

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Efficacy and adverse events of cold versus hot polypectomy" (Manuscript No: 34386). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as flowing:

Reviewer: Prof. Anonymous

1. Response to comment (1-4, 6)

Response: We have made correction according to the Reviewer's comments. 1st paragraph, 2nd line: the statements of "the utility of cold polypectomy over hot with respect to efficacy and adverse events" were corrected as "the use of cold versus hot polypectomy ..." Same paragraph, line 4 "randomized controlled trials (RCT)" were corrected as "randomized controlled trials (RCTs)". Line 5, the statements of "one of which is actually retrospective study" were corrected as "one of which is actually a retrospective study". The same line, "However..." were corrected as "In addition..." The 3rd paragraph "However the enrolled study by Horiuchi A et al. was focus on small colorectal polyps in patients receiving anticoagulation therapy" were corrected as "The study by Horiuchi A et al., however, focused on small colorectal polyps in patients receiving anticoagulation therapy"

2. Response to comment: (2nd paragraph, line 7-9) "However, among the six included studies, one compared hot snare, cold snare and cold forceps polypectomy for diminutive colorectal polyps, and the other five studies compared hot snare with cold snare polypectomy for small polyps (10 mm or less in diameter, and most were 8 mm or less)" Please cite the references respectively.)

Response: Considering the Reviewer's suggestion, we have cited the references respectively.

Special thanks to you for your good comments.

Reviewer: Prof. Omero Alessandro Paoluzi:

Thanks very much for your kind work and consideration on publication of our paper.

Other changes:

1. Paper Title “Efficacy and adverse events of cold versus hot polypectomy” were corrected as “Comment on “Efficacy and adverse events of cold versus hot polypectomy””.
2. We have added PubMed citation numbers and DOI citation to the reference list and list all authors.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper.

And here we did not list the changes but marked in red in revised paper.

We appreciate for Editors/Reviewers’ warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions