

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 33128

Title: Practical approach to the patient with acute neuromuscular weakness

Reviewer's code: 00722239

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-02-12

Date reviewed: 2017-02-13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is good review which explains the details of each disease of acute neuromuscular paralysis. I think this review would be a quick reference of acute neuromuscular paralysis. Heading alphabet is lacked in several paragraphs.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 33128

Title: Practical approach to the patient with acute neuromuscular weakness

Reviewer's code: 00722050

Reviewer's country: Canada

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-02-12

Date reviewed: 2017-02-13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is interesting but is missing appropriate tables and figures. These may be compiled using the literature found by the authors. The pictures can be retrieved coordinating with a local or an international neuropathologist. Cytomegalovirus polyradiculopathy is not only due to HIV. The text is imprecise and needs to be improved. The topic presentation on toxic neuropathies is confusing and may need to be reviewed with a local or international toxicologist. Toxic neuropathies need to be put in a specific order, and this is missing.