

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Infectious Diseases

Manuscript NO: 35044

Title: Autoimmune hepatitis in human immunodeficiency virus infection: Case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 00504109

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-06-15

Date reviewed: 2017-06-20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

see the attached file.

Ivan Noreña and colleagues present a case report of Autoimmune hepatitis (AIH) of a 26-year-old male HIV-1 infected patient. They have also relevant literature in several databases (i.e. in Pubmed, ScienceDirect, EBSCO and ProQuest) and have identified additional 22 reports of HIV-1 infected individuals who developed or had AIH. Those 22 cases are described in detail in table 1 of the submitted manuscript, but the manuscript title doesn't indicate this work has been done. I would propose to change/rephrase the manuscript title adding the info of the narrative and/or systematic literature (explain and further describe what has been done) review that has been performed. This case report might be of interest, especially given the fact that AIH are quite rare and just a few cases have been globally reported until now. The authors declare that serological screening precluded viral hepatitis infections (namely Hep A, B and/or C), but serological assays are not as reliable as nucleic acid screening assays (Ref: King S et al. Antibody screening tests variably overestimate the prevalence of hepatitis



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

C virus infection among HIV-infected adults in Ghana. J Viral Hepat. 2015 May;22(5):461-8.) especially in the context areas with high endemicity. This should be discussed in the manuscript and the authors should add more details re the methods used for the serological screening and why has not been done viral load tests. The manuscript is well structured and well written and the language used throughout is unambiguous.

Other minor comment Introduction (lines 48-50): it is well-documented that effective (suppressive) ART not only increases the survival rate or the life expectancy, but also constitutes the most effective strategy for preventing onwards HIV-1 infections (Cohen MS et al. N Engl J Med 2016; 375: 830-9. And Rodger AJ, et al. Sexual Activity Without Condoms and Risk of HIV Transmission in Serodifferent Couples When the HIV-Positive Partner Is Using Suppressive Antiretroviral Therapy. JAMA 2016; 316: 171-81.)

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Infectious Diseases

Manuscript NO: 35044

Title: Autoimmune hepatitis in human immunodeficiency virus infection: Case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 02450507

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2017-06-15

Date reviewed: 2017-06-30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor, in my opinion the paper is acceptable for publication. Thanks for your collaboration, best regards fabrizio Maggi