

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 34999

Title: Efficacy of Prucalopride in bowel cleansing before colonoscopy: Results of a pilot study

Reviewer's code: 03026925

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-06-13

Date reviewed: 2017-06-13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The report "Efficacy of Prucalopride in bowel cleansing before colonoscopy. Results of a pilot study." treats interesting study. There are comments described below. 1. The authors should add "Time to preparation", "Insertion time" and "Adenoma detection rate" in the Table 1. 2. The authors should discuss about "Patient tolerance and acceptance".

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 34999

Title: Efficacy of Prucalopride in bowel cleansing before colonoscopy: Results of a pilot study

Reviewer's code: 03473536

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-06-13

Date reviewed: 2017-06-21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To the corresponding author thank you for submitting your manuscript entitled "Efficacy of Prucalopride in bowel cleansing before colonoscopy. Results of a pilot study." It is a well written letter to the Editor on such an important issue like bowel preparation before colonoscopy. Your data are quite interesting but RCTs are needed to confirm them, of course. Anyway, this paper surely stimulates the discussion on the issue of colonoscopy preparation, and I think it is suitable for publication.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 34999

Title: Efficacy of Prucalopride in bowel cleansing before colonoscopy: Results of a pilot study

Reviewer's code: 03476021

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-06-13

Date reviewed: 2017-06-25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper from Coluccio et al. represents an original pilot study aimed at investigating a possible role of Prucalopride in bowel preparation. The study is promising showing similar rate of good/excellent preparation in the study group receiving 2 mg of Prucalopride + 1 liter of PEG-Asc. followed by clear liquid and the control group receiving the standard 2 liter PEG-Asc. preparation schedule. Few point should be addressed: - A short paragraph on possible contraindication and side effects to Prucalopride use should be added. - The adenoma detection rate in both group should be provided. - Also in the cases of unsatisfactory bowel preparation data on Boston score should be presented