

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 32179

**Title:** Elaboration and validation of Crohn's disease anoperineal lesions consensual definitions

**Reviewer's code:** 03658410

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2016-12-28

**Date reviewed:** 2017-01-03

| CLASSIFICATION   | LANGUAGE EVALUATION   | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT                          | CONCLUSION   |
|--|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing                 | Google Search:                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good                 |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor                 |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision     |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected                            | BPG Search:                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        |  |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |  |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            |  |
|  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         |  |

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a study which explored the elaboration of consensual definitions of anoperineal lesions of Crohn's disease (APLOC) and inter observer agreement on the diagnosis of these lesions between experts. Authors suggest that herein they established the missing consensual definitions and show that experts have a substantial interobserver agreement when using them to diagnose and describe ulcerations, fistulas, activity of external openings and erythema on photographs. Major comments 1. Please refer to any gold standard you used (MRI or examination under anesthesia) 2. Please provide more figures representing all your findings you discuss in the Tables. 3. Please discuss any differences between gastroenterologists and surgeons (both can be proctologists) regarding findings (severity, extension, etc) 4. Please discuss any differences in terminology/findings before /after intervention (i.e seton) 5. Please discuss if you consider the duration of lesions and the type of onset (sudden or slowly) of importance. Minor comments 1. Please correct typos in your statistical analysis part. 2.



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

Please provide a figure of a flow chart on your methodology of consensus

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 32179

**Title:** Elaboration and validation of Crohn's disease anoperineal lesions consensual definitions

**Reviewer's code:** 02554620

**Reviewer's country:** South Korea

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2017-01-12

**Date reviewed:** 2017-01-31

| CLASSIFICATION   | LANGUAGE EVALUATION   | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT                          | CONCLUSION   |
|--|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing                 | Google Search:                                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor                 |   | BPG Search:                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        |  |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |  |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            |  |
|  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No         |  |

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, Thank you for giving me a chance to review this study. I really appreciate your efforts to contribute the paper. I am review your manuscript : Elaboration and validation of Crohn's disease anoperineal lesions consensual definitions. I inform this paper can passed under my authority. Thanks