

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 32277

Title: Cardiac and pericardial tumors: A potential application of positron emission tomography-magnetic resonance imaging

Reviewer's code: 02446706

Reviewer's country: Netherlands

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-12-31

Date reviewed: 2017-01-04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This attempt to describe the new modality of PET-MRI in diagnosing cardiac and pericardial tumors is promising. But special attention should be paid to the spelling and type setting through out the manuscript.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 32277

Title: Cardiac and pericardial tumors: A potential application of positron emission tomography-magnetic resonance imaging

Reviewer's code: 03345188

Reviewer's country: Netherlands

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-03-20

Date reviewed: 2017-03-20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author prepared a nice overview of the (preliminary) application of PET-MRI in the evaluation of cardiac tumours. These scanners are currently not widely available across the globe, even in developed countries. However, the technique looks promising and the author demonstrates the value in a short review and description of some clinical cases. Besides the fact that language editing is necessary (preferably performed by a native speaker), I donot have specific comments.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 32277

Title: Cardiac and pericardial tumors: A potential application of positron emission tomography-magnetic resonance imaging

Reviewer's code: 01264608

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-03-20

Date reviewed: 2017-03-23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review manuscript addressed the significance of cardiac and pericardiac tumors assessed by PET-MRI. This manuscript well summarized the clinical scenario encountered during the clinical practice. There are several points to be revised before publication. 1) Please correct typo errors. For example, page1/ line23 (PT-MRI → PET-MRI), page3/ line20 (pet → PET), page 4/ line2 (asses → assesses), page5 line15 and 25 (artefact or artifact, please keep the consistency), page 8/line21 (pet → PET) etc. 2) Before using abbreviation authors should describe. Page4; M staging, page4/line24; PM (what do they stand for?), page 7/line21-21; ADC and DW (what do they stand for?) 3) The description of each figure is too brief. Should describe more detail in the main sentence.