

Dear editor

First of all, we would like to thank the reviewers for their pertinent comments. We addressed all issues and we hope all requests have been met.

- We agree that our initial conclusion was not supported by our results so we have changed it.
- We did not show the data on direct comparison between TT and AM techniques because to perform this comparison and present it in a meta-analysis format, the comparison effect between techniques would have to be presented individually in each study selected for this meta-analysis. All selected studies for this review were independent and presented only intra-technical comparisons. Therefore, although we did an exploratory analysis to test the differences between the incidences of graft failure and contralateral ACL rupture among AM and TT techniques, it could be biased.
- Total number of contralateral ACL tear was corrected
- We addressed the reason post-rehabilitation protocols were not included in DISCUSSION session

- The manuscript format was revised to meet the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision-Meta-Analysis

- audio core tip was provided

- Google Scholar search screenshot image was provided

- signed Copyright Assignment form was provided

One more time we thank you for the review .

Kind regards,

Gustavo Constantino de Campos