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**Abstract**

Hallux rigidus is a degenerative disease of the first metatarsalphalangeal (MTP) joint and affects 2.5% of people over age 50. Dorsal osteophytes and narrowed joint space leads to debilitating pain and limited range of motion. Altered gait mechanics often ensued as 119% of the body force transmit through the 1st MTP joint during gait cycle. Precise etiology remains under debate with trauma being often cited in the literature. Hallux valgus interphalangeus, female gender, inflammatory and metabolic conditions have all been identified as associative factors. Clinical symptoms, physical exam and radiographic evidence are important in assessing and grading the disease. Non-operative managements including NSAIDs, intra-articular injections, shoe modification, activity modification and physical therapy, should always be attempted for all hallux rigidus patients. The goal of surgery is to relieve pain, maintain stability of the first MTP joint, and improve function and quality of life. Operative treatments can be divided into joint-sparing versus joint-sacrificing. Cheilectomy and moberg osteotomy are examples of joint-sparing techniques that have demonstrated great success in early stages of hallux rigidus. Arthrodesis is a joint-sacrificing procedure that has been the gold standard for advanced hallux rigidus. Other newer procedures such as implant arthroplasty, interpositional arthroplasty and arthroscopy, have demonstrated promising early patient outcomes. However, future studies are still needed to validate its long-term efficacy and safety. The choice of procedure should be based on the condition of the joint, patient’s goal and expectations, and surgeon’s experience with the technique.
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**Core tip:** Hallux rigidus is the leading form of arthritis of the foot. Patients experience increasing pain and decreasing motion of the first metatarsalphalangeal joint as the disease progress, leading to significant morbidity and lower quality of life. Multiple treatment options, from cheilectomy to arthrodesis, have been utilized in treating hallux rigidus. Advances in interpositional arthroplasty and implants have introduced new opportunities in giving a more functional outcome. This review will discuss how to approach hallux rigidus in a clinical setting and examine recent evidence in the available treatment options.

Aaron Lam, Chan JJ, Surace MF, Vulcano E. Hallux rigidus: How do I approach it? *World J Orthop* 2017; In press

**INTRODUCTION**

Hallux rigidus is a degenerative disease of the first metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joint. It is the most common form of arthritis in the foot, affecting 1 in 40 people over the age of 50 with a 2:1 predilection for females[1,2]. The first MTP joint plays an important functional role during the gait cycle as it carries approximately 119% of an individual’s body weight with each step[3]. Osteophyte formation and degeneration of the cartilage occurs dorsally in early stages of the disease and progresses to involve the entire first MTP joint. Consequently, individuals with hallux rigidus experience joint pain and decreased range of motion (ROM) in the sagittal plane. This leads to altered gait mechanics and significant reduction in activity and quality of life for patients[4,5].

**CLASSIFICATION**

Multiple different classification systems have been described for hallux ridigus to evaluate and grade the severity of the first MTP joint damage. Beeson *et al*[6] conducted a thorough review of 18 hallux rigidus classification systems reported in literature and found no consistency in the construction of the systems as they lacked reliability and scientific validity. Many of the included parameters were based on subjective clinical experience. The authors concluded that the system proposed by Coughlin and Shurnas most closely approximates a gold standard, as it is based on a combination of objective radiological and clinical findings (Table 1)[6,7].

**HALLUX RIGIDUS *VS* HALLUX LIMITUS**

An important distinction needs to be made between hallux rigidus versus hallux limitus. Hallux rigidus is defined as pain due to an arthritic joint, whereas hallux limitus is defined as functional pain due to soft tissue tightness *(i.e.*, gastrocnemius contracture) or a long and elevated first metatarsal. Patients with hallux limitus will typically have an increased hallux dorsiflexion when the foot is examined in plantarflexion, as this relaxes the gastrocnemius and removes the restricting factor. It must be noted, however, that hallux limitus may progress to hallux rigidus, thus there may be occasions of overlapping features of either condition.

If the contributing factor in hallus limitus is gastrocnemius tightness, then a gastrocnemius recession alone may be performed. If a long or elevated first metatarsal is responsible for the condition, then a shortening or plantarflexion osteotomy of the metatarsal head may be warranted, with or without a gastrocnemius recession.

