

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 33245

Title: Stem cell therapy for nerve injury

Reviewer's code: 02445329

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-02-13

Date reviewed: 2017-02-22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have evaluated the MSC tx in PNI in this MN. This MN is well written and designed. In addition, it would be better that the authors mention about MSC may also be one of new therapeutic option for neurodegenerative disease. (for example; Neurological Research, 2016 Apr;38(4):364-72.)

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 33245

Title: Stem cell therapy for nerve injury

Reviewer's code: 00397384

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-04-14

Date reviewed: 2017-04-25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author made a good review on the implication of three types of mesenchymal stem cells in neural injury repair. However, there are plenty of grammar errors. For example, although...but.... A language editing service should be sought.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 33245

Title: Stem cell therapy for nerve injury

Reviewer's code: 02446025

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-04-14

Date reviewed: 2017-04-26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Hopefully you read through all of 114 references, these are lots. Congratulations! Suggestions: 1. Describe the PNIs and all therapeutic options. 2. List what challenges and problems of all therapeutic options. 3. Concisely introduce stem cells and focus what are mesenchymal stem cells 4. Why can mesenchymal stem cells can improve PNIs. 5. It's good to describe 3 types of MSCs to treat PNIs, but need to have points, such as mechanism, research or clinical benefit, potential problems, instead of compiling all references. 6, Need to give your opinion on potential of MSCs to treat PNIs and future directions to readers.