

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 32535

Title: Brugada ECG pattern. Should we treat the ECG or the patient?

Reviewer's code: 00258135

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-03-20

Date reviewed: 2017-03-27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper of Delise and coll. discusses the controversial points regarding the indication to ICD implantation in patients with Brugada syndrome. The paper is well written and offers a relevant point of view about the main problem in the management of patients in whom Brugada syndrome is diagnosed.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 32535

Title: Brugada ECG pattern.Should we treat the ECG or the patient?

Reviewer's code: 00214305

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-03-20

Date reviewed: 2017-04-09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments 1. Please emphasize more on multiparametric scores for risk stratification of Brugada subjects. The new article published on risk stratification adds important information on this topic (A score model to predict risk of events in patients with Brugada Syndrome. Sierra J, Conte G, Ciconte G, Chierchia GB, Casado-Arroyo R, Baltogiannis G, Di Giovanni G, Saitoh Y, Juliá J, Mugnai G, La Meir M, Wellens F, Czapla J, Pappaert G, de Asmundis C, Brugada P. Eur Heart J. 2017).