



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 32703

Title: Multidisciplinary approach of colorectal cancer liver metastases

Reviewer's code: 02947240

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-01-20

Date reviewed: 2017-02-05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article reviews the outcomes of resection, systemic and loco-regional therapies of liver metastases originating from large bowel cancer. This review is well written. However, it is better to describe the analyzed studies in a Table for patients' characteristics, treatments, and prognoses. Then we can know which is the best option for such patients.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 32703

Title: Multidisciplinary approach of colorectal cancer liver metastases

Reviewer's code: 00722239

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-03-09

Date reviewed: 2017-03-12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors review the multidisciplinary approach for liver metastases of colorectal cancer by surgery, radiology, systemic chemotherapies and loco-regional therapies. The manuscript is well written. Tables, figures or schemas which support reader's understanding would improve the paper. Many minor grammatical errors are found.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 32703

Title: Multidisciplinary approach of colorectal cancer liver metastases

Reviewer's code: 00503601

Reviewer's country: Singapore

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-03-09

Date reviewed: 2017-03-14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a review of management of CRC-LM with a focus on multidisciplinary approach. Unfortunately, the manuscript is written in compartmentalized fashion covering each area of therapy in isolation and hence makes it difficult for the reader to appreciate the multidisciplinary considerations that come into play when managing complex CRC-LM especially for unresectable or borderline resectable disease and for those with expanded indications like extrahepatic disease. The writing style and grammar needs to be corrected to make for easier reading and understanding. The quoted MR for hepatectomy seems somewhat high at 8.5-16% and this may not reflect the true state in most highly specialized HPB units worldwide. The comment about growth factors post hepatectomy is briefly mentioned but what is the recommendation for readers? The comments about IOUS are strange and is not meant to be a preoperative investigation but a mandatory surgical tool to confirm preoperative investigations by CT or MRI and for detection of missed lesions. The comment on the role of neoadjuvant chemotherapy being unclear is also strange. The role of multidisciplinary practice is to determine



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

when combined modality treatment eg neoadjuvant becomes useful and it will be helpful for the authors to focus on helping the readers understand the place of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in current practice. The discussion would benefit readers to also understand discussion points about duration of neoadjuvant as well as timing of surgery in relation to avoid disappearing liver metastases, etc.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 32703

Title: Multidisciplinary approach of colorectal cancer liver metastases

Reviewer's code: 00503609

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-03-09

Date reviewed: 2017-03-25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review article by Giammaria summarizes a large body of literature on multiple aspects of the treatment of colorectal cancer liver metastases. It follows the historical trail of treatment outlining classic indications for resection followed by current practice. The authors recommend a multidisciplinary approach to this disease with each patient being discussed by a multidisciplinary team consisting of surgeons, oncologists, radiologist and radiological interventonists, case managers, and nurses. Critique 1. The manuscript is very descriptive but does not clearly sort through the conflicting data in the literature to provide clear indications for treatment. In some areas, the differences in outcomes for studies are confusing. It is not clear how to apply the data to individual patients. 2. The manuscript outlines all possibilities, but does not define clearly specific roles of each modality in treatment of patients with various presentations of colorectal liver metastases. 3. The initial section discusses evaluation of the patient, but radiological assessment is discussed much later in the paper after criteria for and timing of resection. This could be reorganized. 4. The manuscript



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

would be enhanced by figures or tables that illustrated points. This might include more detail about estimating the residual volume of liver after resection. 5. The authors could bring more clarity to issues about whether or not neoadjuvant chemotherapy should be used before liver metastases are resected and if used, who would best benefit. In summary, the review article does little to clarify the complex decision-making required to treat patients, especially in the rapidly evolving environment that has occurred over recent years.