

ANSWERING REVIEWERS

Dear Editor,

We addressed all the points made by yourself and the peer reviewers. Please consider this last edited version of the manuscript also for the author order and names since there was an error in the previous version.

Manuscript number: 34999

Reviewer's code: 03026925

Reviewer's country: Japan

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The report "Efficacy of Prucalopride in bowel cleansing before colonoscopy. Results of a pilot study." treats interesting study. There are comments described below. 1. The authors should add "Time to preparation", "Insertion time" and "Adenoma detection rate" in the Table 1. 2. The authors should discuss about "Patient tolerance and acceptance".

ANSWER:

We thank the reviewer for the comments. We have added the suggested items in the Table. Patient tolerance and acceptance was also addressed.

Reviewer's code: 03473536

Reviewer's country: Italy

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To the corresponding author thank you for submitting your manuscript entitled "Efficacy of Prucalopride in bowel cleansing before colonoscopy. Results of a pilot study." It is a well written letter to the Editor on such an important issue like bowel preparation before colonoscopy. Your data are quite interesting but RCTs are needed to confirm them, of course. Anyway, this paper surely stimulates the discussion on the issue of colonoscopy preparation, and I think it is suitable for publication

ANSWER:

We thank the reviewer for the comments.

Reviewer's code: 03476021

Reviewer's country: Italy

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper from Coluccio et al. represents an original pilot study aimed at investigating a possible role of Prucalopride in bowel preparation. The study is promising showing similar rate of good/excellent preparation in the study group receiving 2 mg of Prucalopride + 1 liter of PEG-Asc. followed by clear liquid and the control group receiving the standard 2 liter PEG-Asc. preparation schedule. Few point should be addressed: - A short paragraph on possible contraindication and side effects to Prucalopride use should be added. - The adenoma detection rate in both group should be provided. - Also in the cases of unsatisfactory bowel preparation data on Boston score should be presented

ANSWER:

We thank the reviewer for the comments.

We have added the suggested paragraph discussing contraindications and side effects of Prucalopride. We have added the ADR of both groups and the information regarding unsatisfactory bowel preparation data.