

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 33759

**Title:** Current research and treatment for Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor (GIST)

**Reviewer's code:** 02512347

**Reviewer's country:** Saudi Arabia

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2017-03-02

**Date reviewed:** 2017-03-03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very good editorial on the current management of GIST. It is updated and well referenced. It is also well written, easy to read and well within the scope of the journal. I suggest you add a logarithm for the management of GIST based on various recommendations and guidelines as you highlighted in the summary at the end of your article..

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 33759

**Title:** Current research and treatment for Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor (GIST)

**Reviewer's code:** 03477721

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2017-03-20

**Date reviewed:** 2017-03-29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

English writing of the manuscript should be corrected professionally. I did some corrections but it's not enough. There are some missing article titles in the references. It could be accepted without any major correction except language.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 33759

**Title:** Current research and treatment for Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor (GIST)

**Reviewer's code:** 02845261

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2017-03-20

**Date reviewed:** 2017-03-31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

**Critique** This is a very well written paper which can be accepted for publication after certain revisions have been made. 1. The whole paper needs a major language polishing as well as editing corrections. In my opinion, Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors should be referred in plural throughout the text and as GISTs in abbreviation. For instance in Page 4, line 6: ... GIST was classified as leiomyomas or leiomyosarcomas... should be corrected as: ...GISTs were classified as leiomyomas or leiomyosarcomas. 2. The whole paper should be written in British or American English. For instance in Page 5 2nd paragraph, line 9 and line 10: tumour...tumor...The orthography should be the same throughout the text. 3. Introduction -The repeat title Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors (GIST) should be omitted. -Paragraph 4, Line 22: The clinical presentation of ...should be corrected in terms of syntax, as: Primary GISTs are commonly symptomatic in about 80% of cases, presenting with gastrointestinal bleeding,... 4. Tyrosine Kinase inhibitors. Page 8, line 3: univariable analysis... should be corrected as univariate analysis...line 4; multivariable analysis... should be corrected as multivariate analysis. 5. Current research

in GIST Page 10, Line 19 (paragraph 4) dysregulated.. should be corrected as deregulated... 6. Page 11, last paragraph, Line 28; In the Asian consensus guidelines., it highlighted some points. should be corrected as:...some points were highlighted. 7. The authors analyze very well the several trials concerning diagnosis and management of GISTs. They should add a paragraph entitled Prognosis, after the chapter of Treatment, where they should highlight the 5 year survival rate and the quality of life of these patients after the several treatment options. 8. Page 26: Figures title and legends. Should be corrected as Figure Legends