

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 33271

Title: Association of adiponectin gene polymorphisms with knee osteoarthritis

Reviewer's code: 03672872

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-02-12

Date reviewed: 2017-02-27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article contents are interesting not only to the researchers on osteoarthritis and cartilage regeneration but also to the clinicians on orthopedics. Therefore, this manuscript can be considered for publication on this esteemed journal.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 33271

Title: Association of adiponectin gene polymorphisms with knee osteoarthritis

Reviewer's code: 03677503

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-02-27

Date reviewed: 2017-03-11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for inviting me to review this interesting research study on the association with knee arthritis. The study is interesting, however, its results are not important. Moreover, I doubt that the accumulation of cases as in this series might lead to a change in current practice. I cannot see how excluding these polymorphisms from the etiology of knee arthritis might be useful. It will need a lot more for important conclusions to be made on the topic; this is obvious from the authors' own conclusions.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 33271

Title: Association of adiponectin gene polymorphisms with knee osteoarthritis

Reviewer's code: 02703298

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-02-27

Date reviewed: 2017-03-21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: Main idea is clear and understandable. Abstract: Abstract is accurate, coherent and readable. Introduction: Previous pertinent literature cited and discussed. Therefore, introduction is adequate. The necessity for the study is clearly indicated. Methods: Study design is appropriate to achieve study aim. Study population clearly and adequately described. Statistical analyses are appropriate and used appropriately. Overall methodology is valid, replicable and understandable. Results: Results are clearly presented and comprehensible. Text did not duplicate the tables. Discussion: Previous pertinent literature was critiqued. The authors demonstrated continuity between present study and previous studies. Similarities and differences to other studies were noted. Discussion is sufficient, future studies, strengths of study and limitations are indicated. References: References are updated and accurate. Also references are relevant and comprehensive. Tables and Figures: Tables and figures are definite, clear and understandable.