean ± SD** | ***n*** | *P*-value |
| Professional position |  |  | 0.232 |
| Professor | 8.82 ± 2.83 | 17 |  |
| Attending physician | 8.65 ± 2.57 | 54 |  |
| Fellowship resident | 7.93 ± 2.90 | 86 |  |
| Department affiliation |  |  | < 0.0001a |
| Medical | 8.92 ± 2.553 | 114 |  |
| Surgical | 6.56 ± 2.693 | 43 |  |
| Department affiliation |  |  | < 0.0001a |
| Rheumatology | * 1. 2.83
 | 8 |  |
| Oncology | 10.10 ± 1.77 | 20 |  |
| Nephrology | 9.70 ± 2.63 | 10 |  |
| Endocrinology | 9.45 ± 2.51 | 11 |  |
| Internal medicine | 8.21 ± 2.48 | 29 |  |
| Family medicine | 8.08 ± 2.52 | 36 |  |
| Orthopedics | 7.38 ± 3.09 | 21 |  |
| Gynecology | 5.77 ± 2.02 | 22 |  |
| Age |  |  | 0.213 |
| < 30 yr | 7.91 ± 2.87 | 80 |  |
| 30-50 yr | 8.53 ± 2.77 | 55 |  |
| > 50 yr | 8.95 ± 2.46 | 22 |  |
| Gender |  |  | 0.016a |
| Male | 7.84 ± 2.63 | 95 |  |
| Female | 8.94 ± 2.91 | 62 |  |
| No. of patients attended per day |  |  | 0.874 |
| < 10 patients | 8.29 ± 2.84 | 52 |  |
| 10-20 patients | 8.32 ± 2.89 | 65 |  |
| > 20 patients | 8.03 ± 2.42 | 34 |  |
| No. of medical sales representatives received per week |  |  | 0.149 |
| 0 | 7.55 ± 2.60 | 20 |  |
| < 10 | 7.98 ± 2.76 | 86 |  |
| 10-20 | 8.91 ± 2.62 | 34 |  |
| > 20 | 9.07 ± 2.99 | 14 |  |
| Time consumed for patients care |  |  | 0.906 |
| < 25% | * 1. 2.06
 | 4 |  |
| 25%-50% | 8.00 ± 2.77 | 37 |  |
| 51%-75% | 8.43 ± 2.88 | 68 |  |
| > 75% | 8.25 ± 2.75 | 44 |  |
| Time consumed for academic work |  |  | 0.171 |
| < 25% | 8.23 ± 2.78 | 57 |  |
| 25%-50% | 8.42 ± 2.80 | 76 |  |
| 51%-75% | 7.25 ± 2.54 | 16 |  |
| > 75% | 10.50 ±1.91 | 4 |  |
| BP prescription |  |  | 0.001a |
| Yes | 8.78 ± 2.69 | 108 |  |
| No | 7.16 ± 2.70 | 49 |  |
| No. of BP prescriptions delivered per month |  |  | 0.006a |
| ≤ 5 prescriptions | 8.27 ± 2.64 | 73 |  |
| 6-10 prescriptions | 9.70 ± 2.46 | 27 |  |
| > 10 prescriptions | 10.86 ± 2.48 | 7 |  |
| Most frequent form of BP prescribed |  |  | 0.033a |
| Oral form | 8.48 ± 2.73 | 87 |  |
| Intravenous form | 9.90 ± 2.22 | 20 |  |
| No. of patients on BP attended per month |  |  | < 0.0001a |
| 0 patient | 6.64 ± 2.06 | 11 |  |
| 1-5 patients | 7.85 ± 2.52 | 81 |  |
| 6-10 patients | 8.26 ± 2.81 | 34 |  |
| > 10 patients | 9.97 ± 2.99 | 31 |  |

a*P*-value < 0.05 (level of significance).BP: Bisphosphonate.

**Table 4 Multiple regression analysis of factors associated with the level of knowledge**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Unstandardized coefficients** | **Standardized coefficients** | **Student** | *P*-value | **Partial correlations** |
| **β** | **Standard error** | **β** |
| Department affiliation | 1.253 | 0.610 | 0.201 | 2.054 | 0.043a | 0.204 |
| Gender | 1.103 | 0.539 | 0.194 | 2.045 | 0.044a | 0.203 |
| No. of medical sales representatives received per week | 0.167 | 0.330 | 0.048 | 0.506 | 0.614 | 0.051 |
| Time consumed for academic work | 0.144 | 0.331 | 0.038 | 0.434 | 0.665 | 0.044 |
| BP prescription | -1.455 | 0.566 | -0.242 | -2.569 | 0.012a | -0.252 |
| No. of BP prescriptions delivered per month | 1.106 | 0.431 | 0.241 | 2.567 | 0.012a | 0.252 |
| Most frequent form of BP prescribed | 0.870 | 0.671 | 0.122 | 1.296 | 0.198 | 0.130 |

a*P*-value < 0.05 (level of significance).BP: Bisphosphonate.