

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 32638

Title: Successful surgical resection of ruptured cholangiolocellular carcinoma: A rare case of primary hepatic tumor

Reviewer's code: 01560036

Reviewer's country: Russia

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-02-07

Date reviewed: 2017-02-08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Nice case report of successful surgical treatment of rare primary hepatic tumor. Excellent illustrations. Some English revision is needed. For example: ABSTRACT(Page2): line 7: "resected by radical surgery". Choose one of two: resected or treated by surgery CASE REPORT. Page 4, lines 4-5: "admitted to the intensive care unit and discharged 30 days later without any complications". 30 days and without complications - why too long? Discharged directly from intensive care unit? "no signs of recurrence" - how long is follow-up? Page 7, line 6: TEA or TAE? CONCLUSION: I recommend to withdraw "Although"

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 32638

Title: Successful surgical resection of ruptured cholangiolocellular carcinoma: A rare case of primary hepatic tumor

Reviewer's code: 03656588

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-02-07

Date reviewed: 2017-02-12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1) A case of spontaneous rupture due to CoCC is reported, and which was successfully resected by radical surgery. It indicated that the tumor of CoCC could be resected radically because of spontaneous rupture when liver function was better and hemodynamics was stable. 2) It is better guidance to treat the similar case clinically. I suggest that the case report can be published in the form of case report in World J Hepatology.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 32638

Title: Successful surgical resection of ruptured cholangiolocellular carcinoma: A rare case of primary hepatic tumor

Reviewer's code: 00054255

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-02-07

Date reviewed: 2017-02-18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The CT scan and pathologic specimen show typical cholangiocarcinoma. Even though the authors defined the cholangiocellular carcinoma, originated from ductules of canals of Herring according to author's description, they didn't show this finding in the pathologic findings and description. In general, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma is stained in cytokeratin 19 and EMA. Is there a specific characteristics of immunohistochemical staining for cholangiocellular carcinoma? In reviewer's view, this case is looking standard intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Bleeding is rare from the cholangiocarcinoma, and the CT and Gross finding shows just scanty bleeding not rupture. The findings does not match with the title of this case report

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 32638

Title: Successful surgical resection of ruptured cholangiolocellular carcinoma: A rare case of primary hepatic tumor

Reviewer's code: 00071753

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-02-07

Date reviewed: 2017-02-18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present an interesting case of spontaneous liver rupture due to CoCC, which was successfully resected by radical surgery. Concerns: 1- The authors did not mention in the manuscript the time of follow up of the patient after the surgery and how they monitored tumor metastasis after surgery. 2- A summary of the case report follow up should be present in the conclusion. 3- There are syntax and grammar errors in the manuscript

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 32638

Title: Successful surgical resection of ruptured cholangiolocellular carcinoma: A rare case of primary hepatic tumor

Reviewer's code: 02446627

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-02-07

Date reviewed: 2017-02-18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Change the figure 3 to figure 1 in the figure labeling where the figure is (seems like typo)

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 32638

Title: Successful surgical resection of ruptured cholangiolocellular carcinoma: A rare case of primary hepatic tumor

Reviewer's code: 00537002

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-02-07

Date reviewed: 2017-02-21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Major criticism 1. Emergent rt hepatectomy is very risky because patient's age was 80 years old. Liver function data as ICGR15, Plt, albumin, T.Bil and so on were not described. How did the authors determine the safety of emergent rt hepatectomy. The patient was hemodynamically stable due to fluid resuscitation. The scheduled operation might be recommended.