

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 33009

Title: Efficacy of the forced coagulation mode with low high-frequency power setting during endoscopic submucosal dissection

Reviewer's code: 03026624

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Jing Yu (Quit in 2017)

Date sent for review: 2017-02-16

Date reviewed: 2017-02-27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a Paper on the timely topic, comparing S method and F1-10 method. Authors indicated that F1-10 method is suggested to be useful for large vessel. My major concerns focus on how patients were selected and excluded. Authors should further explain the criterion in the Methods. Overall the paper is well written and interesting to read. The changes suggested above would make it more clinically applicable to an audience choosing treatments for their own patients.