

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wignet.com http://www.wignet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 31741

Title: Duodenal gangliocytic paraganglioma with lymph node metastases: a case report

and comparative review of 31 cases

Reviewer's code: 00058446 Reviewer's country: China Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong Date sent for review: 2016-12-06

**Date reviewed: 2016-12-14** 

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ Y] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for
[ ] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	publication
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y]No	[Y] Minor revision
	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[ ] Major revision
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y]No	

#### **COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Gangliocytic paraganglioma (GP) is a rare tumor of uncertain origin most often located in the second portion of the duodenum, which is composed of three cellular components: epithelioid endocrine cells, spindle-like/sustentacular cells, and ganglion-like cells. GPs are the malignant potential and usually restricted to the duodenal submucosa, a small but significant proportion demonstrates metastasis. Even with distant metastatic disease, patients seem to generally have a good prognosis according the reports. But the rarity of this tumor has made it difficult to determine a standard of care, especially for patients with metastatic lesion. The author's aim was to characterize the behavior of cases of GP with regional lymph node metastasis to help guide diagnosis and management. 1、Is there any reliable risk factor or molecular biomarkers for GP progression and follow-up? 2、Which kind of surgical treatment is suitable for GP with regional lymph node metastasis? 3、Is the FNA of this tumor necessary before planning surgical treatment?



8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wignet.com http://www.wignet.com

### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 31741

Title: Duodenal gangliocytic paraganglioma with lymph node metastases: a case report

and comparative review of 31 cases

Reviewer's code: 02729829 Reviewer's country: Hungary Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong Date sent for review: 2016-12-17

**Date reviewed:** 2016-12-22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[ Y] Grade B: Minor language	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for
[ ] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	publication
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y]No	[Y] Minor revision
	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[ ] Major revision
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y]No	

#### **COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The manuscript describes well the characterictics, clinical and pathological picture, and also the therapy of a rare duodenal tumor. I would be interested in some technical details, if they are available in the articles, namely the local excision of the tumor, especially in the second part of the duodenum. How the duodenotomy opening was closed in the reviewed articles? Whether a jejunal loop was used?



8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 31741

Title: Duodenal gangliocytic paraganglioma with lymph node metastases: a case report

and comparative review of 31 cases

Reviewer's code: 00077376 Reviewer's country: Japan Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong Date sent for review: 2016-12-17

**Date reviewed: 2017-01-08** 

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ Y] Grade B: Very good	[ Y] Grade B: Minor language	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for
[ ] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	publication
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y]No	[ ] Minor revision
	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[ Y] Major revision
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[ Y ] No	

#### **COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is an interesting case report and collective review of previous case reports on paraganglionoma with lymph node metastasis. The followings are my comments. (1) In your case, was resection of hepatic artery performed. If it is true, please explain its reason and which hepatic artery, common, right or left, is resected. Additionally, is the resected hepatic artery reconstructed? (2) In figure 1, the letters of A and B are unclear. (3) Figure 2 appearing after the sentence of lymph node metastasis should be figure 3. (4) Figure 2 appearing after the sentence of lymph node metastasis should be figure 3. (5) The following description, "Metastases stained similarly to the primary with regard to S-100, chromogranin, and synaptophysin, but stained negatively for calretinin (Figure 3)", is not acceptable, because figure 3 does not contain special staining photos. (6) In tabe 1, the case of Henry et al. (2003 50 M) seems to me that lymph node metastasis was not found but that manubrium is only the mestatic site. (7) If the authors summarize the histopatoloical findings in table 2, it will much easier to understand the features of



8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

this tumor.