

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 35776

**Title:** Colorectal surgeon consensus with diverticulitis clinical practice guidelines

**Reviewer's code:** 03563654

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2017-08-07

**Date reviewed:** 2017-08-07

**Review time:** 7 Hours

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well written study about colorectal surgery. I have some suggestions. 1- Did you use multivariate analysis? 2- In case of contraindication of CT, MRI may be used at the diagnosis of diverticulitis(Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech. 2017 Jun;27(3):154-157. doi: 10.1097/SLE.0000000000000389.) and (Prague Med Rep. 2016;117(4):145-152. doi: 10.14712/23362936.2016.15. Review.) I suggest both of these uptodate studies for the references. 3- Why did you only use colorectal surgeons?

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 35776

**Title:** Colorectal surgeon consensus with diverticulitis clinical practice guidelines

**Reviewer's code:** 00058269

**Reviewer's country:** Israel

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2017-08-07

**Date reviewed:** 2017-08-07

**Review time:** 8 Hours

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting survey results of local colorectal surgeons regarding management of diverticulitis

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 35776

**Title:** Colorectal surgeon consensus with diverticulitis clinical practice guidelines

**Reviewer's code:** 03475239

**Reviewer's country:** Singapore

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2017-08-07

**Date reviewed:** 2017-08-07

**Review time:** 9 Hours

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ Y ] Grade A: Excellent	[ Y ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] The same title	[ Y ] High priority for publication
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Minor revision
[ ] Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	[ ] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congrats on an interesting and well-written study. I have no further comments. Pity there was no information on General Surgeons, especially in the rural/district setting

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 35776

**Title:** Colorectal surgeon consensus with diverticulitis clinical practice guidelines

**Reviewer's code:** 03252972

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2017-08-07

**Date reviewed:** 2017-08-09

**Review time:** 1 Day

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [ ] Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting piece to read and publish. It provides very good overview of Australian surgeons' opinions on diverticulitis guidelines. Also, the important message of this survey is that "it demonstrated that despite the availability of guidelines, some areas in clinical practice reach consensus contrary to these recommendations". How to further improve the applicability of the guidelines is always a difficult yet paramount issue. The study has its nature limitations due to its design and the authors clearly acknowledged them. Suggestion: the authors used some informal words such as "prep" in the manuscript, please change.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 35776

**Title:** Colorectal surgeon consensus with diverticulitis clinical practice guidelines

**Reviewer's code:** 03475779

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2017-08-07

**Date reviewed:** 2017-08-16

**Review time:** 9 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good well written paper on a interesting and actual issue. true limit of clinical surveys is that respondents express opinions or personal experiences but without these being supported by reference literature of the single respondents. It would be interesting to know the reasons for the agreement or disagree with the proposed clinical issues. In addition, the article does not offer a unambiguous conclusion, but shows only the individual experiences and preferences. In this sense it does not offer new knowledge but only a representation of the current state of affairs in Australia and New Zealand

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 35776

**Title:** Colorectal surgeon consensus with diverticulitis clinical practice guidelines

**Reviewer's code:** 03206783

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2017-08-07

**Date reviewed:** 2017-08-19

**Review time:** 12 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors conducted a survey in Australia and New Zealand to determine the application of clinical practice guidelines for the current management of diverticulitis and local colorectal surgeon specialist consensus. Through comparing the consensus with the guidelines, the authors clearly displayed the controversial topics in current guidelines which actually provides future study directions for associate researchers. So this is a meaningful study.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 35776

**Title:** Colorectal surgeon consensus with diverticulitis clinical practice guidelines

**Reviewer's code:** 00182423

**Reviewer's country:** South Korea

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2017-08-07

**Date reviewed:** 2017-08-20

**Review time:** 13 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I enjoyed much reading this interesting manuscript. As the authors pointed out, the survey results must be very useful in highlighting current practices and areas of equipoise in the management of diverticulitis. Please refer to the authors' instructions for references.