Point-by-point response

We appreciate the reviewer's valuable feedback and the editorial board's support for our manuscript. Below is a point-by-point response to reviewers comments.

Comment 1. Please provide one report:

Scientific Research Process

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO:

Manuscript Type: ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Title:

Authors:

Correspondence to:

- 1. What did this study explore?
- 2. How did the authors perform all experiments?
- 3. How did the authors process all experimental data?
- 4. How did the authors deal with the pre-study hypothesis?
- 5. What are the novel findings of this study?

Response: The title page has been edited according to the journal requirements. A section about scientific research process has been added to the manuscript

following the title page. All of these changes have been highlighted in yellow

according to the journal instructions.

Comment 2: Please revise and perfect your manuscript according to peer-

reviewers' comments.

Response 2: The manuscript has been revised according to the reviewer's

comments. The grammatical corrections, and font style and formatting

suggestions by the reviewers have been incorporated in the manuscript. The

results section of the abstract has been edited to >120 words. Core tip, audio core

tip and comments at the end of the manuscript have been added. The citations

have been reformatted in the format required by the journal. All these changes

have been highlighted in yellow.

Comment 3: Author contributions: XXX (family name should be put first in full,

followed by middle names and first name in abbreviation with first letter in

capital) designed research; XXX performed research; XXX contributed new

reagents or analytic tools; XXX analyzed data; XXX wrote the paper. An author

may list more than one contribution, and more than one author may have

contributed to the same aspect.

Response: The author contributions have been added to the title page of the

manuscript. The changes are highlighted in yellow.

Comment 4: Please finish them.

Author contributions, Institutional review board statement, Informed consent

statement, Biostatistics statement, Conflict-of-interest statement, Data sharing

statement.

Response: These have been added to the title page of the manuscript. All of these

changes have been highlighted in yellow.

Comment 5: Add it.

Response: A fax number has been added to the details of the corresponding

author on the title page.

Comment 6: RESULTS: no less than 120 words.

Response: The results section of the abstract has been edited to >120 words.

Comment 7: Superscript.

Response: All the citations appear as Arabic numerals in superscript, in the order of first use in the manuscript.

Comment 8: Please finish them.

COMMENTS

Background, Research frontiers, Innovations and breakthrough, Applications, Terminology, Peer-review

Response: The comment section has been added to the manuscript after the discussion section. All of these changes have been highlighted in yellow.

Comment 9: Please check that there are no repeated references!

Please add PubMed citation numbers and DOI citation to the reference list and list all authors. Please revise throughout. The author should provide the first page of the paper without PMID and DOI.

PMID (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=PubMed) DOI (http://www.crossref.org/SimpleTextQuery/) (Please begin with DOI: 10.**)

Response: The reference section did not have any repeat citations. The citations were inserted in the manuscript using Endnote 7. The citations have been reformatted to meet the journal requirements. All of these changes have been highlighted in yellow.