

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 33372

Title: Colorectal cancer and the promising role on early detection of primary care physicians: a literature review

Reviewer's code: 03072884

Reviewer's country: Serbia

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2017-02-24

Date reviewed: 2017-02-27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Thanks for the valuable opportunity to read this beautiful piece on Colorectal Carcinoma. I regard this article to be of high interest to the Baishideng - World Journal of Gastroenterology target audience, decent methodological quality and posing important research questions. However I would recommend strengthening of its evidence base. Reference list should be expanded on certain places in text to include a body of high profile published evidence on this particular sort of cancer and parts of GBD Project led by the University of Washington, IHME CHTF. For this purpose I am uploading a revised manuscript with these exact recommended citations marked yellow in text and marginal comments. Conditional to adoption of this minor quality improvement I would like to warmly embrace the paper for publishing in Baishideng - World Journal of Gastroenterology. Best regards, Peer Reviewer

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 33372

Title: Colorectal cancer and the promising role on early detection of primary care physicians: a literature review

Reviewer's code: 03699831

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2017-03-21

Date reviewed: 2017-03-29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well done comprehensive review on Colorectal cancer. The topic discusses risk factors for CRC along with reviewing data on the different cancer screening and detection tests. My only comment is on the title, which does not seem to correlate with the majority of the paper. The manuscript does not really focus on the role of primary care physicians in colorectal cancer screening. As such I would suggest the authors change to title to reflect that this paper is more a review of CRC and screening methods.