

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 33556

Title: Management of Ventricular Tachycardia Storm in Patients with Structural Heart Disease

Reviewer's code: 00258955

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-02-17

Date reviewed: 2017-02-20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a review of the topic of electrical storm in patients with structural heart disease. It is comprehensive, generally well written, and well referenced. There are some minor typos and grammatical errors. Some of the sentences are excessively long and should be broken up (the paragraph on initial care for example has a long running sentence).

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 33556

Title: Management of Ventricular Tachycardia Storm in Patients with Structural Heart Disease

Reviewer's code: 00225356

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-03-14

Date reviewed: 2017-03-24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper by dr. Muser et al. is an excellent review paper on the management of the electrical storm. I would have only a few considerations for manuscript improvement. 1. In the introduction, the authors should made more clear that this review is focused only on the electrical storm in patients with structural heart disease, since the treatment of an electrical storm in other conditions (Brugada syndrome, catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia) can be very different. Alternatively, it would be interesting a short paragraph on the possible treatment options also in these other cases. 2. As pointed out in the second paragraph, reversible causes of electrical storm are relatively rare. Nevertheless, they should be excluded in the initial patient evaluation. Therefore a table with detailed description of reversible causes would be very useful for the readership. Consequently, figure 1 should be modified and the brief list of reversible causes in the upper left side of this picture should be deleted in an attempt of simplification of this flowchart. Moreover, in this flowchart, it is not clear the meaning of



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

“eliminating reversible factors” in the evaluation of the low risk patients. 3. In the paragraph about sotalol, authors should specify that the only commercially available form of sotalol is the d, l form.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 33556

Title: Management of Ventricular Tachycardia Storm in Patients with Structural Heart Disease

Reviewer's code: 01483111

Reviewer's country: South Korea

Science editor: Xiu-Xia Song

Date sent for review: 2017-03-14

Date reviewed: 2017-04-04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript by Muser et al is a general review on the management of electrical storm in patients with structural heart diseases. It is well structured, and covers all the management areas of electrical storm. I have no additional comment for this excellent paper.