

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 31927

Title: Small for size syndrome difficult dilemma: Lessons from 10 years single centre experience in living donor liver transplantation

Reviewer's code: 00505437

Reviewer's country: Australia

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-12-19

Date reviewed: 2016-12-27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations about the paper. It is an interesting quite large series. 1) The result section is very confusing and little detailed. Please try to rewrite it. 2) Indication for intra-operative splenectomy are not well described. 3) The use of post-operative embolisation should be better comment. 4) If possible classify severity of complication using a severity score such Dindo-Clavien score 5) Some grammar mistakes

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 31927

Title: Small for size syndrome difficult dilemma: Lessons from 10 years single centre experience in living donor liver transplantation

Reviewer's code: 02844701

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-03-09

Date reviewed: 2017-03-14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors have stated that "3- Diagnosis of SFSS: The patients laboratory and clinical parameters (I.e. Serum bilirubin, INR, volume of ascites, and encephalopathy) were followed up to detect the occurrence of SFSS: As there has been no specific definition and diagnosis of SFSS until now, we diagnosed SFSS according to (12), (46), (47), moreover, we classified SFSS into SFSD and SFSNF, as regard (12)." Please give more clear-cut definition for SFSS and SFSD Authors have stated that "Steroids were withdrawn 3 month after surgery, and MMF was withdrawn 6 months after surgery". What is basis for this strategy There must be uniform evaluation and treatment care including surgical skills in all patients as it may cause unequal outcome in the two groups Comparison between patients with (n=20)and without SFSS (n=154) (Univariate analysis) and in Table (7) Outcome of patients The numbers in two groups are unequal so it has impact on P value. I will suggest to that in survival analysis Please include death censored and non-death censored graft survival and patient



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

survival