

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35096

Title: Anatomic Isolated Caudate Lobectomy: Is it Possible to Establish a Standard Surgical Flow ?

Reviewer's code: 02445561

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-07-20

Date reviewed: 2017-07-22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[] Grade B: Very good	[] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[] The same title	[] High priority for publication
[] Grade C: Good	[] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[] Duplicate publication	[] Rejection
[] Grade D: Fair	[] Grade D: Rejected	[] Plagiarism	[] Minor revision
[] Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	[] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		[] The same title	
		[] Duplicate publication	
		[] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors deserve praise for having done a difficult job - the article has described a series of twenty resections in a decade. It may be expected that the authors will come up with a larger series in future. The description of operative steps is one of the strong points of this article.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35096

Title: Anatomic Isolated Caudate Lobectomy: Is it Possible to Establish a Standard Surgical Flow ?

Reviewer's code: 03017516

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2017-07-20

Date reviewed: 2017-07-24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I appreciated the manuscript, congratulations. Caudate lobe isolated resection is a rare and challenging procedure. I think that the strength of the paper is the description of the different techniques, detailed with intraoperative pictures. I have some minor remarks. Can you please detail more the advantages and disadvantages of the different surgical approaches to caudate lobe resection? Can you add videoclips or drawing to the manuscript to detail the technical steps, in order to guide residents studying the procedure and surgeons dealing with this complex surgery? How do you perform liver transection? What are the potential intraoperative complications and "mistakes"? Can you at least mention the role of laparoscopic caudate lobe resection?