**RISK FACTORS**

The etiology of hallux rigidus is not well understood. It has been reported that trauma is one of the main causes for unilateral hallux rigidus[8]. Coughlin and Shurnas observed that hallux rigidus is associated with hallux valgus interphalangeus, and bilateral involvement is associated with a family history and female gender[9]. Development of degenerative changes can also be secondary to repetitive stress or inflammatory or metabolic conditions such as gout, rheumatoid arthritis and seronegative arthropathies[4,10]. Damage of the articular surface of the MTP joint due to osteochondritis dissecans has been proposed as well[10]. Biomechanical and structural factors, such as long first metatarsal, metatarsus elevates, and metatarsus adductus can also lead to increased risk of hallux rigidus[10,11].

**EXAMINATION**

***Clinical examination***

Patients with hallux rigidus may present with altered gait patterns or pain on the lateral aspect of the foot. This is secondary to the attempt to reduce loading on the first MTP joint. Patients may also report limitations on wearing certain types of shoes due to dorsal osteophytes on the first metatarsal head and proximal phalanx. Concurrently, patients may experience numbness along the medial border of the great toe as the osteophytes can compress on the dorsomedial cutaneous nerve[8].

***Physical examination***

The foot must be evaluated in the seated and standing positions. The standing position will provide information regarding the dynamic alignment and function of the hallux. The seated position will relax the soft tissues and help assess ROM.

The first MTP joint is often tender dorsally, with often palpable osteophytes. Since the dorsal osteophytes may compress on the dorsomedial cutaneous nerve, sensation deficits and vascular function of the foot should be recorded.

Evaluating the ROM of the first MTP joint is critical as it may be an indicator of the severity of arthritis. The most common finding is a decreased passive and active ROM, most notably in dorsiflexion. In milder forms of hallux rigidus, pain during passive ROM usually occurs at or near the end points of flexion. However, pain in midrange motion indicates more diffuse level of arthritic change in the joint.

ROM has typically been measured clinically using a goniometer. However, clinical goniometric measurement has been proven to be unreliable and difficult to reproduce in a standardized manner as it is affected by various factors including instrumentation and different patient types[12]. A new reliable and reproducible method for measuring the hallux MTP ROM using dynamic X-rays has been reported by Vulcano *et al*[13]. There was a significant difference between clinical ROM and radiographic ROM, with clinical dorsiflexion being equal to or less than the radiographic dorsiflexion. The difference was more pronounced in patients with a clinical dorsiflexion less than 30 degrees. In addition, radiographic measurements of hallux dorsiflexion had excellent intra- and interobserver reliability[13].

The hallux interphalangeal (IP) joint should also be carefully examined. Should the joint also be arthritic, the surgeon should avoid fusing both IP and MTP joints to prevent abnormal gait patterns.

***Radiographic examination***

Weight-bearing anteroposterior (AP), lateral and oblique views of the affected foot should be obtained. The degree of joint space narrowing is best observed on the oblique view. In later stages of hallux rigidus, osteophytic formation can be observed in the periarticular area of the metatarsal head and proximal phalanx. It is important to note that the dorsal osteophytes can obstruct the AP view of the joint. This can lead to false impression of more severe osteoarthritis. Deland and Williams noted that osteophytes can also mislead the actual amount of joint space narrowing as it can help maintain the joint space[8]. The dorsal aspect of the joint is generally affected first. Other radiographic findings include joint sclerosis and subchondral cysts. MRI and CT images should not be necessary to diagnose the condition or to plan surgery.

**TREATMENT**

***Nonsurgical management***

Non-operative treatment for hallux rigidus should be attempted prior to surgical treatments. These treatments include medical therapy, intra-articular injections, shoe modification, activity modification, and physical therapy.

Medical therapy mainly involves oral nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs aimed to reduce swelling and joint pain. However it has been observed that oral medications alone are insufficient to provide pain relief[8].

Intra-articular injections have been shown to provide good relief in some patients with hallux rigidus. Solan *et al*[14] conducted a study evaluating manipulation under anesthesia (MUA) and intra-articular steroid injection in patients with hallux rigidus. They found that patients with Grade 1 hallux rigidus (Karasick and Wapner classification) experienced pain relief of six months while one-third required surgery; grade 2 patients experienced pain relief of three months with two-thirds requiring surgery; grade 3 patients experience minimal benefit as all required surgery. The authors concluded that MUA and intra-articular injections should be recommended to patients with early grades of hallux rigidus[14]. Prolotherapy, or proliferation therapy, (*i.e.*, injection of platelet rich plasma or bone marrow aspirate) has also been shown to decreased pain and stiffness while improving various quality of life parameters[15]. However, the current scientific evidence is too scarce to draw definitive conclusions regarding its effectiveness in treating hallux rididus.

