

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35918

Title: A Multicenter, Randomized Study to Optimize Bowel Preparation for Colon Capsule Endoscopy

Reviewer's code: 02823396

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2017-09-03

Date reviewed: 2017-09-05

Review time: 1 Day

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have read with great interest this MCT based on the evaluation of colon cleansing with two bowel regimens: double vs simple osmotic agents. The authors have demonstrated that the combination of two hyperosmotic agents (oral sulfate and diatrizoate) are comparable. In order to increase the value of this manuscript I would like the authors explain: 1) Why they use clear liquid diet instead low-fiber diet, because the combination of liquid diet and osmotic agents increase the incidence of adverse events; 2) To simplify the algorithm why do not avoid 2L of PEG prior to CE, why not oral sulfate solution?

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35918

Title: A Multicenter, Randomized Study to Optimize Bowel Preparation for Colon Capsule Endoscopy

Reviewer's code: 03000523

Reviewer's country: Croatia

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2017-09-03

Date reviewed: 2017-09-09

Review time: 6 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor Although the study is terminated earlier and did not reach the calculated sample size I can recommend the paper for publication due to ongoing debate regarding the bowel preparation and procedure regimen during the examination. I have some minor comments: • The title is no related to the topic- the title is regarding the bowel preparation but the aim of the study is: „This study evaluated the efficacy and safety of a new CCE preparation regimen that combines low dose OSS with diatrizoate solution as a boost agent “. Boost and bowel preparation is not the same to me. Booth groups received the same cleansing regimen and the differ just in booster medication • Please use SI measures instead of imperial

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 35918

Title: A Multicenter, Randomized Study to Optimize Bowel Preparation for Colon Capsule Endoscopy

Reviewer's code: 02822816

Reviewer's country: Romania

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2017-09-03

Date reviewed: 2017-09-10

Review time: 7 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have no concerns about your manuscript. Although, in clinical practice I wonder if the proposed regimen for bowel preparation will be choose from several other protocols. I recommend your manuscript for publication. P.S. However, I have one observation: please, read carefully the Format for references and make corrections.