

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 33074

Title: Distal triceps injuries (including snapping triceps): A systematic review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 02577402

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-03-02

Date reviewed: 2017-03-04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article reviews the distal triceps injuries but some problems existed. 1. The language needs to be improved greatly because of some grammar mistakes and misuse of punctuation. In addition, the authors used some phrases rather than whole sentences in the text, which is not good. Please have some native English speaker to revise the language for you. 2. Format of the review: This is an ordinary review regarding the distal triceps injuries, however, the authors did a meta analysis like review. There is no need to report the flow chart of this review. You can just make a good review structure for this review and there is no need to report the search strategies and the number of articles you have found. If you want to do a meta analysis, you have to do a much complicated review including a lot of figures and analysis methods using a special software. 3. Abbreviations: In this paper, the authors used some abbreviations without giving the complete phrases before using them like MRI and CT. Please give the complete phrases of these abbreviations at the first time of use. Please also check the



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

whole article and correct similar problems. 4. If possible, please give some figures to show more vividly the injuries.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 33074

Title: Distal triceps injuries (including snapping triceps): A systematic review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 02566697

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-02-17

Date reviewed: 2017-03-06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a review article on the topic of distal triceps lesions. The authors perform a systematic review of the literature and present their conclusions based only in original data form the search. This is a well written paper that is interesting to read and will be of help to the readers of the journal. There are some minor corrections: In general, when reporting a percentage of subjects, the authors should include the objective number used, for example instead of "Palpable defect are commonly found and present in up to 80% of patients" it would be better: Palpable defect are commonly found and present in up to 80% of patients (88/110)" The section of triceps ruptures should be clearly separated form the snapping section. Anatomy section, paragraph 2, should start with "Partial tears..." Investigation section, paragraph 2, line 4: I think the authors mean bone-tendon junction, not musculotendinos junction. Treatment: this section is lacking. The information is so general that no one could get useful information. The percentages presented are not correctly referred. A more detailed review of the available information,



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

with more hard data is necessary along the section. Again the treatment of snapping triceps is underconsidered.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 33074

Title: Distal triceps injuries (including snapping triceps): A systematic review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 02990871

Reviewer's country: Netherlands

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-03-02

Date reviewed: 2017-03-24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Distal Triceps injuries (including snapping triceps). A systematic review of the literature. The authors present a review about the management of distal triceps injuries. The call it a systematic review, but it is rather written as just a regular review. For instance, the anatomy is described in the results section, but is not really the result of the systematic search, and should therefore (if relevant) be written in the introduction. The authors state that they cannot compare the outcome of surgery because of the heterogeneity of the data. This may be a result of the fact that they did not present a clear aim and hypothesis. In the present form the exact goal of the review is rather vague. The inclusion criteria were clinical studies... however later on in the article, the authors wrote a paragraph on biomechanical studies... In conclusion: this article is not presented as a systematic review is supposed to. It should therefore not be called a systematic review. Please have a look at the PRISMA guidelines for systematic reviews. Also, I would suggest that the authors present a clear and relevant research question,



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

which is subsequently answered based on their literature search.