Shoe modification and orthotics reduce pain by modifying the biomechanics of the first MTP joint. Morton extension and navicular pads have been used to immobilize and alter the loading patterns of the joint. Rocker-bottom soles can help reduce painful dorsiflexion by allowing the patient to transition from heel strike to toe-off in the gait cycle without requiring the foot or shoe to bend. Shoes with high toe box can help prevent direct contact between the dorsal osteophytes and the shoe thereby taking pressure off the joint.

Physical therapy involves joint mobilization, manipulation and improving the ROM. Gaiting training, ice packs and rest reduce pain and inflammation. The use of extracorporeal shockwave therapy, iontophoresis and ultrasonography therapy have also been proposed[16]. However, evidence supporting the use of these adjunct therapies are still scarce.

***Surgical management***

When conservative management fails, there are a variety of surgical treatment options available. These techniques can be divided into joint sparing or joint sacrificing techniques. The choice of procedure is based on the condition of the joint, patient’s goals and expectations of the surgical outcome, and patient’s motivation. The goal of surgery is to relieve pain, improve function, maintain stability of the first MTP joint and improve quality of life.

**CHEILECTOMY**

Cheilectomy is a joint-sparing technique that involve resection of < 30% of the dorsal metatarsal head. In addition, intraarticular loose bodies and osteophytes localized in the metatarsal head and proximal phalanx are removed, and the medial, lateral and plantar capsules of the metatarsal heads are released. Greater than 30% of the dorsal metatarsal head removal is not advised as the joint can become unstable and the proximal phalanx can sublux. The procedure improves dorsiflexion of the first MTP as well as gait function as it increases the peak ankle push-off power in the sagittal plane[17].

Cheilectomy is the treatment of choice for early stages of hallux rigidus. It is a relatively simple procedure that preserves 1st MTP joint motion, allowing for faster return to daily activities. The reported complication rate for cheilectomy is low (0% to 3%)[18]. Cheilectomy does not compromise future surgical treatments should revision become necessary. However, cheilectomy does not prevent the progression of the disease and is rarely a permanent solution to the problem. Dorsal exostosis has been observed in up to 30% of patients, with continued progression of chondrolysis and joint deterioration[4].

Coughlin and Shurnas[19] reported the longest follow-up study to date for cheilectomy with a mean follow-up of 9.6 years. The study concluded that cheilectomy should be performed for Coughlin and Shurnas Grade I, Grade 2, and Grade 3 with less than 50% metatarsal head cartilage loss. Similarly, Bussewitz *et al*[18] reported an overall success rate of 98.5% in 197 cases with a mean follow-up of 3.2 years. Nicolosi *et al*[20] evaluated the long-term efficacy of aggressive cheilectomy by analyzing patient satisfaction using American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS) scale in 58 patients with mean follow-up period of 7 years. The average improvement in pain relief was 87.71%, and 94.83% of all patients stated that they would undergo the same procedure again. The authors concluded that aggressive cheilectomy should be performed over arthrodesis in patients with Grade I to Grade III hallux rigidus using the Coughlin and Shurnas classification system.

Cetinkaya *et al*[21] assessed the results of cheilectomy in the treatment of Grade III hallux rigidus using the Coughlin and Shurnas classification system for 21 patients (22 toes). There was no revision surgery done, and the visual analog scale (VAS) score improved from 89 preoperative to 29 postoperative. Cetinkaya *et al*[21] concluded that cheilectomy is the preferable method as the first line treatment option for Grade III hallux rigidus.

**CHEILECTOMY WITH MOBERG OSTEOTOMY**

Moberg osteotomy is a dorsal closing-wedge osteotomy of the proximal phalanx. This procedure simulates an increased dorsiflexion that facilitates the third rocker of gait. Futher, the Moberg osteotomy shifts the center of pressure on the first metatarsal head in a plantar direction. As a result, less forces act on the arthritic joint surface[22].

Moberg osteotomy is typically performed in conjunction with a cheilectomy for early stages of hallux rigidus. As noted previously, cheilectomy doesn’t prevent further degeneration of the joint and therefore progressive loss of dorsiflexion can occur. Moberg osteotomy can offer decompression of the joint while preserving the movement at the first MTP joint. It has been argued that one potential drawback of the osteotomy is that it could affect dorsal plate positioning in case of arthrodesis revision surgery[23].

