

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 34187

Title: An Update on Colorectal Cancer Screening: Is a simple blood test enough?

Reviewer's code: 02440884

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2017-04-10

Date reviewed: 2017-04-11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review by Issa addresses a very important aspect of colorectal cancer screening, the screening strategy. The new data concerning Epi procolon are addressed. The authors conclude that the blood tests are part of an algorithm in cancer screening. Comments 1. The statements are clear. However, a short introduction and cartoon to SEPT9 could be helpful.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 34187

Title: An Update on Colorectal Cancer Screening: Is a simple blood test enough?

Reviewer's code: 02537190

Reviewer's country: Slovenia

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2017-04-10

Date reviewed: 2017-04-11

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript "An Update on Colorectal Cancer Screening: Is a simple blood test enough?" by Lyad A Issa, and Malak Nouredine is a well balanced overview of the different CRC screening possibilities. Authors should change the title in a " Review of CRC screening possibilities ". The current title do not reflect the content of the manuscript. Some more European data should be included: Tepes B, Bracko M, Novak Mlakar D, Stefanovic M, Stabuc B, Frkovic Grazio S, Zakotnik Maucec J. Results of the FIT-based National Colorectal Cancer Screening Program in Slovenia. J Clin Gastroenterol 2016 Aug 22. [Epub ahead of print]. Authors should elaborate more on proper bowel cleansing procedures as a factor of adenoma detection rate... (Tepeš B, Mlakar DN, Metličar T. Bowel preparation for colonoscopy with magnesium sulphate and low-volume polyethylene glycol. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2014 Jun;26(6):616-20. doi: 10.1097/MEG.0000000000000093. There are some small word misspellings to be corrected. Otherwise manuscript is well prepared and deserves to be published in WJG.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 34187

Title: An Update on Colorectal Cancer Screening: Is a simple blood test enough?

Reviewer's code: 00505440

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2017-04-10

Date reviewed: 2017-04-13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting review worthy of publication.