

## **ANSWERING REVIEWERS**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 34708

**Title:** Reliability of a classification for dental cervical abrasions: A pilot study.

**Reviewer's code:** 00742144

### **COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Manuscript is interesting and with clinical relevance

### **REPLY FROM THE AUTHOR:**

Thank you sir for your comments.

Certain changes in the language of the manuscript has been done.

**Reviewer's code:** 00742421

### **COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Improve methodology

### **REPLY FROM THE AUTHOR:**

Thank you sir for your valuable comments.

The Peer reviewer has asked to improve upon the methodology. I have done certain changes in the manuscript. However, changes in the method of doing the study cannot be modified now.



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

**Reviewer's code:**00742036

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I enjoy reading this manuscript and the conclusion that it can be used to classify cervical abrasions reliably is very important in dental clinics. Yet, it is important that the limitations regarding exposed pulp chamber are established.

### REPLY FROM THE AUTHOR:

Thank you very much sir for liking the manuscript.

The limitation of this study that it cannot be used for identifying open pulp chamber is because this study was done using photographs wherein exposed pulp chambers cannot be detected. However, one would be able to identify the open pulp chamber and hence this limitation can be overcome in clinical practice. So, the limitation is because of the method in which the study was designed and not because of the shortcoming in the classification system. It has been mentioned in the manuscript as well.