In a study of 60 patients (60 toes) with an 8-year follow up, Waizy *et al*[24] compared the results of cheilectomy alone (27 patients) versus combined cheilectomy and Moberg osteotomy (33 patients). No revisions or further operations were done in both groups. Four patients had persistent hyperesthesia of the medial side of the great toe and 3 patients had delayed wound healing. Patients who had cheilectomy with Moberg osteotomy reported higher satisfaction than cheilectomy alone (32.6% *vs* 21.7%). The authors concluded that a Moberg osteotomy should be supplemented if dorsiflexion of greater than 70° could not be achieved intraoperatively with cheilectomy alone.

Moberg osteotomy alone has also demonstrated good clinical results in moderate hallux rigidus. Perez-Aznar *et al*[25] evaluated the results of a Moberg osteotomy alone in 40 patients (42 toes) with Coughlin and Shurnas Grade II and III hallux rigidus. Both AOFAS (51.7 to 88.8) and VAS scores (76.6 to 1.9) improved significantly from pre-op to post-op. Additionally, dorsiflexion improved from 20.3° to 55.7°.

O’Malley *et al*[23] investigated the use of cheilectomy and Moberg osteotomy for the treatment of advanced hallux rigidus. In a cohort of 81 grade III hallux rigidus with a mean follow-up of 4.3 years, significant improvements in dorsiflexion and AOFAS scores were reported. Patient satisfaction was high (85.2%), with 4.9% ultimately requiring arthrodesis. The authors encouraged and recommended cheilectomy with Moberg osteotomy in patients with high grade hallux rigidus with at least 20° of preoperative dorsiflexion.

**ARTHRODESIS**

Arthrodesis of the 1st MTP joint has been widely accepted as the standard of care for severe, end-stage hallux rigidus due to its perceived safety and efficacy[8,11,26-31]. The procedure is typically performed as open surgery, although few recent reports have demonstrated a percutaneous approach[28,32]. The arthrodesis surfaces can be prepared either in a dome-cup pair configuration or flat and tapered. Dome-cup pair configuration allows for high degrees of adjustability in a three-dimensional plane, making final optimal alignment of the great toe easier.

There are multiple internal fixation techniques to achieve fusion (plates, screws, wires and staples). Ultimately, the choice depends on the surgeon’s skills and experiences with a particular fixation technique. Politi *et al*[33] evaluated and compared the strength of fixation of five commonly utilized techniques for arthrodesis and found that the most stable technique was the combination of an oblique lag screw and a dorsal plate. The weakest technique was dorsal plate alone with Kirschner wire fixation. Dening *et al*[34] demonstrated that plate fixation alone has significantly fewer non-unions than a single screw fixation. Hyer *et al*[35] compared the cost and results of two crossed screws and dorsal plating techniques. The two crossed screws represented a simple and less costly technique, with no statistically significant differences in time to fusion, revision surgery or hardware removal rate between the two techniques.

When performing a hallux fusion it is crucial to maintain the load bearing capacity of the first ray in order to prevent lateral transfer of forces towards the lesser metatarsals. The angle of fusion should be within 15° to 40° of extension and 15° and 30° of valgus[36].

Recent studies have reported fusion rates between 77% to 100% with dorsal plating and screw fixation[37]. Arthrodesis has been shown to improve propulsion power, weight-bearing function of the foot, and stability during gait[27]. However, complications such as nonunion have been reported to be as high as 20%[29]. Further, patients may complain of joint stiffness, metatarsalgia and limited footwear options, particularly women desiring to wear high heels.

**ARTHROPLASTY**

Unlike arthrodesis in which the joint motion is sacrificed to improve pain, partial or total arthroplasty is a surgical option intended to relief pain while preserving the mobility of the first MTP joint. Both total joint arthroplasty and hemiarthroplasty of the proximal phalanx or metatarsal have been developed. Despite the potential benefit of maintaining joint motion while relieving pain, multiple complications have been documented for arthroplasty, including implant failure, soft tissue instability, aseptic loosening of components, pathological wear, limited soft tissue coverage and infection[38,39].

Mixed results have been reported on the long-term outcomes of various types of implants[38-41]. Good short-term and long-term functional outcome and high patient satisfaction level were reported with the use of ToeFit-Plus (Plus Orthopedics AG, Switzerland) implants and silicone implant prosthesis[40,41]. Conversely, a loss of ROM and changes in component alignment over time was demonstrated with the use of an anatomically designed 3-component MTP-I prosthesis (Metis, Newdeal SA, Integra Life Science ILS, New Jersey, USA)[38]. Similarly, high rates of radiolucency, change in angulation, sinkage and malalignment were observed with the use of the second-generation ceramic press fit prosthesis (Press-fit Plus MTP, Moje Keramik-Implantate GmbH and Co KG, Petersberg, Germany)[39]. Because of the poor clinical and radiological results, the authors in the study did not recommend the prosthesis.

