

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 33884

Title: Total joint replacement in inhibitor-positive haemophilia: Long-term outcome analysis in fifteen patients

Reviewer's code: 00742372

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-05-17

Date reviewed: 2017-05-24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There is need for documentation of long term outcome in this complicated group of patients requiring joint replacement. The manuscript is written well. I will recommend high priority for publication.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 33884

Title: Total joint replacement in inhibitor-positive haemophilia: Long-term outcome analysis in fifteen patients

Reviewer's code: 01200726

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-06-14

Date reviewed: 2017-06-19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study reported the outcome of 15 joint arthroplasties in six inhibitor patients. Could the authors recommend hemophilia therapy during surgery? Is UKR indicated in hemophilic arthropathy? Do you have no concern after UKR? The authors are required to show the tables more clearly.