

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 33580

Title: Diagnostic value evaluation of trefoil factors family 3 for the early detection of colorectal cancer

Reviewer's code: 02954517

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Date sent for review: 2017-02-17 09:24

Date reviewed: 2017-02-24 17:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study is an interesting study about the diagnostic value evaluation of trefoil factors family 3 for the early detection of colorectal cancer. In this study, the serum TFF3 and CEA were detected in 527 individuals included 115 healthy control, 198 colorectal adenoma, and 214 colorectal cancer individuals in the training group. The multivariate diagnostic model which included trefoil factors family 3 and CEA showed significant improvement when compared to the conventional biomarker CEA, and may provide a potential method for the early detection of colorectal cancer. Overall, this study is well designed and the manuscript is well written. However, some minor revisions needed. 1 The English of the manuscript should be revised. There are some minor language polishing. 2 Tables and figures are good. Data in table should be checked again. 3 References are well discussed.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 33580

Title: Diagnostic value evaluation of trefoil factors family 3 for the early detection of colorectal cancer

Reviewer's code: 01328912

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Date sent for review: 2017-02-17 09:24

Date reviewed: 2017-02-27 08:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very good study, can be published after a minor revision.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

Answer the Reviewer Comments

Question 1

1 The English of the manuscript should be revised. There are some minor language polishing.

Answer

We have revised the language by the AJE company, and the certificate was attached in the Revised manuscript.

Question 2

2 Tables and figures are good. Data in table should be checked again.

Answer

We have carefully checked the data in the Tables.

Question 3

3 References are well discussed.

Answer

We have carefully discussed the references in our manuscript.