Similar to total joint arthroplasty, hemiarthroplasty also helps maintain the motion of the first MTP joint. However, hemiarthroplasty requires less bone resection and ensures maintenance of toe length. As a result, conversion to arthrodesis would be easier if a revision becomes necessary. Like total arthroplasty, studies on hemiarthroplasty have shown mixed results. Gheorghiu *et al*[42] observed a marked decrease in patient satisfaction along with significant decrease in ROM in patients with hemiarthroplasty compared to arthrodesis with a mean follow-up of 3.92 years. On the other hand, Voskuijl and Onstenk[43] found patients with hemiarthroplasty in their study reported greater satisfaction. In addition, symptom intensity and magnitude of disability were found comparable in both hemiarthroplasty and arthrodesis.

While the success and benefit of implants have been documented in the literature, the reports of higher complication rates, unpredictable results and poor survival have led orthopedic surgeons to become cautious with the use of implant arthroplasty. Part of the challenge with arthroplasty is the difficulty in mimicking the native joint and the various anatomical and mechanical stresses it endures. Failure of the arthroplasty is very difficult to manage as significant bone loss was introduced by the procedure in the first place. Additionally, the cost compared to arthrodesis is significantly higher[38]. Therefore, larger cohorts and longer follow-up studies are necessary to draw more definitive conclusions on arthroplasty in hallux rigidus.

**INTERPOSITIONAL ARTHROPLASTY**

Interpositional arthroplasty is a joint sparing procedure that maintains joint motion in patients with severe hallux rigidus. Keller resection arthroplasty was one of the pioneer procedures for the treatment of hallux rigidus that involves the resection of up to 50% of the base of the proximal phalanx. The goal of the procedure was to decompress the joint while increasing dorsiflexion. However, the procedure may destabilize the first MTP joint leading to transfer metatarsalgia, excessive shortening of the toe, cock-up deformity, clawing of the IP joint, and high rates of revision[8,44-47]. In addition, pedobarographic evaluations of foot following Keller resection arthroplasty have demonstrated a decrease in the maximum pressure, force and contact area under the operated great toe[45].

Schneider *et al*[45] reported a 90% stable first MTP joint in 78 patients (87 toes) who underwent Keller resection arthroplasty with 23 years of follow-up. The revision rate was reported at 5%. However, it is important to note that even though only one patient required revision with a cock-up deformity, 23% (19 toes) of patients presented with a cock-up deformity. It has been proposed to reserve the Keller resection arthroplasty in patients with advanced hallux rigidus, over the age of 70, and with less physical demand[8,46].

In light of the potential complications, multiple modifications of the Keller resection arthroplasty have been developed. These include a much more limited resection of the proximal phalanx, addition of a cheilectomy, and a placement of a spacer (joint capsule, extensor halluces brevis, tendon autograft, tendon allograft, synthetic matrix, *etc.*). The aim of the modifications is to preserve the bone stock, maintain or increase joint motion, stability and length[48].

Aynardi *et al*[49] retrospectively reviewed 133 patients who underwent interpositional arthroplasty with either autograft or synthetic soft tissue. Ninety percent of patients reported good to excellent outcomes at a mean follow-up of 62.2 mo. Overall failure rate was 3.8%, and a 1.5% infection rate. Six patients reported cock-up deformity of the 1st MTP joint and 23 patients reported metatarsalgia of the 2nd or 3rd MTP joint.

Schenk *et al*[50] compared the outcomes of Keller resection arthroplasty and interpositonal arthroplasty and found no significant difference between the clinical and radiological outcomes of the two procedures. However, these were short term-results with a mean follow-up of 1.26 years. Mackey *et al*[47] compared interpositional arthroplasty with arthrodesis and reported that interpositional arthroplasty had equivalent clinical outcomes to arthrodesis. However, it presented the additional benefit of motion preservation and resulted in a more physiologic pattern of plantar pressure during gait.

Berlet *et al*[48] described the use of a regenerative tissue matrix (RTM) (Wright Medical Technology, Inc., Memphis, TN, USA) as an allograft interpositional spacer for the treatment of advanced hallux rigidus. RTM is a biologically engineered allograft consisting of collagen and extracellular protein matrices. In their preliminary report, 9 patients underwent the procedure and no failures were reported at 10.1 mo follow-up. At 5-year follow-up, none of the patients had subsequent fusion or additional procedures performed on the first MTP joint and all were satisfied with the procedure[51].

