

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

Manuscript NO: 34968

Title: Naso-jejunal tube insertion - interface between radiology and endoscopy

Reviewer's code: 02577402

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-06-12

Date reviewed: 2017-06-12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a letter regarding the article of "Complementary roles of interventional radiology and therapeutic endoscopy in gastroenterology". The authors reported the nasojejunal tube placement which has also complementary roles of radiology and endoscopy. I think that there are some grammar mistakes in this letter and should be corrected. Moreover, the authors used NJ tubes without mentioning the complete phrase at the first time using it. Then the authors alternate between NJ tubes and naso-jejunal tube. Please give the complete phrase of NJ the first time using it. Then, you can always use the abbreviation NJ instead of the complete phrase.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

Manuscript NO: 34968

Title: Naso-jejunal tube insertion - interface between radiology and endoscopy

Reviewer's code: 00233953

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-06-12

Date reviewed: 2017-06-13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting data Comment #1: The authors should discuss that the data may be biased, because only a fraction of those invited responded to the survey - include how many invitations were sent in total and what percentage answered

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

Manuscript NO: 34968

Title: Naso-jejunal tube insertion - interface between radiology and endoscopy

Reviewer's code: 02346872

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-06-12

Date reviewed: 2017-06-24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors read Ray et al Complementary roles of interventional radiology and therapeutic endoscopy in gastroenterology. They did a survey which was supported by BAPEN (British Association of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition) to identify the practice of endoscopic insertion of NJ tubes with respect to getting radiological confirmation or placement. The authors analysed the results of the respondents statistically. They concluded that it will be useful to have society guidelines pertaining to need for x-ray confirmation following endoscopic placement of NJ tubes in order avoid variation in the practice.