

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**Manuscript NO:** 33250

**Title:** Managing extremely distal periprosthetic femoral supracondylar fractures of total knee replacements – a new PHILOS

**Reviewer's code:** 02444740

**Reviewer's country:** Israel

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2017-02-13

**Date reviewed:** 2017-02-14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a nice paper , only few corrections are needed. Longer follow-up of the second case is needed with evidence for a complete healing

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**Manuscript NO:** 33250

**Title:** Managing extremely distal periprosthetic femoral supracondylar fractures of total knee replacements – a new PHILOS

**Reviewer's code:** 02705018

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2017-06-05

**Date reviewed:** 2017-06-05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [ Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I believe this manuscript presents a novel technique for the management of periprosthetic supracondylar femoral fractures which deserves attention from the orthopaedic community in order to be fairly judged. For this reason I propose publication.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**Manuscript NO:** 33250

**Title:** Managing extremely distal periprosthetic femoral supracondylar fractures of total knee replacements – a new PHILOS

**Reviewer's code:** 02699758

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2017-06-05

**Date reviewed:** 2017-06-12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors demonstrated plate fixation using PHILOS plate, which is usually applied to proximal humeral fracture, for distal periprosthetic femoral supracondylar fracture after TKA in two patients. The idea for using PHILOS plate is very unique. However, strength of the plate for lower leg is questionable. Patients should be followed at least 1 year or until bone union is completed. I do not recommend this manuscript to be published because of short term follow-up. More follow-up period should be necessary to demonstrate the utility of PHILOS plate for supracondylar fracture after TKA.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**Manuscript NO:** 33250

**Title:** Managing extremely distal periprosthetic femoral supracondylar fractures of total knee replacements – a new PHILOS

**Reviewer's code:** 02691156

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Jin-Xin Kong

**Date sent for review:** 2017-06-05

**Date reviewed:** 2017-06-12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The submitted manuscript, entitled “Managing Extremely Distal Periprosthetic Femoral Supracondylar Fractures of Total Knee Replacements – A New PHILOSophy”, represents a report of two cases with a proximal humeral locking plate. The title is referring directly to the problem at hand and the abstract is short but adequate. Core Tip: Focus to the fixation problems. Key words: are missing. Introduction is clear and the authors focus on the treatment methods and the most suitable available material for fixation. Materials and Methods; in this chapter are included: CASE REPORT, authors report a short sufficient case history of the two patients, followed by Operative techniques and then Outcomes (Results) Discussion; is clear, adequate and covers the various aspects of the treatment of femoral periprosthetic type III fractures, where the fracture line is distal to the anterior flange of the femoral component. The authors stated that “The major benefit we found of using the PHILOS was the design of the plate,



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://** www.wjgnet.com

which allowed the placement of a maximum of nine polyaxial divergent screws over a small area. This allowed us to maximise our fixation in the distal fragment.”  
Conclusions: is missing; instead of conclusions, there is a final paragraph in the end of discussion, which in my opinion, could represent the authors final conclusion “We have shown that a PHILOS can be considered as a viable treatment option for very distal Type III fracture patterns with good short-term results in low demand patients. Each case highlights the need for careful consideration of the fracture configuration to allow the treating surgeon to select an appropriate means of fixation.” References are including 16 published papers. The present manuscript, in the submitted form, slightly diverge from the structure used in WGO, needs to be organized in a better way, according to the instructions of WGO, before been accepted for publication.