



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 32724

**Title:** Diabetes recurrence after gastrointestinal metabolic surgeries correlates with re-impaired insulin sensitivity rather than beta-cell function or weight changes in non-obese diabetic rats

**Reviewer's code:** 02992691

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2017-01-20

**Date reviewed:** 2017-01-25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study is very interesting. It can be accepted as it is.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243  
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com http://www.wjgnet.com

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 32724

**Title:** Diabetes recurrence after gastrointestinal metabolic surgeries correlates with re-impaired insulin sensitivity rather than beta-cell function or weight changes in non-obese diabetic rats

**Reviewer's code:** 03011710

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2017-01-20

**Date reviewed:** 2017-01-25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y ] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is very interesting. In this study, the authors investigated factors causing diabetes recurrence after sleeve gastrectomy (SG) and duodenal-jejunal bypass (DJB). High-fat diet (HFD) could reverse the improvement in glucose homeostasis induced by SG and DJB surgeries. The re-impairment of hepatic and muscular insulin sensitivity was likely responsible for diabetes recurrence, and alterations of beta-cell function, body weight and gastrointestinal hormones (GLP-1, PYY and ghrelin) seemed to not correlate with the recurrence. No revisions needed. On the authors should checked the data again.