

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Stem Cells

**Manuscript NO:** 32946

**Title:** Modifying oxygen tension affects bone marrow stromal cell osteogenesis for regenerative medicine

**Reviewer's code:** 00505424

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2017-02-02

**Date reviewed:** 2017-02-13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper under review aims at investigating whether modifying oxygen tension affected BMSC osteogenesis. The authors found that low oxygen pretreatment for 7 days following by 7-day treatment under normal oxygen could promote BMSCs' osteogenic differentiation in both in vitro and in vivo models. To the best of my knowledge, this study is new. Here are my comments, which I hope can help the authors to improve the manuscript. 1. Sample sizes for both in vitro and in vivo studies were missing despite the fact that n=5 and n=6 listed in the M&M were believed to be copy number for each analysis. 2. In the Discussion, the statement in line 292-293 is not consistent with the one in line 296-297. Based on the data presented in line 296-297, the statement "Contrary to our results and previous reports" (line 292) may not be correct. Please advise. 3. Since low oxygen culture increased cell number, can the authors comment on the promoted osteogenic differentiation? Is it because of increased cell number or enhanced stem cell osteogenic capacity? 4. The discussion is too short and not comprehensive.



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

Preconditioning strategies have been highlighted in a recent review paper (Biomaterials. 2017 Feb;117:10-23), which might assist the authors to have an in-depth discussion.