

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 33088

Title: Synergetic role of integrating the departments of cancer registry and clinical research at an academic comprehensive cancer

Reviewer's code: 00742249

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-02-10

Date reviewed: 2017-02-10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments: Synergetic integration and implementation of model in a commission of cancer-accredited program is reported in this manuscript. This paper provides useful information to the medical students, clinicians, and researchers in this field, therefore, is acceptable for publication in World Journal of Methodology. That is all.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 33088

Title: Synergetic role of integrating the departments of cancer registry and clinical research at an academic comprehensive cancer

Reviewer's code: 02510721

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-03-02

Date reviewed: 2017-03-10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study develops a very interesting argument about the improvement of the management of cancer disease approach. There are in the manuscript the description and characteristics of the connection between two departments: cancer registry and clinical research; also are reported the valuable results of this cooperation. The new proposed organization of an academic comprehensive cancer seems a very good idea.