Baumhauer *et al*[5] described the use of a synthetic cartilage implant as an allograft interpositional spacer. This synthetic cartilage implant (Cartiva, Inc., Alpharetta, GA, USA) is 8 or 10 mm in diameter and requires minimal bone and joint resection for implantation. The authors compared synthetic cartilage implant and arthrodesis in patients with advanced stage hallux rigidus and concluded that both procedures had equivalent decrease in pain and improvement function at 2-year, with an overall failure rate of 10%.

**ARTHODIASTASIS**

Arthodiastasis involves extra-articular distraction of a joint. This is based on the principle that offloading the articular surfaces of a joint can provide an environment that stimulates bone healing and fibrocartilage generation[4]. Pain can potentially be reduced, and arthrodesis or arthroplasty is still possible if distraction fails. Distraction of other joints in the body has been well reported, including the hip and ankle[52-54]. Abraham *et al*[55] reported a statistically significant reduction of pain in 9 hallux rigidus patients (10 distractions) using joint distraction with a mean follow up of 2.2 years. All patients were stage II or III hallux rigidus on the Regnauld classification system, and none of the patients had subsequent procedures on the first MTP joint. The downside of the procedure, however, is the need to carry an external fixator for about 3 mo.

**ARTHROSCOPY**

The use of arthroscopy in the treatment of hallux rigidus is an emerging technique that has recently been described[56,57]. It is mainly used for grade I and II hallux rigidus where joint motion still remains. For patients that have failed conservative treatments, arthroscopic debridement and dorsal cheilectomy can be performed as an alternative to an open cheilectomy. Advantages include smaller incisions, reduced operative morbidity and more rapid rehabilitation. In addition, access to the entire joint is easier, which allows for identification of concomitant pathologies in the joint. If visualization of the joint is limited, the dorsomedial portal can be extended and convert the procedure to an open cheilectomy[56]. Arthroscopy of the 1st MTP joint is technically challenging and requires additional surgical training. Complications that have been described include iatrogenic articular cartilage injury, superficial or deep infection, wound dehiscence and sinus tract formation[56].

**CONCLUSION**

An array of techniques has been developed to address the arthritic changes in hallux rigidus. Surgical treatments can be considered only after failure with non-operative management. Surgical options can be divided into joint sparing and joint sacrificing. Determining the extent of the degenerative changes in the first MTP joint is critical in selecting which surgical technique to perform. Cheilectomy has demonstrated excellent outcomes for early stages of hallux rigidus, while arthrodesis is the gold standard for end-stage hallux rigidus. Other procedures, such as interpositional arthroplasty, seem to provide promising patient outcomes, but long-term follow-up studies are needed to validate the available results.
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**Table 1 Coughlin and Shurnas Clinical Radiographic System for Grading Hallux Rigidus**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Grade | Dorsiflexion | Radiographic findings | Clinical findings |
| 0 | 40° to 60° and/or 10% to 20% loss compared with normal side | Normal | No pain; only stiffness and loss of motion on examination |
| 1 | 30° to 40° and/or 20% to 50% loss compared with normal side | Dorsal osteophyte is main finding, minimal joint-space narrowing, minimal periarticular sclerosis, minimal flattening of metatarsal head | Mild or occasional pain and stiffness, pain at extremes of dorsiflexion and/or plantar flexion on examination |
| 2 | 10° to 30° and/or 50% to 75% loss compared with normal side | Dorsal, lateral, and possibly medial osteophytes giving flattened appearance to metatarsal head, no more than ¼ if dorsal joint space involved on lateral radiograph, mild-to-moderate joint-space narrowing and sclerosis, sesamoids not usually involved | Moderate-to-severe pain and stiffness that may be constant; pain occurs just before maximum dorsiflexion and maximum plantar flexion on examination |
| 3 | ≤10° and/or 75% to 100% loss compared with normal side. There is notable loss of metatarsophalangeal plantar flexion as well (often ≤10° of plantar flexion) | Same as in grade 2 but with substantial narrowing, possibly periarticular cystic changes, more than ¼ of dorsal joint space involved on lateral radiograph, sesamoids enlarged and/or cystic and/or irregular | Nearly constant pain and substantial stiffness at extremes of range of motion but not at mid-range |
| 4 | Same as in grade 3 | Same as in grade 3 | Same criteria as grade 3 *but* there is definite pain at mid-range of passive motion |